AGENDA
BOARD OF DIRECTORS MEETING
SAN MATEO COUNTY TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY
Bacciocco Auditorium, 2nd Floor
1250 San Carlos Avenue, San Carlos, CA 94070

June 6, 2019 – Thursday
5:00 pm

1) Call to Order/Pledge of Allegiance
2) Roll Call
3) Public Comment For Items Not on the Agenda
   Public comment by each individual speaker shall be limited two (2) minutes. Items raised that require a response will be deferred for staff reply.
4) Report of the Citizens Advisory Committee
5) Consent Calendar
   Members of the Board may request that an item under the Consent Calendar be considered separately
   a) Approval of Minutes of the Board of Directors Meeting of May 2, 2019
   b) Acceptance of Statement of Revenues and Expenditures for April 2019
   c) Acceptance of Capital Projects Quarterly Status Report 3rd Quarter FY 2019
   d) Approval of Fiscal Year 2020 Insurance Program
6) Nominating Committee Report for the Citizens Advisory Committee (C. Groom/ M. Freschet)
   a) Appointment of Citizens Advisory Committee Members
7) Report of the Chair
   a) Report from the Joint TA & C/CAG Ad Hoc Committee on the San Mateo US 101 Express Lanes Project
   b) Report from the TA Strategic Plan 2020-2024 Ad Hoc Committee

Note: All items appearing on the agenda are subject to action by the Board. Staff recommendations are subject to change by the Board.
8) San Mateo County Transit District Liaison Report
9) Joint Powers Board Liaison Report

10) Report of the Executive Director

11) Public Hearing: Establishing the Appropriations Limit for Fiscal Year 2020

12) Public Hearing: Adoption of Fiscal Year 2020 Budget in the Amount of $124,796,588

RESOLUTION
RESOLUTION

13) Program

a) Approval of First Amended and Restated Joint Exercise of Powers Agreement for the San Mateo County Express Lanes Joint Powers Agency

RESOLUTION

b) Caltrain Business Plan Update

INFORMATIONAL

INFORMATIONAL

INFORMATIONAL

c) Broadway Burlingame Grade Separation

d) State and Federal Legislative Update

14) Requests from the Authority

15) Written Communications to the Authority

16) Date/Time of Next Regular Meeting: Thursday, July 11, 2019, 5:00 pm at San Mateo County Transit District Administrative Building, Bacciocco Auditorium, 2nd Floor, San Carlos, CA 94070

17) Report of Legal Counsel

Closed Session: Conference with Legal Counsel – Existing Litigation Pursuant to Government Code Section 54956.9(d)(1): Pacificans for a Scenic Coast v. California Department of Transportation, et al.; San Mateo County Superior Court Case No. CIV-523973

18) Adjourn
INFORMATION FOR THE PUBLIC

All items appearing on the agenda are subject to action by the Board. Staff recommendations are subject to change by the Board.

If you have questions on the agenda, please contact the Authority Secretary at 650-508-6242. Assisted listening devices are available upon request. Agendas are posted on the Authority Website at www.smcta.com. Communications to the Board of Directors can be e-mailed to board@smcta.com.

Location, Date and Time of Regular Meetings
Regular meetings are held at the San Mateo County Transit District Administrative Building located at 1250 San Carlos Ave., San Carlos, which is located one block west of the San Carlos Caltrain Station on El Camino Real. The building is also accessible by SamTrans bus routes ECR, FLX, 260, 295 and 398. Additional transit information can be obtained by calling 1-800-660-4287 (TTY 650-508-6448) or 511.

The Transportation Authority (TA) meets regularly on the first Thursday of the month at 5 p.m. The TA Citizens Advisory Committee (CAC) meets regularly on the Tuesday prior to the first Thursday of the month at 4:30 p.m. at the San Mateo County Transit District Administrative Building.

Public Comment
If you wish to address the Board, please fill out a speaker’s card located on the agenda table. If you have anything that you wish distributed to the Board and included for the official record, please hand it to the Authority Secretary, who will distribute the information to the Board members and staff.

Members of the public may address the Board on non-agendized items under the Public Comment item on the agenda. Public testimony by each individual speaker shall be limited to one minute and items raised that require a response will be deferred for staff reply.

Accessibility for Individuals with Disabilities
Upon request, the TA will provide for written agenda materials in appropriate alternative formats, or disability-related modification or accommodation, including auxiliary aids or services, to enable individuals with disabilities to participate in public meetings. Please send a written request, including your name, mailing address, phone number and brief description of the requested materials and a preferred alternative format or auxiliary aid or service at least two days before the meeting. Requests should be mailed to the Authority Secretary at the San Mateo County Transportation Authority, 1250 San Carlos Avenue, San Carlos, CA 94070-1306 or emailed to board@smcta.com; or by phone at 650-508-6279, or TTY 650-508-6448.

Availability of Public Records
All public records relating to an open session item on this agenda, which are not exempt from disclosure pursuant to the California Public Records Act, that are distributed to a majority of the legislative body will be available for public inspection at 1250 San Carlos Avenue, San Carlos, CA 94070-1306, at the same time that the public records are distributed or made available to the legislative body.

Note: All items appearing on the agenda are subject to action by the Board. Staff recommendations are subject to change by the Board.
AGENDA ITEM #5 (a)

SAN MATEO COUNTY TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY
1250 SAN CARLOS AVENUE, SAN CARLOS, CA 94070
MINUTES OF MAY 2, 2019

MEMBERS PRESENT:  D. Horsley (Chair), E. Beach (Vice Chair), M. Freschet, C. Groom, K. Matsumoto, R. Medina, C. Romero

MEMBERS ABSENT:  None

STAFF PRESENT:  J. Hartnett, C. Mau, A. Chan, C. Fromson, D. Hansel, J. Hurley, J. Cassman, J. Brook, D. Seamans

CALL TO ORDER/ PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE
Chair Don Horsley called the meeting to order at 5:00 pm and led the Pledge of Allegiance.

ROLL CALL
Authority Secretary Dora Seamans called the roll. A quorum was confirmed.

PUBLIC COMMENT FOR ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA
None.

REPORT OF THE CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE
Chair Horsley noted that the report was in the packet.

CONSENT CALENDAR
• Approval of Minutes of the Board of Directors Meeting of April 4, 2019
• Acceptance of Statement of Revenues and Expenditures for March 2019
• Acceptance of Quarterly Investment Report for the Period Ending March 31, 2019

Motion/Second: Medina/Romero
Ayes: Beach, Freschet, Groom, Matsumoto, Medina, Romero, Horsley
Absent: None

REPORT OF THE CHAIR
None.

SAN MATEO COUNTY TRANSIT DISTRICT LIAISON REPORT
Chair Horsley noted that the report was in the packet.

JOINT POWERS BOARD LIAISON REPORT
Executive Director Jim Hartnett said the report was in the packet.
REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

Mr. Hartnett said the report was in the packet.

Chair Horsley took Item 11 out of order before Item 10.

REVIEW OF PRELIMINARY FISCAL YEAR 2020 BUDGET

Derek Hansel, Chief Financial Officer, gave a presentation outlining the preliminary FY 2020 budget.

Vice Chair Emily Beach asked if the County sales tax would continue to be robust and inquired about the state of the economy. Mr. Hansel opined on a reasonably strong economy with sales tax growth pushing 10 percent or more.

Director Carlos Romero had questions about the income. Mr. Hansel said a recession was possible in late FY 2020. April Chan, Chief Officer, Planning, Grants/Transportation Authority, discussed monies being used for capital projects.

Director Rico Medina asked about TA-owned properties being leased out. Ms. Chan said the leases are reviewed every five years.

CALL FOR A PUBLIC HEARING AT THE JUNE 6, 2019 MEETING ON THE PRELIMINARY BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2020 BUDGET

Motion/Second: Matsumoto/Freschet
Ayes: Beach, Freschet, Groom, Matsumoto, Medina, Romero, Horsley
Absent: None

PROGRAM

Approval of the Joint Exercise of Powers Agreement for the San Mateo County Express Lanes Joint Powers Agency and Appointment of JPA Board Members

Chair Horsley summarized the Joint Exercise of Powers Agreement (J EPA), Page 2, Attachment A - equitable division of labor between the TA and C/CAG (City/County Association of Governments).

Ms. Chan said that C/CAG voted on the J EPA at their April 11 Board meeting.

Jim Hartnett, Executive Director, said that there was a successful defense of Regional Measure 3 funding at the Supreme Court level. He commended the TA subcommittee’s efforts.

Chair Horsley thanked Ms. Chan and C/CAG Executive Director Sandy Wong.

Vice Chair Beach said that she was happy with the terms of the J EPA and its leveraging of current staff.
Director Karyl Matsumoto asked if administrative costs would be divided equally between C/CAG and TA. Mr. Hartnett said yes.

Chair Horsley said that there are initial costs for the project manager and application to the California Transportation Commission (CTC), which will be divided between the TA and C/CAG. He said that the agencies must bring the request to their respective boards.

Ms. Chan said that the TA can decide on loans to the JPA. She said that eventually revenues can be used to reimburse the JPA.

Director Maureen Freschet said that she was pleased with the staffing model and thanked legal counsel.

Director Romero asked for clarification of the role of the policy/program manager. Ms. Chan said that the capital project was being managed by an integrated team of Caltrans, TA, and C/CAG. She said that once the construction is completed, the ownership and operations will be transferred to the JPA.

The Board appointed Chair Horsley, Vice Chair Beach, and Director Freschet as directors of the JPEA.

Motion/Second: Groom/Medina
Ayes: Beach, Freschet, Groom, Matsumoto, Medina, Romero, Horsley
Absent: None

US 101 - North of I-380 Managed Lanes Project Update

Joe Hurley, TA Director, gave a presentation updating the progress on the Managed Lanes project north of I-380. He noted those in attendance included Jean Higaki, Legal Counsel and Sandy Wong, Executive Direct, C/CAG; Anna Harvey, San Francisco County Transportation Authority, and Tim Lee, Consultant, WMH Corporation.

