San Mateo County Transportation Authority

2018 Legislative Program

Purpose

Legislative and regulatory actions have the potential to significantly benefit San Mateo County Transportation Authority programs and services. They also have potential to present serious challenges that threaten the Agency’s ability to meet the county’s most critical transportation demands.

The 2018 Legislative Program establishes the principles that will guide the Agency’s legislative and regulatory advocacy efforts through the 2018 calendar year, including the second half of the 2017-18 State legislative session and 115th Congress. The program is intended to be broad enough to cover the wide variety of issues that are likely to be considered during that time and flexible enough to allow the Agency to respond swiftly and effectively to unanticipated developments.

Objectives

The 2018 Legislative Program is organized to guide the Agency’s actions and positions in support of three primary objectives:

- Maintain and enhance funding opportunities to support the Agency’s programs and services.
- Seek a regulatory environment that streamlines project delivery and maximizes the Agency’s ability to meet transportation service demands.
- Reinforce and expand programs that build and incentivize public transportation ridership and improve quality transportation choices.

Issues

The Legislative Program is structured to apply these core objectives to a series of State and Federal issues falling in these categories:

- Budget and Transportation Funding Opportunities
- Transportation Projects - Funding Requests and Needs
- Regulatory and Administrative Issues

Within these categories are a detailed list of specific legislative initiatives and corresponding set of policy strategies.

Should other issues surface that require the Board’s attention, actions will be guided by the three policy objectives listed above. If needed, potential action on issues that are unrelated to these policy goals will be brought to the Board for consideration.
Advocacy Process
Staff will indicate on each monthly legislative update recommended positions for pending bills. Once the board has an opportunity to review the recommended position, staff will communicate the position to the relevant entity (such as the bill author, agency, or coalition). In rare circumstances, should a position on a bill be needed in advance of a board meeting, staff will confer with the Board Chair. If legislation falls outside of the scope of the Board’s adopted Legislative Program, Board approval will be required prior to the agency taking a position.

Public Engagement Strategies
Staff, led by the Communications Division and its legislative consultants, will employ a variety of public engagement strategies to support the 2018 Legislative Program, including:

- **Direct Engagement**
  Engage policymakers directly and sponsor legislation, submit correspondence and provide public testimony that communicates and advances the Agency’s legislative priorities and positions.

- **Coalition-based Engagement**
  Engage local and regional stakeholders to build awareness about specific issues and participate in local, regional, statewide and national coalitions organized to advance positions that are consistent with the 2018 Legislative Program.

- **Media Engagement**
  Build public awareness and communicate the Agency’s legislative priorities by issuing press releases, organizing media events, and through the use of social media.
### State and Regional

#### Funding Opportunities and Challenges

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Issue / Background</strong></th>
<th><strong>Strategy</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **General Funding** The State recently enacted SB 1, which provides $5.2 billion to maintain local streets and roads and highways, ease traffic congestion, and provide mobility options through investments in public transportation and bicycle and pedestrian programs. Complimentary to SB 1 is ACA 5 which, if passed by voters in June 2018, will protect new and existing sources of transit funding from future diversions by the Legislature. In 2014, the Legislature called for, via SB 1077, a pilot program to study a road charge model as an alternative to the gas tax. The nine-month pilot began in July 2016, with over 5,000 participating vehicles statewide. The California State Transportation Agency (CalSTA) will report findings from the Legislature to the CTC and the Legislature by June 30, 2018. | - Protect against the elimination or diversion of any State or regional funds that support the agency’s transportation needs.  
- Support State funding allocation requests for investments that benefit the agency’s transportation programs and services.  
- Work with statewide transit coalitions to identify and advance opportunities for funding that would support the agency’s transportation priorities.  
- Monitor recommendations of the Road Usage Charge (RUC) Technical advisory Committee and implementation of a RUC program by the California State Transportation Agency (CalSTA).  
- Monitor efforts to implement a mileage-based user fee as a potential revenue source. |
| **Formula Funding** After years of diversion to support the State’s General Fund, funding for the State Transit Assistance (STA) program has remained stable over the last few budget cycles thanks to successful legal, legislative and political efforts on behalf of the transportation community. Still, more revenue is needed in | - Support the full funding of the STA program at levels called for in the 2011 reenactment of the 2010 gas-tax swap legislation.  
- Advocate for the regularly scheduled issuance of State infrastructure bonds that support the Agency’s services and programs.  
- Support full and timely allocation of the Agency’s STIP share.  
- Support legislation clarifying elements of the STA program recently changed in AB 1113 (Bloom). |
order to meet the demand of increased ridership, reduce highway congestion – especially on Highway 101 – and adhere to the State’s mandate of reducing greenhouse gas emissions, and creating livable communities.