He outlined the constraints of the project north of I-380, compared to south of I-380. He noted that the TA is experiencing a paradigm shift, saying that the agency is in the business of moving people as opposed to vehicles by encouraging carpooling and transit use. He said that a major incentive for carpooling is reliable and reduced travel time. He noted that the project wants to make use of technology.

He said that “Managed Lanes” refers to the project north of I-380, while “Express Lanes” refers to the project south of I-380.

He said that a decision needs to be made whether San Mateo County proceeds with the project alone or works collectively with San Francisco for the project north of I-380.

Vice Chair Beach said that she is happy that a minimum footprint alternative is being studied.

Director Romero asked about funding sources. Mr. Hurley said Measures A and W, the SB 1 program, and Regional Measure 3 are potential funding sources. Ms. Chan added that future toll revenues are another anticipated source.
Director Romero expressed concerns on how debt service would affect equity programs. Mr. Hartnett said there is a benefit to borrowing money in order to begin a project, thus avoiding cost escalation over the course of the project.

**State and Federal Legislative Update**

Casey Fromson, Director, Government and Community Affairs, briefly summarized highlights of recent federal and state legislation.

She said that President Trump and Senator Schumer met and agreed on a $2 trillion infrastructure package.

She announced that Governor Newsom announced David Kim as the new State Secretary of Transportation.

She said that they were watching SB 50 combined with SB 4, which exempts counties with populations under 600,000.

Vice Chair Beach talked about having shorter headway times. Ms. Fromson noted that the Comprehensive Operational Analysis (COA) was underway, which would look at the District’s entire bus network. Mr. Hartnett said he thought that it was unlikely that SamTrans would have regular routes with a 10-minute headway. He said due to the traffic congestion, it was difficult to achieve a 15-minute headway on El Camino Real.

Director Carole Groom said that she and Director Matsumoto were excited about the potential of electric buses, particularly for the inception of the El Camino Real express routes.

**REQUESTS FROM THE AUTHORITY**

None.

**WRITTEN COMMUNICATIONS TO THE AUTHORITY**

None.

**DATE/TIME OF NEXT REGULAR MEETING**

Chair Horsley announced that the next meeting would be on Thursday, June 6, 2019, 5:00 pm at the San Mateo County Transit District Administrative Building, Bacciocco Auditorium, 2nd Floor, San Carlos Avenue, San Carlos, CA 94070.

**REPORT OF LEGAL COUNSEL**

None.

**ADJOURN**

The meeting adjourned at 5:59 pm.

An audio/video recording of this meeting is available online at www.smcta.com. Questions may be referred to the Authority Secretary's office by phone at 650.508.6242 or by email to board@smcta.com.
Good evening Chairman Horsley and Members of the Board,

I have the following to report from Tuesday's April 30th meeting of the CAC:

(TA Items 5a, 5b, 5c) The CAC reviewed and accepted, without questions or comments.

(TA Item 11) The CAC received a Review of The Preliminary Fiscal Year 2020 Budget from Ryan Hinchman, Manager of Financial Planning and Analysis. The CAC was pleased with the increase in projected revenue included in the budget and accepted same as presented, without questions.

(TA Item 12a) After receiving information from both April Chan, Chief Officer, Planning, Grants and the TA, along with Joe Hurley, Director TA Programs, the CAC unanimously supported the Approval of the Joint Exercise of Powers Agreement for the San Mateo County Express Lanes Joint Powers Agency and Appointment of JPA Board Members.

(TA Item 12b) The CAC received a comprehensive update on the US 101-North of I-380 Managed Lanes Project from Joe Hurley. One member cited a problem fifty years ago in Los Angeles when diamond lanes were implemented by converting a general purpose lane on the Santa Monica Freeway in the LA area. It turned out that greater congestion ensued which lead to the diamond lanes being converted back. It was also questioned whether we have current studies that say that it will be better now. Others commented include the idea of going from a general purpose lane to an HOV lane and then going from an HOV lane to an express lane. The question was asked that if we go it alone (separate from San Francisco), should we really be looking at anything other than express lanes? Can we come up with a project that would be the best result for both San Francisco and San Mateo counties?

At this time, decisions on whether to go it alone, thereby making this into two projects, or to combine with San Francisco to make it into one project were generally inconclusive. Some CAC members said that making it into one project with San Francisco appeared to be more efficient, and possibly better coordinated, while others felt that it might take longer and cost more to do so. Many had no comment as to which direction to take. One CAC member remarked that San Francisco has had thoughts for several years now to take down the I-280 freeway extension. Apparently SF has plans to redevelop the Dog Patch neighborhood, located south of King St., with several residential developments in that area and wishes to connect that area south of Oracle Park with the western side of the US 101. If San Francisco still has this tearing down of the I-280 extension in its future plans, then would that not greatly impact the future plans for managed lanes changes and, thereby, make the completion longer to accomplish? Some CAC members suggested that we might have the San Mateo County project end at the Candlestick Point area. However, it was the general opinion of the CAC that we simply do not have enough information to give a definite yes or no on making this into one or two projects.
In the toll-base express lanes versus the HOV lanes discussion, a CAC member commented that it would be appealing if you can get a large ridership on buses, and if you can, that would be great for reducing congestion. Another advantage of pushing mass transit on express buses is that you don't have to park in the city when you get there or grapple with the massive congestion on San Francisco’s overburdened streets, while driving your own private passenger vehicle.

Some asked if there would be a significant degradation of the general purpose lanes. Others felt that the further the express lanes go, the better, and if we can do it in a much shorter timeframe with two projects by going it alone, why not do so? For example, if a part of project can be completed in 4 years instead of 12, why not? How closely do we have to work with San Francisco?

Questions were also presented about the need for retrofitting structures at the Grand Ave/Railroad crossing. Would this prove to be cost prohibitive due to the age of the infrastructure? Have flyovers been considered in South San Francisco?

(TA Item 12c) The CAC received both Federal and State Legislative Updates from Lori Low, Public Affairs Officer. She followed up with answers to the CAC questions from last month concerning the percentage amount of the contract increases for the award of both Federal Legislative Advocacy Services and State Legislative Advocacy Services. The CAC was pleased to find that the percentage increases were in line with the federal rates, which are 47%. The increases for both our state and federal contracts ranged from 30-47%.

The CAC was also pleased to hear that talks have been started today by President Trump concerning Transportation Infrastructure funding with a follow up due in three weeks. One CAC member expressed concern that President Trump was both car and fossil fuel oriented and not mass transit oriented.

CAC CHAIR’S REPORT:

*I reminded the CAC that the deadline for submitting applications for membership on the CAC is this Friday, May 3, 2019. Members whose terms are coming due include: An Chen, Jeff Lounder, Olma O’Neill, John Fox and Essam El-Dardiry.

* Caltrain is considering a one-eighth or quarter cent sales tax on the 2020 ballots of the three counties served by the railroad. In addition a regional mega measure for transportation could also be on the ballot next year. The measure is still very much in the early stage of development and it has not yet been decided if it will be a sales tax or some other form of taxation, what exactly it will fund.

The Caltrain tax and polling data will be discussed by the JPB's board of directors at a meeting May 2nd in San Carlos. The tax will likely span 30 years and will provide between $100 million and $200 million annually, depending upon whether the one-eighth cent or quarter-cent tax is selected.
STAFF REPORT TO CAC:

The staff report was given by Joe Hurley. He advised the CAC of the following:

The Holly Street Interchange Project is scheduled to be advertised this week for soliciting project construction bids.

The Ad Hoc Committee for the Strategic Plan met in April. Soon surveys will come out on the 11 Core Principles of the Measure W Program. Additionally, there will be a series of workshops and outreaches concerning the Strategic Plan.

Respectfully submitted,

BARBARA ARIETTA
Chair, San Mateo County Transportation Authority CAC
TO: Transportation Authority

THROUGH: Jim Hartnett
Executive Director

FROM: Derek Hansel
Chief Financial Officer

SUBJECT: STATEMENT OF REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES FOR THE PERIOD ENDING APRIL 30, 2019

ACTION
Staff proposes that the Board accept and enter into the record the Statement of Revenues and Expenditures for the month of April 2019 and supplemental information.

The statement columns have been designed to provide easy comparison of year to date prior to current actuals for the current fiscal year including dollar and percentage variances.

SIGNIFICANCE
Year to Date Revenues: As of April year-to-date, the Total Revenue (page 1, line 7) is $10.0 million higher than prior year actuals. This is primarily due to higher Sales Tax (page 1, line 1).

Year to Date Expenses: As of April year-to-date, the Total Expenditures (page 1, line 26) are $43.3 million lower than prior year actuals. This is primarily due to a fluctuation in expenditures associated with various capital projects.

Budget Amendment:
There are no budget amendments for the month of April 2019.

Prepared By: Jia Du, Accountant 650-622-6226
Jennifer Ye, Manager, General Ledger 650-622-7890
# SAN MATEO COUNTY TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY
## STATEMENT OF REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES
### Fiscal Year 2019
#### April 2019

% OF YEAR ELAPSED: 83%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PRIOR ACTUAL</th>
<th>CURRENT ACTUAL</th>
<th>$ VARIANCE</th>
<th>% VARIANCE</th>
<th>BUDGET*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>REVENUES:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Sales Tax</td>
<td>71,805,452</td>
<td>83,273,285</td>
<td>11,467,833</td>
<td>16.0%</td>
<td>86,353,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Interest Income</td>
<td>5,255,646</td>
<td>4,177,100</td>
<td>(1,078,545)</td>
<td>(20.5%)</td>
<td>5,927,618</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Miscellaneous Income</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(1,500)</td>
<td>(100.0%)</td>
<td>50,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Rental Income</td>
<td>906,308</td>
<td>548,416</td>
<td>(357,892)</td>
<td>(39.5%)</td>
<td>836,684</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Grant Proceeds</td>
<td>16,182</td>
<td>14,141</td>
<td>(2,041)</td>
<td>(12.6%)</td>
<td>1,550,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL REVENUE</strong></td>
<td>77,985,088</td>
<td>88,012,942</td>
<td>10,027,855</td>
<td>12.9%</td>
<td>144,667,502</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|                |              |                |            |            |         |
| **EXPENDITURES:**  |              |                |            |            |         |
| 11 Annual Allocations | 26,208,990 | 30,394,749     | 4,185,759  | 16.0%      | 31,518,918 |
| 13 Dumbarton Maintenance of Way | 162,778 | -             | (162,778)  | (100.0%)   | -         |
| 15 Measure A Categories | 120,788,430| 72,957,804    | (47,830,626) | (39.6%)    | 94,541,474 |
| 17 Oversight | 1,430,692   | 1,540,831      | 110,139    | 7.7%       | 1,800,000 |
| **TOTAL ADMINISTRATIVE** | 1,426,856 | 1,838,591     | 411,735    | 28.9%      | 1,894,572 |
| **TOTAL EXPENDITURES** | 150,017,745| 106,731,975   | (43,285,770) | (28.9%)    | 129,754,964 |
| **EXCESS (DEFICIT)** | (72,032,658) | (18,719,033) | 53,313,625 | (74.0%)    | 14,912,538 |
| **BEGINNING FUND BALANCE** | 489,814,617 | 407,684,194 | (82,130,423) | (1) |
| **ENDING FUND BALANCE** | 417,781,959 | 388,965,161 | (28,816,798) | 407,593,840 |

(1) Previously allocated $12,952,980 of future years’ budget to the 25th Avenue Grade Separation Project.
### Current Year Data

#### MONTHLY EXPENSES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Jul '18</th>
<th>Aug '18</th>
<th>Sep '18</th>
<th>Oct '18</th>
<th>Nov '18</th>
<th>Dec '18</th>
<th>Jan '19</th>
<th>Feb '19</th>
<th>Mar '19</th>
<th>Apr '19</th>
<th>May '19</th>
<th>Jun '19</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Revised Budget</td>
<td>155,249</td>
<td>155,249</td>
<td>155,250</td>
<td>241,651</td>
<td>144,033</td>
<td>145,366</td>
<td>146,998</td>
<td>173,313</td>
<td>144,366</td>
<td>144,366</td>
<td>144,366</td>
<td>144,366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual</td>
<td>268,531</td>
<td>183,949</td>
<td>195,928</td>
<td>181,867</td>
<td>210,842</td>
<td>215,290</td>
<td>57,432</td>
<td>172,211</td>
<td>226,913</td>
<td>125,628</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### CUMULATIVE EXPENSES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Jul '18</th>
<th>Aug '18</th>
<th>Sep '18</th>
<th>Oct '18</th>
<th>Nov '18</th>
<th>Dec '18</th>
<th>Jan '19</th>
<th>Feb '19</th>
<th>Mar '19</th>
<th>Apr '19</th>
<th>May '19</th>
<th>Jun '19</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Staff Projections</td>
<td>155,249</td>
<td>310,498</td>
<td>465,748</td>
<td>707,399</td>
<td>851,432</td>
<td>996,798</td>
<td>1,143,796</td>
<td>1,317,109</td>
<td>1,461,475</td>
<td>1,605,841</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual</td>
<td>268,531</td>
<td>452,480</td>
<td>648,408</td>
<td>830,275</td>
<td>1,041,117</td>
<td>1,256,407</td>
<td>1,313,839</td>
<td>1,486,050</td>
<td>1,712,963</td>
<td>1,838,591</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variance %</td>
<td>-72.97%</td>
<td>-45.73%</td>
<td>-39.22%</td>
<td>-17.37%</td>
<td>-22.28%</td>
<td>-26.04%</td>
<td>-14.87%</td>
<td>-12.83%</td>
<td>-17.21%</td>
<td>-14.49%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### SAN MATEO COUNTY TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY
#### CASH AND INVESTMENTS AS OF APRIL 30, 2019

**4/30/2019**

**LIQUIDITY FUNDS MANAGED BY DISTRICT STAFF**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Account</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bank of America Checking</td>
<td>$385,661.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wells Fargo Lockbox</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAIF</td>
<td>7,695,042.65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**INVESTMENT FUNDS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Account</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Investment Portfolio (Market Values)*</td>
<td>149,280,164.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMF - US Bank Custodian Account</td>
<td>6,291,526.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Pool</td>
<td>214,037,769.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total**                                            | $377,690,164.02 |
## Master Balance Sheet by Lot

**Account:** SMCTA - Agg (165727)  
**As of:** 04/30/2019  
**Base Currency:** USD

### ABS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Identifier</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Par</th>
<th>Security Type</th>
<th>Settle Date</th>
<th>Maturity Date</th>
<th>Original Cost</th>
<th>Accrued Interest</th>
<th>Market Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5453JEAD8</td>
<td>FORDO 17A A3</td>
<td>1,471,264.17</td>
<td>ABS</td>
<td>03/25/2017</td>
<td>06/15/2021</td>
<td>1,471,264.17</td>
<td>1,092.01</td>
<td>1,464,488.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02007PAC7</td>
<td>ALLYA 171 A3</td>
<td>382,657.31</td>
<td>ABS</td>
<td>01/31/2017</td>
<td>06/15/2021</td>
<td>382,625.86</td>
<td>289.12</td>
<td>381,083.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89238MDA8</td>
<td>TAOIT 17A A3</td>
<td>490,114.96</td>
<td>ABS</td>
<td>03/15/2017</td>
<td>01/16/2021</td>
<td>490,057.27</td>
<td>376.84</td>
<td>488,997.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82007BBC3</td>
<td>ALLYA 172 A3</td>
<td>1,568,728.82</td>
<td>ABS</td>
<td>03/29/2017</td>
<td>06/16/2021</td>
<td>1,568,543.87</td>
<td>1,241.04</td>
<td>1,561,978.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17307EGB5</td>
<td>CCCT 17A3 A3</td>
<td>1,604,000.00</td>
<td>ABS</td>
<td>05/22/2017</td>
<td>04/18/2017</td>
<td>1,604,272.00</td>
<td>2,048.00</td>
<td>1,591,974.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89190BAD0</td>
<td>TAOT 17B A3</td>
<td>2,662,693.08</td>
<td>ABS</td>
<td>05/17/2017</td>
<td>07/15/2021</td>
<td>2,662,488.85</td>
<td>2,082.82</td>
<td>2,649,815.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02582JHG8</td>
<td>AMXCA 174 A</td>
<td>1,200,000.00</td>
<td>ABS</td>
<td>05/30/2017</td>
<td>05/15/2019</td>
<td>1,199,807.76</td>
<td>874.67</td>
<td>1,199,555.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47788BAD6</td>
<td>JDOT 17B A3</td>
<td>974,775.34</td>
<td>ABS</td>
<td>07/18/2017</td>
<td>10/15/2021</td>
<td>974,703.99</td>
<td>788.48</td>
<td>969,915.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02582JHQR</td>
<td>AMXCA 181 A1</td>
<td>1,500,000.00</td>
<td>ABS</td>
<td>01/31/2018</td>
<td>05/16/2022</td>
<td>1,499,991.95</td>
<td>731.11</td>
<td>1,499,449.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17305EGK5</td>
<td>CCCIT 18A1 A1</td>
<td>1,500,000.00</td>
<td>ABS</td>
<td>01/31/2018</td>
<td>01/20/2023</td>
<td>1,499,792.40</td>
<td>10,478.75</td>
<td>1,491,313.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47788CAC6</td>
<td>JDOT 2018 A3</td>
<td>485,000.00</td>
<td>ABS</td>
<td>02/28/2018</td>
<td>04/18/2022</td>
<td>484,965.13</td>
<td>573.38</td>
<td>485,538.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02004VAC7</td>
<td>ALLYA 182 A3</td>
<td>1,100,000.00</td>
<td>ABS</td>
<td>04/30/2018</td>
<td>11/15/2022</td>
<td>1,099,800.24</td>
<td>1,427.56</td>
<td>1,101,227.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36255JAD6</td>
<td>GMCAR 183 A3</td>
<td>700,000.00</td>
<td>ABS</td>
<td>07/18/2018</td>
<td>05/16/2023</td>
<td>699,836.76</td>
<td>880.83</td>
<td>700,117.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14313FAD1</td>
<td>CARMX 183 A3</td>
<td>2,610,000.00</td>
<td>ABS</td>
<td>03/21/2018</td>
<td>10/17/2022</td>
<td>2,609,696.98</td>
<td>3,097.20</td>
<td>2,612,371.39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Identifier</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Base Current Units</th>
<th>Security Type</th>
<th>Settle Date</th>
<th>Final Maturity</th>
<th>Base Original Cost</th>
<th>Base Accrued Balance</th>
<th>Base Market Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3130A8QS5</td>
<td>FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANKS</td>
<td>3,200,000.00</td>
<td>AGCY BOND</td>
<td>07/15/2016</td>
<td>07/14/2021</td>
<td>3,180,540.80</td>
<td>10,700.00</td>
<td>3,120,601.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3135G0N82</td>
<td>FEDERAL NATIONAL MORTGAGE ASSOCIATION</td>
<td>825,000.00</td>
<td>AGCY BOND</td>
<td>08/19/2016</td>
<td>08/17/2021</td>
<td>822,177.68</td>
<td>2,119.79</td>
<td>805,814.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3130ACE26</td>
<td>FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANKS</td>
<td>365,000.00</td>
<td>AGCY BOND</td>
<td>09/08/2017</td>
<td>09/28/2020</td>
<td>363,828.35</td>
<td>460.05</td>
<td>360,065.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3137EAEJ4</td>
<td>FREDDIE MAC</td>
<td>990,000.00</td>
<td>AGCY BOND</td>
<td>09/29/2017</td>
<td>09/29/2020</td>
<td>988,208.10</td>
<td>1,430.00</td>
<td>980,005.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3135G0U92</td>
<td>FEDERAL NATIONAL MORTGAGE ASSOCIATION</td>
<td>1,600,000.00</td>
<td>AGCY BOND</td>
<td>01/11/2019</td>
<td>01/11/2022</td>
<td>1,598,848.00</td>
<td>12,833.33</td>
<td>1,613,681.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Identifier</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Base Current Units</th>
<th>Security Type</th>
<th>Settle Date</th>
<th>Final Maturity</th>
<th>Base Original Cost</th>
<th>Base Accrued Balance</th>
<th>Base Market Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CCYUSD</td>
<td>Receivable</td>
<td>7,715.04</td>
<td>CASH</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>7,715.04</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>7,715.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCYUSD</td>
<td>Receivable</td>
<td>7,715.04</td>
<td>CASH</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>7,715.04</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>7,715.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Identifier</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Base Current Units</th>
<th>Security Type</th>
<th>Settle Date</th>
<th>Final Maturity</th>
<th>Base Original Cost</th>
<th>Base Accrued Balance</th>
<th>Base Market Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>06417GU22</td>
<td>The Bank of Nova Scotia</td>
<td>1,600,000.00</td>
<td>CD</td>
<td>06/07/2018</td>
<td>06/05/2020</td>
<td>1,599,592.00</td>
<td>19,985.78</td>
<td>1,600,560.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87019U6D6</td>
<td>Swedbank AB</td>
<td>3,100,000.00</td>
<td>CD</td>
<td>11/17/2017</td>
<td>11/16/2020</td>
<td>3,100,000.00</td>
<td>32,448.39</td>
<td>3,057,931.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78012UE1</td>
<td>Royal Bank of Canada</td>
<td>2,750,000.00</td>
<td>CD</td>
<td>06/08/2018</td>
<td>06/07/2021</td>
<td>2,750,000.00</td>
<td>35,640.00</td>
<td>2,714,360.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86565BPC9</td>
<td>Sumitomo Mitsui Banking Corporation</td>
<td>1,550,000.00</td>
<td>CD</td>
<td>04/04/2019</td>
<td>04/01/2022</td>
<td>1,550,000.00</td>
<td>3,183.75</td>
<td>1,546,816.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Identifier</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Base Current Units</th>
<th>Security Type</th>
<th>Settle Date</th>
<th>Final Maturity</th>
<th>Base Original Cost</th>
<th>Base Accrued Balance</th>
<th>Base Market Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>02582JHQR</td>
<td>AMXCA 181 A1</td>
<td>1,500,000.00</td>
<td>CORP</td>
<td>11/30/2016</td>
<td>11/28/2016</td>
<td>1,516,187.50</td>
<td>40,681.25</td>
<td>1,518,368.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38141GGQ1</td>
<td>GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP INC</td>
<td>2,750,000.00</td>
<td>CORP</td>
<td>11/28/2016</td>
<td>07/27/2021</td>
<td>3,035,092.50</td>
<td>37,697.92</td>
<td>2,890,120.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identifier</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Par</td>
<td>Security Type</td>
<td>Settle Date</td>
<td>Maturity Date</td>
<td>Original Cost</td>
<td>Accrued Interest</td>
<td>Market Value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06051G608L</td>
<td>FORD MOTOR CREDIT INC</td>
<td>1,745.00</td>
<td>CORP</td>
<td>11/14/2019</td>
<td>01/14/2021</td>
<td>2,820,478.00</td>
<td>3,013.00</td>
<td>3,056,266.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60515G750H</td>
<td>BANK OF AMERICA CORP</td>
<td>1,117.00</td>
<td>CORP</td>
<td>10/16/2019</td>
<td>10/16/2020</td>
<td>1,198,192.00</td>
<td>462.64</td>
<td>1,245,384.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59413G996P</td>
<td>CHASE NATIONAL BANK</td>
<td>1,106.00</td>
<td>CORP</td>
<td>09/05/2019</td>
<td>09/05/2020</td>
<td>1,207,490.00</td>
<td>672.64</td>
<td>1,275,197.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59413G997H</td>
<td>CHASE NATIONAL BANK</td>
<td>1,096.00</td>
<td>CORP</td>
<td>09/05/2019</td>
<td>09/05/2020</td>
<td>1,096,000.00</td>
<td>672.64</td>
<td>1,163,392.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60515G608L</td>
<td>FORD MOTOR CREDIT INC</td>
<td>1,745.00</td>
<td>CORP</td>
<td>11/14/2019</td>
<td>01/14/2021</td>
<td>2,820,478.00</td>
<td>3,013.00</td>
<td>3,056,266.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59413G996P</td>
<td>CHASE NATIONAL BANK</td>
<td>1,106.00</td>
<td>CORP</td>
<td>09/05/2019</td>
<td>09/05/2020</td>
<td>1,207,490.00</td>
<td>672.64</td>
<td>1,275,197.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59413G997H</td>
<td>CHASE NATIONAL BANK</td>
<td>1,096.00</td>
<td>CORP</td>
<td>09/05/2019</td>
<td>09/05/2020</td>
<td>1,096,000.00</td>
<td>672.64</td>
<td>1,163,392.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Footnotes:**