**Cap-and-Trade Revenues** In 2012, the State began implementing the cap-and-trade market-based compliance system approved as a part of the California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006 (AB 32). Since the program began selling allowances, the program has generated billions of dollars. In 2014, legislation was enacted creating a long-term funding plan for cap-and-trade which dedicates 60 percent of cap-and-trade revenues to transportation. The remaining 40 percent is subject to annual appropriation through the state budget process. In 2017, the legislature extended the program from 2020 to 2030.

The programs require a certain percentage of funds be expended in state defined “disadvantaged communities” (as defined by CalEnviroScreen). This can prove difficult in jurisdictions with a small number of disadvantaged communities.

**Voter Threshold** Legislation has been considered in recent years that provide a framework for lowering the thresholds for the State or a city, county, special JPB or regional public agency to impose a special tax.

| Work with the Administration and like-minded coalitions to secure the appropriation of additional cap-and-trade revenues to support the Agency’s transportation needs. |
| Support legislation and regional action that makes a broad array of the Agency’s emissions-reducing transportation projects, programs and services eligible for investment. |
| Protect existing cap-and-trade appropriations for transit operations, capital projects and sustainable communities strategy implementation. |
| Work to direct additional revenues to transit-eligible programs, including efforts to secure funding from the remaining discretionary funds and revenues dedicated to the high-speed-rail project. |
| Support efforts to revise the State’s definition on “disadvantaged communities” to encompass a larger proportion of disadvantaged communities on the Peninsula. |
| Support efforts to amend the State Constitution to reduce the voter threshold required for the State or a city, county, special district or regional transportation agency to impose a special tax for transportation projects or programs. |
## Other State or Local Funding Options

Local and regional governments continue to seek methods for funding new infrastructure, facility needs, sustainability initiatives, and projects that will support ridership growth through a variety of methods such as managed lanes and local ballot measures.

- Advocate for legislation that would create new local funding tools to support transportation infrastructure and services.
- Support innovative local and regional funding options that will provide financial support for the agency.
- Support legislation that works to ensure revenues generated through managed lane projects remain in the County of origin.
- Advocate for funding sources that would assist transit agencies in obtaining funds for sustainability initiatives including water conservation, waste reduction, long-term resource efficiency of facilities and equipment, and greenhouse gas reductions.
- Support funding for workforce housing to attract and retain quality personnel.
- Support efforts that allow for public private partnerships that benefit the implementation of capital projects, efficient operation of transit services, or enhanced access to a broad range of mobility options that reduce traffic congestion.

## Transportation Projects

### General

As the Bay Area’s population continues to grow, the region’s transportation infrastructure is being negatively impacted. Highways, local streets and roads are becoming heavily congested, Caltrain is nearing its capacity limits, and the demand for housing with easy access to public transit is increasing.

- Work with partners in the region to bring business, community, and transportation stakeholders together to enhance, support and advocate for transportation and mobility in the Bay Area.

### Caltrain Modernization (CalMod) Program

In 2012, the State Legislature appropriated $705m in Prop 1A high-speed rail funds to modernize the Caltrain corridor and lay the foundation for future high-speed rail service. Under a multi-party regional funding agreement (that includes TA funds), this investment will be used to match a variety of local, regional, state and federal

- Advocate for the sale and allocation of Proposition 1A bonds to meet the commitments specified in SB 1029 with respect to the Caltrain corridor.
- Support the allocation of cap-and-trade funding to advance implementation of the CalMod Program.
- Work with state, local and regional partners to advance policies and actions that will help secure funding needed to fulfill local and regional commitments to the CalMod Program.
- Work to address regulatory challenges that limit the implementation of solutions that will maximize Caltrain capacity and service benefits.
- Support the allocation of cap-and-trade or other state / regional funding to advance
funding sources to electrify the corridor, install an advanced signaling system and replace Caltrain’s aging diesel trains with electric trains that will dramatically improve service between San Francisco and San Jose.

**Other Projects** Beyond the CalMod Program, Caltrain has identified capital projects such as a fully electrified 8-car EMU fleet with longer platforms that will provide additional capacity and service benefits to Caltrain commuters. The capital needs also include but are not limited to grade separations and station upgrades.

In 2016, a new round of HSR Blended System planning, outreach and environmental clearance work kicked-off in the corridor. While this project is not being led by the JPB, the agency owns the right-of-way and has a significant interest in the process and success of the project that will “blended” with Caltrain service.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>101 Managed Lanes</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The project may include removing or replacing existing auxiliary lanes between interchanges; reconstructing ramp connections to US 101; and installing electronic toll collection infrastructure on US 101 between Whipple Road to the I-380 interchange in San Mateo County</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Work to address regulatory actions or policies that negatively impact future capacity or service improvements.

• Consistent with existing agreements between JPB and CHSRA, support efforts to plan, engage stakeholders, and implement the Blended System project on the Caltrain corridor.

|  | implementation of Caltrain projects. |
|  |  |
|  | • Support funding opportunities that will help the project move through the different stages of planning, environmental, and construction phases. |
|  | • Support policies that will allow for effective public private partnerships. |
| Transit Oriented Development / First and Last Mile | • Support efforts to provide commuters with easy and convenient options to travel to and from major transit centers to their final destination.  
• Support the development of new and innovative first and last mile options.  
• Support increased funding opportunities for first and last mile projects.  
• Advocate for policies that promote transit-oriented developments in ways that with compliment transit services.  
• Support the State’s GHG reduction goals by supporting transit oriented developments.  
• Support state funding incentives and streamlining processes for transit oriented development. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First and last mile projects, as well as transit oriented development projects are an important part of the broad transit ecosystem that will help support robust ridership in the corridor.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Transportation Demand Management (TDM) | • Support efforts that provide more TDM tools and funding opportunities  
• Support policies that encourage use of TDM |
| TDM is the application of strategies and policies to reduce travel demand of single-occupancy vehicles or to redistribute this demand in space or time. |  |
| Regulatory and Administrative Issues | • Support opportunities to remove barriers to, and improve the ability to conduct, safe, efficient transportation operations, administration, planning and project delivery efforts, including alternative project delivery methods that provide flexibility to the agency.  
• Oppose efforts to impose unjustified and burdensome regulations or restrictions on the Agency’s ability to conduct efficient transportation operations, administration, planning and project delivery efforts. |
| General |  |
| Every year a variety of legislation or regulatory action is pursued that would affect regulations governing transportation-related service operations, administration, planning and project delivery. In addition, opportunities exist to reform or update existing regulations that are outdated, or can be improved to address potential burdens on transportation agencies without affecting regulatory goals. |  |
| California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) | • Closely monitor efforts to modernize CEQA. Without compromising CEQA’s effectiveness as an environmental protection policy, support proposals that advantage transportation projects, such as transit expansion, pedestrian / bicycle improvements, and transit oriented development. |
| Several regional and statewide transportation organizations continue working to modernize CEQA and minimize unnecessary delays during the environmental review process. |  |
| Sustainable Communities Strategies | • Advocate for policies that provide adequate and equitable funding to support increased demand and dependence on JPB’s transportation services associated with the implementation of SB 375 and Plan Bay Area. |