- **ABS Identifier:** Unique identifier for each ABS Security.
- **Description:** Detailed description of the ABS Security.
- **Par:** Par value of the ABS Security.
- **Security Type:** Type of security.
- **Settle Date:** Date of settlement.
- **Maturity Date:** Date of maturity.
- **Original Cost:** Original cost of the security.
- **Accrued Interest:** Accrued interest.
- **Market Value:** Market value of the security.
## Master Balance Sheet by Lot

**Account:** SMCTA - Agg (165727)

**As of:** 04/30/2019

**Base Currency:** USD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ABS Identifier</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Par</th>
<th>Security Type</th>
<th>Settle Date</th>
<th>Maturity Date</th>
<th>Original Cost</th>
<th>Accrued Interest</th>
<th>Market Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3,403,471.44</td>
<td>FNMA</td>
<td></td>
<td>06/14/2021</td>
<td>3,471,596.13</td>
<td>8,123.31</td>
<td>3,409,793.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MMFUND</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31B40V534</td>
<td>FIRST AMERUS TRS MM Y</td>
<td>6,291,526.32</td>
<td>MMFUND</td>
<td></td>
<td>04/30/2019</td>
<td>6,291,526.32</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>6,291,526.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM - CP N/M A</td>
<td>County Pool New Measure A</td>
<td>96,558,908.08</td>
<td>MMFUND</td>
<td>02/28/2019</td>
<td>04/30/2019</td>
<td>96,558,908.08</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>96,558,908.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM - CP O/M A</td>
<td>County Pool Old Measure A</td>
<td>111,203,251.51</td>
<td>MMFUND</td>
<td>02/28/2019</td>
<td>04/30/2019</td>
<td>111,203,251.51</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>111,203,251.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM - LAIF</td>
<td>Local Agency Investment Fund</td>
<td>7,685,913.21</td>
<td>MMFUND</td>
<td></td>
<td>04/30/2019</td>
<td>7,685,913.21</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>7,685,913.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>US GOV</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>221,739,597.12</td>
<td>MMFUND</td>
<td></td>
<td>04/30/2019</td>
<td>221,739,597.12</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>221,739,597.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>912828TJ9</td>
<td>UNITED STATES TREASURY</td>
<td>4,450,000.00</td>
<td>US GOV</td>
<td>09/07/2018</td>
<td>06/15/2022</td>
<td>4,263,308.59</td>
<td>14,981.87</td>
<td>4,362,390.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>912828VF4</td>
<td>UNITED STATES TREASURY</td>
<td>505,000.00</td>
<td>US GOV</td>
<td>12/07/2015</td>
<td>05/31/2020</td>
<td>498,470.51</td>
<td>2,899.59</td>
<td>498,555.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>912828VP2</td>
<td>UNITED STATES TREASURY</td>
<td>1,235,000.00</td>
<td>US GOV</td>
<td>05/18/2016</td>
<td>07/31/2020</td>
<td>1,273,313.64</td>
<td>6,140.88</td>
<td>1,279,454.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>912828F62</td>
<td>UNITED STATES TREASURY</td>
<td>375,000.00</td>
<td>US GOV</td>
<td>09/09/2015</td>
<td>10/31/2019</td>
<td>376,508.79</td>
<td>15.29</td>
<td>376,524.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>912828L32</td>
<td>UNITED STATES TREASURY</td>
<td>335,000.00</td>
<td>US GOV</td>
<td>07/12/2016</td>
<td>08/31/2020</td>
<td>341,432.19</td>
<td>776.05</td>
<td>338,168.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>912828N30</td>
<td>UNITED STATES TREASURY</td>
<td>2,900,000.00</td>
<td>US GOV</td>
<td>08/03/2018</td>
<td>12/31/2022</td>
<td>2,812,773.44</td>
<td>20,598.41</td>
<td>2,833,371.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>912828N30</td>
<td>UNITED STATES TREASURY</td>
<td>2,650,000.00</td>
<td>US GOV</td>
<td>11/06/2018</td>
<td>12/31/2022</td>
<td>2,559,630.86</td>
<td>18,822.69</td>
<td>2,578,453.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>912828N30</td>
<td>UNITED STATES TREASURY</td>
<td>4,800,000.00</td>
<td>US GOV</td>
<td>12/13/2018</td>
<td>12/31/2022</td>
<td>4,681,125.00</td>
<td>34,095.92</td>
<td>4,715,220.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>912828N30</td>
<td>UNITED STATES TREASURY</td>
<td>11,000,000.00</td>
<td>US GOV</td>
<td>01/10/2019</td>
<td>12/31/2022</td>
<td>10,841,445.31</td>
<td>78,131.91</td>
<td>10,919,577.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>912828N30</td>
<td>UNITED STATES TREASURY</td>
<td>5,700,000.00</td>
<td>US GOV</td>
<td>01/31/2019</td>
<td>12/31/2022</td>
<td>5,609,601.56</td>
<td>40,486.53</td>
<td>5,650,088.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>912828Q78</td>
<td>UNITED STATES TREASURY</td>
<td>970,000.00</td>
<td>US GOV</td>
<td>01/05/2017</td>
<td>04/30/2021</td>
<td>950,751.56</td>
<td>36.24</td>
<td>951,087.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>912828R77</td>
<td>UNITED STATES TREASURY</td>
<td>3,500,000.00</td>
<td>US GOV</td>
<td>03/17/2017</td>
<td>05/31/2021</td>
<td>3,409,082.04</td>
<td>20,096.15</td>
<td>3,429,178.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>912828R69</td>
<td>UNITED STATES TREASURY</td>
<td>8,350,000.00</td>
<td>US GOV</td>
<td>03/06/2019</td>
<td>05/31/2023</td>
<td>8,258,841.80</td>
<td>60,954.57</td>
<td>8,319,796.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>912828X47</td>
<td>UNITED STATES TREASURY</td>
<td>7,500,000.00</td>
<td>US GOV</td>
<td>05/07/2018</td>
<td>04/30/2022</td>
<td>7,260,351.56</td>
<td>382.13</td>
<td>7,260,733.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>912828X47</td>
<td>UNITED STATES TREASURY</td>
<td>650,000.00</td>
<td>US GOV</td>
<td>12/06/2017</td>
<td>04/30/2022</td>
<td>643,246.09</td>
<td>33.12</td>
<td>643,280.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>912828X47</td>
<td>UNITED STATES TREASURY</td>
<td>3,250,000.00</td>
<td>US GOV</td>
<td>01/04/2018</td>
<td>04/30/2022</td>
<td>3,208,740.23</td>
<td>165.59</td>
<td>3,214,453.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Summary</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>58,670,000.00</td>
<td>US GOV</td>
<td></td>
<td>09/03/2022</td>
<td>57,260,623.18</td>
<td>297,713.95</td>
<td>58,020,855.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ABS Identifier</strong></td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Par</td>
<td>Security Type</td>
<td>Settle Date</td>
<td>Maturity Date</td>
<td>Original Cost</td>
<td>Accrued Interest</td>
<td>Market Value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>371,606,958.15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>04/29/2020</td>
<td>370,764,071.75</td>
<td>769,684.67</td>
<td>370,941,723.88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Grouped by: Security Type
* Groups Sorted by: Security Type
* Weighted by: Base Market Value + Accrued
* Holdings Displayed by: Lot
### Balance Sheet Cash and Fixed Income Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk Metric</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cash</td>
<td>7,715,04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MM Fund</td>
<td>221,719,597.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed Income</td>
<td>149,964,096.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration</td>
<td>2.044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convexity</td>
<td>0.065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WAL</td>
<td>0.901</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years to Final Maturity</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years to Effective Maturity</td>
<td>0.900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yield</td>
<td>2.487</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Book Yield</td>
<td>0.959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg Credit Rating</td>
<td>AA+/Aa3/AA-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Asset Class