Implementation In conjunction with AB 32 and SB 32 implementation, the Sustainable Communities and Climate Protection Act (SB 375) requires regions to develop Sustainable Communities Strategies (SCS) with integrated housing, land-use and transportation policies that will accommodate population growth and reduce regional greenhouse gas emissions by specific amounts. In 2013, regional authorities in the Bay Area approved Plan Bay Area, which includes the region’s SCS.
### Federal Funding Opportunities and Challenges

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue / Background</th>
<th>Strategy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Federal Appropriations** Every year, Congress adopts several appropriations bills that cover 12 major issue areas, including the Transportation, Housing and Urban Development bill. These measures provide the authority for federal agencies to spend money during the upcoming fiscal year for the programs they administer. | • Partner with local, regional, State and national coalitions to advocate appropriation of the maximum authorized amount for programs that benefit the agency’s transportation services and needs.  
• Work with local and regional coalitions to support requests for funding from discretionary programs.  
• Communicate frequently with the agency’s federal delegation and key appropriators on the needs or concerns of pending appropriation bills. |
| In September 2017, Congress passed a continuing resolution (CR) to keep federal agencies funded at the same level as the previous fiscal year, through December 8, 2017. Congress will have to pass a CR or omnibus appropriations bill to fund the government for the fiscal year 2018. | |
| **Tax and Finance** Congress also considers legislation that governs tax and finance issues that impact transit agencies. In 2018, Congress is expected to take action on a significant tax overhaul measure. | • Support efforts to ensure tax provisions that benefit the agency’s priorities are included in any tax or finance proposal.  
• Protect against the elimination or diversion of any tax policies that support the agency’s transportation needs. |

### Transportation Projects

<p>| General Support the efforts of partnering agencies to obtain federal funding for transit projects in San Mateo County. | • Work with federal delegation members, as well as local, regional, and state coalitions to support the federal funding requests for our partner transit agencies on projects that provide complimentary services for the agency. |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Caltrain Modernization Program</th>
<th>The current Peninsula Corridor Electrification Project (PCEP) funding plan includes funding from several federal funding sources including the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) Core Capacity Program.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Other Projects</td>
<td>Beyond the CalMod Program, Caltrain has identified capital projects such as a fully electrified 8-car EMU fleet with longer platforms that will provide additional capacity and service benefits to Caltrain commuters. The capital needs also include but are not limited to grade separations, station upgrades, and supporting regional projects that will increase Caltrain ridership.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101 Managed Lanes</td>
<td>The project may include removing or replacing existing auxiliary lanes between interchanges; reconstructing ramp connections to US 101; and installing electronic toll collection infrastructure on US 101 between Whipple Road to the I-380 interchange in San Mateo County.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
|                           | - Advocate for the PCEP Core Capacity funding to be included in the Fiscal Year (FY)18 THUD Appropriations Conference Report. Advocate for the PCEP to be included in the FY19 President’s budget request and in the FY19 THUD Appropriations bills.  
  - Work with federal delegation members, as well as local, regional, and state coalitions to support the PCEP requests for funding.  
  - Support the allocation of federal funding to advance implementation of Caltrain projects. |
|                           | - Support funding opportunities that will help the project move through the different stages of planning, environmental, and construction phases.  
  - Support policies that will allow for effective public private partnerships. |
| Regulatory and Administrative Issues |                                                                                   |
| FAST Act and other Regulations | Under FAST Act, the United States Department of Transportation (USDOT) will issue guidance and conduct rulemaking to implement various regulatory changes.  
  USDOT will also issue guidance, new rulemaking, and take action in response to Executive Orders. |
|                           | - Monitor and review guidance and rulemaking proposals affecting FAST Act implementation and other transportation issues.  
  - Collaborate with local, regional, state and national transportation advocacy groups to coordinate comments and advocacy efforts that support regulations that maximize benefits for transportation programs, services and users. |
on a variety of issues outside the scope of the FAST Act.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Infrastructure Proposal</th>
<th>President Trump and USDOT have been working to draft an infrastructure proposal to fund transportation projects.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Monitor closely and take action as needed on new Administration policies that may have a significant impact on transit / transportation projects and programs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Advocate for funding for the agency’s projects and needs in the President’s and congressional infrastructure proposals.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>