- **Cash (0.002%)**
- **Fixed Income (40.644%)**
- **Money Market Funds (59.656%)**

### Security Type

- **Cash**
- **MMFUND (59.654%)**
- **MMFUND (25.977%)**
- **Abs (5.000%)**
- **AGCY BOND (2.991%)**
- **Corp (10.014%)**
- **US GOV (15.683%)**

### Market Sector

- **Cash (59.656%)**
- **Mortgage Agency (22.945%)**
- **Mortgage Bank (13.229%)**
- **Mortgage Backed (13.229%)**
- **Asset Backed (5.605%)**
- **Industrial (5.605%)**
- **Financial (10.198%)**
- **Government (15.689%)**

### Credit Rating Heat Map

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Credit Rating</th>
<th>0-1</th>
<th>1-2</th>
<th>2-3</th>
<th>3-4</th>
<th>4-5</th>
<th>5-7</th>
<th>7-10</th>
<th>10-15</th>
<th>15-30</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AAA</td>
<td>6.138%</td>
<td>1.414%</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA</td>
<td>1.659%</td>
<td>5.193%</td>
<td>6.384%</td>
<td>10.812%</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>1.966%</td>
<td>3.814%</td>
<td>1.315%</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
<td>0.431%</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BBB</td>
<td>0.426%</td>
<td>1.315%</td>
<td>1.315%</td>
<td>0.780%</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BB</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCC</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identifier</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Base Original Units</td>
<td>Base Current Units</td>
<td>Currency</td>
<td>Transaction Type</td>
<td>Trade Date</td>
<td>Settle Date</td>
<td>Final Maturity</td>
<td>Base Principal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02007HAC5</td>
<td>ALLYA 172 A3</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>(152,093.50)</td>
<td>USD</td>
<td>Principal Paydown</td>
<td>04/15/2019</td>
<td>04/15/2019</td>
<td>08/16/2021</td>
<td>(152,093.51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02007HAC7</td>
<td>ALLYA 171 A3</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>(38,509.60)</td>
<td>USD</td>
<td>Principal Paydown</td>
<td>04/15/2019</td>
<td>04/15/2019</td>
<td>06/15/2021</td>
<td>(38,509.60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06417GJ6</td>
<td>The Bank of Nova Scotia</td>
<td>(1,500,000.00)</td>
<td>(1,500,000.00)</td>
<td>USD</td>
<td>Maturity</td>
<td>04/05/2019</td>
<td>04/05/2019</td>
<td>04/05/2019</td>
<td>(1,500,000.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09659CRH3</td>
<td>BNP Paribas</td>
<td>(1,600,000.00)</td>
<td>(1,600,000.00)</td>
<td>USD</td>
<td>Maturity</td>
<td>04/17/2019</td>
<td>04/17/2019</td>
<td>04/17/2019</td>
<td>(1,600,000.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2253CDV0</td>
<td>Credit Agricole Corporate and Investment Bank</td>
<td>1,500,000.00</td>
<td>1,500,000.00</td>
<td>USD</td>
<td>Buy</td>
<td>04/03/2019</td>
<td>04/04/2019</td>
<td>04/01/2022</td>
<td>1,500,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3136A7G5</td>
<td>FNA 14M06B A2</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>(183,103.06)</td>
<td>USD</td>
<td>Principal Paydown</td>
<td>04/01/2019</td>
<td>04/01/2019</td>
<td>05/25/2021</td>
<td>(183,103.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3136AQQQ0</td>
<td>FNA 15M13A AQ2</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>(15,203.71)</td>
<td>USD</td>
<td>Principal Paydown</td>
<td>04/01/2019</td>
<td>04/01/2019</td>
<td>09/25/2019</td>
<td>(15,203.71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3136DZP4</td>
<td>FNA 18M5 A2</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>(15,056.95)</td>
<td>USD</td>
<td>Principal Paydown</td>
<td>04/01/2019</td>
<td>04/01/2019</td>
<td>09/25/2021</td>
<td>(15,056.95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3137FKK39</td>
<td>FBNK KG05 A</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>(1,092.05)</td>
<td>USD</td>
<td>Principal Paydown</td>
<td>04/01/2019</td>
<td>04/01/2019</td>
<td>07/25/2023</td>
<td>(1,092.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31846V534</td>
<td>FIRST AMER:US TRS MM Y</td>
<td>8,885,869.91</td>
<td>8,885,869.91</td>
<td>USD</td>
<td>Buy</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>8,885,869.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31846V534</td>
<td>FIRST AMER:US TRS MM Y</td>
<td>(4,488,577.49)</td>
<td>(4,488,577.49)</td>
<td>USD</td>
<td>Sell</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>(4,488,577.49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3451EAD8</td>
<td>FORDO 17A A3</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>(136,344.29)</td>
<td>USD</td>
<td>Principal Paydown</td>
<td>04/15/2019</td>
<td>04/15/2019</td>
<td>06/15/2021</td>
<td>(136,344.30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47708BAD6</td>
<td>JDOT 17B A3</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>(25,224.66)</td>
<td>USD</td>
<td>Principal Paydown</td>
<td>04/15/2019</td>
<td>04/15/2019</td>
<td>10/15/2021</td>
<td>(25,224.66)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62479LAD7</td>
<td>MUFG Bank Ltd. (New York Branch)</td>
<td>3,050,000.00</td>
<td>3,050,000.00</td>
<td>USD</td>
<td>Buy</td>
<td>04/16/2019</td>
<td>04/18/2019</td>
<td>01/13/2020</td>
<td>3,090,067.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62479MRG0</td>
<td>MUFG Bank, Ltd.</td>
<td>(3,200,000.00)</td>
<td>(3,200,000.00)</td>
<td>USD</td>
<td>Maturity</td>
<td>04/16/2019</td>
<td>04/16/2019</td>
<td>04/16/2019</td>
<td>(3,200,000.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89190BAD0</td>
<td>TAOT 17B A3</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>(207,757.08)</td>
<td>USD</td>
<td>Principal Paydown</td>
<td>04/15/2019</td>
<td>04/15/2019</td>
<td>07/15/2021</td>
<td>(207,757.07)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89238MAQ0</td>
<td>TAOT 17A A3</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>(51,859.91)</td>
<td>USD</td>
<td>Principal Paydown</td>
<td>04/15/2019</td>
<td>04/15/2019</td>
<td>02/16/2021</td>
<td>(51,859.91)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>912828X47</td>
<td>UNITED STATES TREASURY</td>
<td>(1,500,000.00)</td>
<td>(1,500,000.00)</td>
<td>USD</td>
<td>Sell</td>
<td>04/03/2019</td>
<td>04/04/2019</td>
<td>04/30/2022</td>
<td>(1,481,015.63)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
---
1,417,292.42
321,047.61
USD
---
---
10/18/2019
280,099.47
(12,042.47)
(268,057.00)

* Does not Lock Down

* Showing transactions with Trade Date within selected date range.

* Weighted by: Absolute Value of Base Principal

* MMF transactions are collapsed

Date/Trading Activity
### Accrued Interest
- The interest that has accumulated on a bond since the last interest payment up to, but not including, the settlement date. Accrued interest occurs as a result of the difference in timing of cash flows and the measurement of these cash flows.

### Amortized Cost
- The amount at which an investment is acquired, adjusted for accretion, amortization, and collection of cash.

### Book Yield
- The measure of a bond’s recurring realized investment income that combines both the bond’s coupon return plus its amortization.

### Average Credit Rating
- The average credit worthiness of a portfolio, weighted in proportion to the dollar amount that is invested in the portfolio.

### Convexity
- The relationship between bond prices and bond yields that demonstrates how the duration of a bond changes as the interest rate changes.

### Credit Rating
- An assessment of the credit worthiness of an entity with respect to a particular financial obligation. The credit rating is inversely related to the possibility of debt default.

### Duration
- A measure of the exposure to interest rate risk and sensitivity to price fluctuation of fixed-income investments. Duration is expressed as a number of years.

### Income Return
- The percentage of the total return generated by the income from interest or dividends.

### Original Cost
- The original cost of an asset takes into consideration all of the costs that can be attributed to its purchase and to putting the asset to use.

### Par Value
- The face value of a bond. Par value is important for a bond or fixed-income instrument because it determines its maturity value as well as the dollar value of coupon payments.

### Price Return
- The percentage of the total return generated by capital appreciation due to changes in the market price of an asset.

### Short-Term Portfolio
- The city’s investment portfolio whose securities’ average maturity is between 1 and 5 years.

### Targeted-Maturities Portfolio
- The city’s investment portfolio whose securities’ average maturity is between 0 and 3 years.

### Total Return
- The actual rate of return of an investment over a given evaluation period. Total return is the combination of income and price return.

### Unrealized Gains/(Loss)
- A profitable/(losing) position that has yet to be cashed in. The actual gain/(loss) is not realized until the position is closed. A position with an unrealized gain may eventually turn into a position with an unrealized loss, as the market fluctuates and vice versa.

### Weighted Average Life (WAL)
- The average number of years for which each dollar of unpaid principal on an investment remains outstanding, weighted by the size of each principal payout.

### Yield
- The income return on an investment. This refers to the interest or dividends received from a security and is expressed as a percentage based on the investment’s cost and its current market value.

### Yield to Maturity at Cost (YTM @ Cost)
- The internal rate of return of a security given the amortized price as of the report date and future expected cash flows.

### Yield to Maturity at Market (YTM @ Market)
- The internal rate of return of a security given the market price as of the report date and future expected cash flows.

### Years to Effective Maturity
- The average time it takes for securities in a portfolio to mature, taking into account the possibility that any of the bonds might be called back to the issuer.

### Years to Final Maturity
- The average time it takes for securities in a portfolio to mature, weighted in proportion to the dollar amount that is invested in the portfolio. Weighted average maturity measures the sensitivity of fixed-income portfolios to interest rate changes.
### SAN MATEO COUNTY TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY  
CHECKS WRITTEN  
Apr-19

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Ref</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SMACT</td>
<td>000264</td>
<td>MATSUMOTO, KARYL M.</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>ACH</td>
<td>Board Member Compensation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMACT</td>
<td>000267</td>
<td>MEDINA, RICO E.</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>ACH</td>
<td>Board Member Compensation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMACT</td>
<td>000268</td>
<td>GROOM, CAROLE</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>ACH</td>
<td>Board Member Compensation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMACT</td>
<td>000271</td>
<td>GROOM, CAROLE</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>ACH</td>
<td>Board Member Compensation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMACT</td>
<td>000273</td>
<td>MEDINA, RICO E.</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>ACH</td>
<td>Board Member Compensation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMACT</td>
<td>004900</td>
<td>ROMERO, CARLOS</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>CHK</td>
<td>Board Member Compensation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMACT</td>
<td>004907</td>
<td>ROMERO, CARLOS</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>CHK</td>
<td>Board Member Compensation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMACT</td>
<td>000266</td>
<td>HORSLEY, DONALD</td>
<td>200.00</td>
<td>ACH</td>
<td>Board Member Compensation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMACT</td>
<td>000269</td>
<td>FRESCHET, MAUREEN ANN</td>
<td>200.00</td>
<td>ACH</td>
<td>Board Member Compensation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMACT</td>
<td>000270</td>
<td>BEACH, EMILY RANDOLPH</td>
<td>200.00</td>
<td>ACH</td>
<td>Board Member Compensation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMACT</td>
<td>004908</td>
<td>SLAVIT, JOEL A</td>
<td>104.31</td>
<td>CHK</td>
<td>Seminar &amp; Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMACT</td>
<td>004897</td>
<td>BANK OF NEW YORK MELLON, THE</td>
<td>1,860.00</td>
<td>CHK</td>
<td>Bank Fees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMACT</td>
<td>004899</td>
<td>HOLLAND &amp; KNIGHT LLP</td>
<td>3,500.00</td>
<td>CHK</td>
<td>Legislative Advocate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMACT</td>
<td>000272</td>
<td>KHOURI CONSULTING LLC</td>
<td>4,050.00</td>
<td>ACH</td>
<td>Legislative Advocate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMACT</td>
<td>004902</td>
<td>JACOBS ENGINEERING GROUP INC.</td>
<td>2,257.42</td>
<td>CHK</td>
<td>Consultants (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMACT</td>
<td>004905</td>
<td>HANSON BRIDGETT LLP</td>
<td>3,574.00</td>
<td>CHK</td>
<td>Consultants (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMACT</td>
<td>000265</td>
<td>URS CORPORATION</td>
<td>6,728.72</td>
<td>CHK</td>
<td>Consultants (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMACT</td>
<td>004894</td>
<td>MARK THOMAS &amp; COMPANY AND AECOM JV</td>
<td>7,909.36</td>
<td>CHK</td>
<td>Consultants (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMACT</td>
<td>004903</td>
<td>MARK THOMAS &amp; COMPANY AND AECOM JV</td>
<td>700,451.95</td>
<td>CHK</td>
<td>Consultants (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMACT</td>
<td>004906</td>
<td>HNTB CORPORATION</td>
<td>119,163.60</td>
<td>CHK</td>
<td>Capital programs (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMACT</td>
<td>004904</td>
<td>MENLO PARK, CITY OF</td>
<td>22,531.35</td>
<td>CHK</td>
<td>Capital programs (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMACT</td>
<td>004896</td>
<td>SAN MATEO, CITY OF</td>
<td>30,445.91</td>
<td>CHK</td>
<td>Capital programs (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMACT</td>
<td>004901</td>
<td>HALF MOON BAY, CITY OF</td>
<td>37,216.70</td>
<td>CHK</td>
<td>Capital programs (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMACT</td>
<td>004898</td>
<td>DAILY CITY, CITY OF</td>
<td>375,667.93</td>
<td>CHK</td>
<td>Capital programs (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMACT</td>
<td>004895</td>
<td>REDWOOD CITY, CITY OF</td>
<td>1,031,923.11</td>
<td>CHK</td>
<td>Capital programs (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMACT</td>
<td>900158</td>
<td>PENINSULA CORRIDOR JOINT POWERS BOARD</td>
<td>882,864.56</td>
<td>WIR</td>
<td>Capital programs (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMACT</td>
<td>900157</td>
<td>PENINSULA CORRIDOR JOINT POWERS BOARD</td>
<td>1,321,188.99</td>
<td>WIR</td>
<td>Capital programs (13)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total: 4,552,737.91

1. Highway Oversight  
2. Highway Oversight $1,094; 101 Interchange to Broadway $2,320; Legal Services $160  
3. 101 Peninsula Ave/Poplar I/C  
4. 101 HOV Ln Whipple - San Bruno  
6. 101 Interchange to Broadway $3,511.81  
7. Bayfront Willow Adaptive Signa  
8. SR92 El Camino Real Ramp  
9. Hwy 1 Poplar-Wavecrest HMB  
10. Call for Proj-Ped&Bike FY14/15  
11. 84/101 Interchange  
12. 25th Ave Grade Separation $857,344.26; Caltrain Electrification $25,520.3  
13. 25th Ave Grade Separation
TO: Transportation Authority

THROUGH: Jim Hartnett
Executive Director

FROM: April Chan
Chief Officer, Planning, Grants and the Transportation Authority

SUBJECT: CAPITAL PROJECTS QUARTERLY STATUS REPORT
3RD QUARTER FISCAL YEAR 2019

ACTION
No action required. The Capital Projects Quarterly Status Report is submitted to the Board for information only.

SIGNIFICANCE
The Capital Projects Quarterly Status Report is submitted to keep the Board informed as to the scope, budget, and progress of current ongoing capital projects.

BUDGET IMPACT
There is no impact on the budget.

BACKGROUND
Staff prepares the Capital Projects Quarterly Status Report for the Board on a quarterly basis. The report is a summary of the scope, budget, and progress of capital projects. It is being presented to the Board for informational purposes and is intended to better inform the Board of the status of capital projects.

Prepared by: Gordon Hail, Cost Engineer 650-508-7795
Joseph M. Hurley, Director, TA Program 650-508-7942
TO:   Board of Directors

THROUGH:  Jim Hartnett
General Manager/CEO

FROM:   Derek Hansel
Chief Financial Officer

SUBJECT:  APPROVAL AND RATIFICATION OF THE FISCAL YEAR 2020 TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY INSURANCE PROGRAM

ACTION
Staff proposes that the San Mateo County Transportation Authority (TA) ratify the TA’s Insurance Program obtained through the TA’s insurance broker, USI Insurance Services, for Fiscal Year (FY) 2020, at a total premium cost of $306,846 inclusive of the following:

- Purchase $11 million combined single limit bodily injury and property damage coverage under Primary and Excess Commercial General Liability policies for an annual premium of $262,813 with a $50,000 retention; and
- Obtain Public Officials Liability insurance with policy limits of $3,000,000 for an annual premium of $44,033 with a $50,000 retention.

SIGNIFICANCE
The TA’s insurance program includes Primary and Excess Commercial General Liability and Public Officials Liability coverage. Due to a heightened volume of TA projects, TA insurance premiums are experiencing a nearly 20 percent increase as detailed in the chart below. This is consistent with the 17 percent budget increase for total TA administrative expenses in FY2020.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Principal Program</th>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>FY2019 Premium</th>
<th>FY2020 Premium</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Primary and Excess Commercial General Liability</td>
<td>$11 million</td>
<td>$212,539</td>
<td>$262,813</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Officials Liability</td>
<td>$3 million limit</td>
<td>$43,546</td>
<td>$44,033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$256,085</strong></td>
<td><strong>$306,846</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**BUDGET IMPACT**
Funds to underwrite the recommended excess liability and public officials’ liability elements of the program are included in the FY2020 Budget.

**BACKGROUND**
In comparison to the District’s one million self-insured retention, the General Liability policy obtained for the TA has a $50,000 self-insured retention. The recommended Primary and Excess Commercial General Liability program for the TA has a combined single limit for bodily injury and property damage of $11 million. This figure is comprised of a $1 million Primary Commercial General Liability insurance policy plus a $10 million Excess Liability insurance policy. Public Officials Liability also maintains a $50,000 self-insured retention and policy limits of $3 million.

In excess of the TA’s own dedicated insurance policies, the TA remains a named insured and is afforded coverage under District’s insurance program. With its own insurance program, the TA now takes advantage of much lower retentions and deductibles than when it was part of the District’s program. In addition, coverage under the TA’s insurance program is specifically designed to cover the TA’s operations and is not shared with any other entity.

RESOLUTION NO. 2019-

BOARD OF DIRECTORS, SAN MATEO COUNTY TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

***

APPROVING AND RATIFYING THE INSURANCE PROGRAM FOR
FISCAL YEAR 2020

WHEREAS, the Executive Director of the San Mateo County Transportation
Authority (TA) has approved an insurance program for Fiscal Year (FY) 2019 with
premiums totaling $306,846; and

WHEREAS, in conjunction with the expiration of the TA’s existing insurance
program on June 30, 2019, TA staff renewed its insurance program for FY2020 based on
the plan approved by the Executive Director, with the following significant elements:

1. Primary and Excess Commercial General Liability insurance with a total limit of $11
   million and $50,000 retention for an annual premium of $262,813;

2. Obtain Public Officials Liability insurance with a total policy limit of $3 million and
   $50,000 retention for an annual premium of $44,033; and

WHEREAS, the TA also is a named insured and afforded coverage under the
San Mateo County Transit District’s insurance program, in excess of the TA’s dedicated
insurance policies; and

WHEREAS, staff recommends that the Board of Directors approve and ratify the
renewal of the District’s insurance program for FY2020, as delineated above.
NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board of Directors of the San Mateo County Transportation Authority hereby approves and ratifies the renewal of the TA’s insurance program for FY2020, including the types of coverage, limits and premiums recited above.

Regularly passed and adopted this 6th day of June, 2019 by the following vote:

AYES:

NOES:

ABSENT:

__________________________________________________
Chair, San Mateo County Transportation Authority

ATTEST:

____________________________
Acting Authority Secretary
Memorandum

Date: May 28, 2019
To: TA Board of Directors
From: Jim Hartnett, Executive Director
Subject: Executive Director’s Report – June 6, 2019

101 Clarke Avenue Pedestrian Overcrossing Opening

On Saturday, May 18, State and local elected officials along with East Palo Alto community members gathered to celebrate the opening of the 101 Clarke Avenue Pedestrian Overcrossing. The overcrossing joins the east and west sides of the City of East Palo Alto separated by US 101, improving access for residents to schools, shops, and parks. The project also improves safety making the area much more walkable and enhancing the overall quality of life for residents and visitors alike.

The overcrossing’s pedestrian enhancements include LED lighting and a 12-foot-wide walkway. It cuts the crossing distance over Highway 101 by two-thirds the distance: from 1.5 to 0.5 miles.

At a cost of $14 million, the project took 18 months to construct. The primary source of funding came from the State’s Active Transportation Program. The balance came from a combination of local funds, which included $300,000 from Measure A’s Bicycle and Pedestrian program.

101 Express Lanes Project

While construction is well underway for the 101 Express Lanes on the seven-mile stretch between the Santa Clara County line and Whipple Avenue in Redwood City, the northern segment (Whipple to I-380) recently completed the 65% Design Package. The project team has been meeting with the staffs of local jurisdictions along the corridor to help them better understand the project and collectively strategize on efforts to mitigate construction and traffic impacts.

Most recently, the project team has been meeting with the City of San Mateo on sound walls and utility relocation work along the east side of 101 within the City.
Measure W and TA Strategic Plan 2020-2024

Work has been on a fast track since March with the development of a policy framework and an implementation plan for the next five-year TA Strategic Plan 2020-2024. Information pertaining to existing conditions and trends and a high-level needs analysis of common Measure A and W program categories set context for the Plan. A series of monthly meetings have been occurring with technical and stakeholder advisory groups soliciting input and feedback on preferences and needs. Staff is embarking on a broad public outreach effort this month with four town hall meetings, presentations to various community and business groups, pop-up events, an online survey, and a web page dedicated to the Plan on the TA’s website.

Staff has been working with the TA Strategic Plan Ad Hoc Committee and Director Groom will be providing a summary of that effort at the June meeting. An update to the full Board on Strategic Plan activity is scheduled for the July 2019 meeting.
AGENDA ITEM #11
JUNE 6, 2019

SAN MATEO COUNTY TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY
STAFF REPORT

TO: Transportation Authority

THROUGH: Jim Hartnett
Executive Director

FROM: Derek Hansel
Chief Financial Officer

SUBJECT: ESTABLISHING THE APPROPRIATIONS LIMIT FOR FISCAL YEAR (FY) 2020

ACTION
Staff proposes the Board approve the appropriations limit, which is applicable to the San Mateo County Transportation Authority (TA) during FY2020 in the amount of $742,653,643.

SIGNIFICANCE
The appropriations limit is the maximum amount of tax proceeds the local agency can appropriate during the fiscal year. Article XIIIIB of the California Constitution (the Gann Limit Initiative) and implementing legislation require each local agency to review its appropriations limitation on an annual basis.

BUDGET IMPACT
There is no budget impact.

BACKGROUND
Last year, the TA established its appropriations limit in the amount of $713,123,211 based on data regarding inflation and population changes released by the California Department of Finance. Staff has calculated the limit for FY 2020 to be $742,653,643, which is an increase of $29,530,432 or 4.14 percent. The increase is due to a 3.85 percent increase in the California per capita personal income and a 0.28 percent increase in the population of San Mateo County. The TA funds subject to the limit are $136.5 million (the projected Measure A and Measure W tax receipts for the year) or 18.38 percent of the appropriations limit.

Attachment A is a Notice of Determination showing the calculations and stating the limit applicable during FY 2020. State law requires this notice be posted in a conspicuous place at the TA’s office at least 15 days before the TA takes final action to approve the new limit at its June 6, 2019 meeting. This notice was posted on May 22, 2019, at 1250 San Carlos Avenue, San Carlos, CA 94070.

Prepared By: Jeannie Chen, Manager, Budgets 650-508-6259
Tina Medeiros, Senior Budget Analyst 650-508-6302
SAN MATEO COUNTY TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY

NOTICE OF DETERMINATION
OF APPROPRIATIONS LIMIT
FOR FISCAL YEAR 2020

State law (Section 7910 of the Government Code) requires each local government agency to determine during each fiscal year, the appropriations limit pursuant to Article XIIIB of the California Constitution applicable during the following fiscal year. The limit must be adopted at a regularly scheduled meeting or a noticed special meeting and the documentation used in determining the limit must be made available for public review fifteen days prior to such meeting.

Set out below is the methodology proposed to calculate the Fiscal Year 2020 appropriations limit for the San Mateo County Transportation Authority. The limit as set forth below will be considered and adopted at the meeting of the Board of Directors on June 6, 2019:

Appropriations limit for FY 2019: $713,123,211

Population change:
(December 31, 2017 to December 31, 2018) 0.28%

Change in California per capita personal income:
(December 31, 2017 to December 31, 2018) 3.85%

FY 2020 adjustment factor:
(1.0028 x 1.0385) 1.04141

FY 2020 appropriations limit:
($713,123,211 x 1.04141) $742,653,643

Dated: May 22, 2019
RESOLUTION NO. 2019 -

SAN MATEO COUNTY TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

***

ESTABLISHING THE APPROPRIATIONS LIMIT APPLICABLE TO THE
SAN MATEO COUNTY TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY DURING
FISCAL YEAR 2020

WHEREAS, by Resolution No. 2018-14 enacted on June 7, 2018, the San Mateo County Transportation Authority (TA) established an appropriations limit applicable to the TA during the Fiscal Year (FY) 2019 in the amount of $713,123,211; and

WHEREAS, Article XIII B of the California Constitution (the Gann Limit Initiative) and implementing legislation require that each local agency subject thereto establish the applicable appropriations limit by resolution on an annual basis and permit annual adjustments in the limit by applying to the previous year’s appropriations limit the factors, as issued by the California Department of Finance, reflecting changes in population and per capita income; and

WHEREAS, the calculations showing the applications of those factors have been forwarded to members of the TA for review and have been made available for public inspection at least fifteen (15) days prior to the date hereof; and

WHEREAS, the applicable factors are as follows:

(1) The California per capita personal income increased by 3.85 percent; and

(2) The San Mateo County population from January 2018 to January 2019 increased by 0.28 percent.
NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Directors of the San Mateo County Transportation Authority that the appropriations limit for FY2020 is hereby established as $742,653,643.

Regularly passed and adopted this 6th day of June, 2019 by the following vote:

AYES:

NOES:

ABSENT:

__________________________________________________
Chair, San Mateo County Transportation Authority

ATTEST:

__________________________________________________
Authority Secretary
AGENDA ITEM #12  
JUNE 6, 2019

SAN MATEO COUNTY TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY  
STAFF REPORT

TO: Transportation Authority

FROM: Derek Hansel  
Chief Financial Officer  

April Chan  
Chief Officer, Planning, Grants, and the Transportation Authority

Jim Hartnett  
Executive Director

SUBJECT: ADOPTION OF PROPOSED BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR (FY) 2020

ACTION
Staff recommends the Board adopt the San Mateo County Transportation Authority's (TA) Proposed Fiscal Year (FY) 2020 budget in the amount of $124,796,588, following the public hearing set for this meeting.

SIGNIFICANCE
At the May 2nd Board meeting, staff presented a preliminary FY2020 budget proposal to the Board. Since then, the following changes were made:

1. Staff Support (Attachment A, line 29) has decreased $97,747 to a budget of $1.3 million. This is due to a decrease in the SamTrans managing agency indirect administration costs.
2. Other Administrative expense (Attachment A, line 31) has increased $17,549 to a budget of $1.1 million. This is due to an increase in insurance premiums.

BACKGROUND
The TA was formed in 1988 with voter passage of Measure A, the half-cent sales tax for countywide transportation projects and programs. The original Measure A expired December 31, 2008. In 2004, county voters approved a New Measure A, reauthorizing the tax through 2033.

In November 2018, county voters approved Measure W (placed on the ballot by the San Mateo County Transit District (District)), authorizing a half-cent sales tax commencing July 1, 2019 for a 30 year term through June 30, 2049. The San Mateo County Transportation Authority (TA) will receive 50% of the Measure W Half Cent Sales tax. The TA’s role is to administer the proceeds from the Measure A and Measure W taxes to fund a broad spectrum of transportation-related projects and programs.

Revenues
For FY2020, total revenue for the TA is projected to be $146.1 million, an increase of $1.4 million or 1.0 percent greater than the FY2019 revised budget. The Revenues
include the following significant components:

**Sales Tax** (Attachment A, lines 1 and 3) San Mateo County Ordinance No. 04223, which authorized the TA to extend the TA’s one-half of 1 percent Retail Transactions and Use Tax for an additional 25 years beginning January 1, 2009 and ending December 31, 2033, was approved by the voters in November 2004 (Measure A). San Mateo County Ordinance No. 105, which authorized the TA to receive 50 percent of one-half of 1 percent (0.5%) of the District’s new Retail Transactions and Use Tax for 30 years beginning July 1, 2019 and ending June 30, 2049, was approved by the voters in November 2018 (Measure W). Sales Tax is cyclical and subject to changes in the economy. The following graph shows historical Sales Tax receipts not adjusted for inflation.

![Measure A Half Cent Sales Tax](chart)

Total sales tax receipts are projected to total $136.5 million in FY2020, which is an increase of $50.1 million, or 58.1 percent, from the FY2019 revised budget. $45.5 million of the increase is from the Measure W tax. The estimated increase is based on historical trends and is in line with Metropolitan Transportation Commission’s (MTC) fund estimate, which includes projections from the San Mateo County auditor. The economic indicators of high employment in diverse industries, increased wages, and economic growth signal continued growth of sales tax in San Mateo County for FY2020.

**Interest Income** (Attachment A, line 5) is income revenue generated from fund balances in the Old and New Measure A and Measure W investments in the County Investment Pool, the TA’s Investment Portfolio, and Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF). Interest Income for FY2020 is $8.7 million, an increase of $2.7 million or 46.3
percent higher than the FY2019 revised budget. This increase is due to the addition of Measure W and average interest rates being favorable in 2019; interest rates are expected to level off in FY2020. In FY2019, the TA is experiencing improved investment performance as the TA’s Investment Advisor has been given the authority to actively manage the portfolio within the constraints of TA investment policies. The FY2020 proposed budget assumes interest rates of 2.1% on LAIF and the County Investment Pool and 2.1% on the remaining portfolio.

**Miscellaneous Income** (Attachment A, line 7) is budgeted at $0 for FY2020. The $50 million included in the FY2019 revised budget was to account for project-specific funds received from Facebook and SAMCEDA for the 101 Managed Lanes project.

**Rental Income** (Attachment A, line 9) is generated from properties the TA owns and leases out to lessees. Rental Income for FY2020 is projected at $0.9 million, an increase of $75,267 or 9.0 percent increase due to increased occupancy and an increase in the CPI index.

**Expenditures**
Budget expenditures by the seven primary Measure A program categories are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>% of Tax</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transit</td>
<td>30.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highways</td>
<td>27.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Streets &amp; Transportation</td>
<td>22.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade Separation</td>
<td>15.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pedestrian &amp; Bicycle</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternative Congestion Relief</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administration-Staff Support</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Budget expenditures by the four primary Measure W program categories are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>% of Tax</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Countywide Highway Congestion</td>
<td>22.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improvements</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Safety, Pothole and</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congestion Relief Improvements</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bicycle &amp; Pedestrian Improvements</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional Transit Connections</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total 1</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Pursuant to Measure W, the other 50% of the sales tax revenues are used for transportation systems administered by the San Mateo County Transit District.
The total proposed expenditures (Attachment A, line 36) are $124.8 million, a decrease of $4.9 million or 3.8 percent compared to the FY2019 revised budget due to the FY2019 one-time $50 million expenditure budget for the 101 Managed Lanes project, partially offset by the FY2020 inclusion of $45.5 million of Measure W funds. The FY2020 proposed budget expenditures are composed primarily of $33.2 million in Measure A Annual Allocations (Attachment A, line 18), $9.1 million in Measure W Annual Allocations (Attachment A, Line 20), $41.4 million in Measure A Categories (Attachment A, line 22), $36.4 million in Measure W Categories (Attachment A, line 24), $2.3 million in Oversight (Attachment A, line 28), and $2.4 million in Total Administrative Expenses (Attachment A, line 34).

Proposed expenditures for FY2020 fall into the following major categories:

**Measure A Annual Allocations (Attachment A, line 18)**
Annual Allocations are considered as “pass-through,” and are based on the actual revenues received which are then transferred to the Annual Allocations categories. Total Annual Allocations are projected at $33.2 million, an increase of $1.7 million or 5.4 percent from the FY2019 revised budget. Annual Allocations include projects with FY2020 funding requirements as detailed in Attachment B.

- **Allocation to Local Entities** - The FY2020 proposed budget of $20.5 million is for the improvement and maintenance of local transportation, including streets and roads for the twenty cities in the County and the unincorporated County.

- **SFO Bart Extension** - The FY2020 proposed budget of $1.8 million is a direct allocation to BART, and it represents SamTrans’ share of financial assistance associated with the existing San Mateo County / SFO Bart extension.

- **Paratransit** - The FY2020 proposed budget of $3.6 million is to meet the paratransit needs of the county.

- **Transfer to SMCTD for Caltrain** - The FY2020 proposed budget of $7.3 million is for the San Mateo County local share for Caltrain operational expenditures; this represents the up-to 8% of sales tax receipts that can be transferred to Caltrain for rail operations.

**Measure A Categories (Attachment A, line 20)**
Measure A Categories include programs as detailed in Attachment B. These categories include Alternative Congestion Relief, Dumbarton, Caltrain, Pedestrian and Bicycle Program, Local Shuttle, Streets and Highways, Grade Separation, and the San Mateo County Ferry Service.

- **Alternative Congestion Relief** - The $910,000 in the FY2020 proposed budget is the full 1 percent of projected sales tax outlined in the 2004 Transportation Expenditure Plan (TEP). Of the total, $342,964 is proposed to be set aside for
future alternative congestion relief projects. The remainder $567,036 is budgeted for Commute.org’s Transportation Demand Management Program.

- **Dumbarton** - The FY2020 proposed budget of $1.8 million is for station facilities and enhancement for the Dumbarton rail corridor through East Palo Alto, Menlo Park, and Redwood City.

- **Caltrain** - The San Mateo County local share for the system-wide improvement program in the FY2020 proposed budget is $7.3 million. System-wide capital improvements anticipated to be undertaken in FY2020 for the Caltrain system include: State of Good Repair rolling stock, signal, track and station work. These funds will be matched with monies from Caltrain partners, the Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority and the City and County of San Francisco.

- **Pedestrian and Bicycle** - The Pedestrian and Bicycle line item for $2.7 million represents the full 3 percent of sales tax revenues designated for this category in the 2004 TEP. These funds will be used for projects selected through future calls for projects.

- **Local Shuttle** - The $3.6 million for this line item represents the funds set aside for shuttles receiving allocations in the FY2020 Shuttle Program call for projects.

- **Streets and Highways** - In accordance with the 2004 TEP, the Streets and Highways Program expenditures include funding for key congested corridors in the amount of $15.7 million, and for supplemental roadway projects in the amount of $9.3 million. These funds will be used for projects selected through future calls for projects.

- **Grade Separation** - $13.7 million for this line item would have been budgeted as available for Grade Separation projects. However the TA Board previously allocated $74.0 million to the 25th Avenue Grade Separation project. The funds not being budgeted in FY2020 help meet that commitment as well as other more recent allocations.

- **San Mateo County Ferry Service** - $1.8 million for this line item would have been budgeted as available for financial assistance for the ferry service to South San Francisco and Redwood City. The budget authority for Ferry was increased in FY2010 to include future years’ budget authority for the South San Francisco Ferry Terminal. The funds not being budgeted in FY2020 help meet that commitment.

**Measure W Annual Allocations (Attachment A, line 22)**
Annual Allocations are considered as “pass-through,” and are based on the actual revenues received which are then transferred to the Annual Allocations categories. Total Annual Allocations are projected at $9.1 million. Annual Allocations include projects with FY2020 funding requirements as detailed in Attachment B.
• **Allocation to Local Entities** - The FY2020 proposed budget of $9.1 million is for major arterial and local roadway improvements in key congested areas throughout the County.

**Measure W Categories (Attachment A, line 24)**
Measure W Categories include programs as detailed in Attachment B. These categories include Countywide Highway Congestion Improvements, Local Safety, Pothole and Congestion Relief Improvements, Bicycle and Pedestrian Improvements, and Regional Transit Connections.

• **Countywide Highway Congestion Improvements** - The $20.5 million dollars in the FY2020 proposed budget is the full 22.5 percent of projected sales tax outlined in the Measure W Congestion Relief Plan (CRP).

• **Local Safety, Pothole and Congestion Relief Improvements** - The FY2020 proposed budget of $2.3 million is the full 2.5 percent for Congestion Relief Projects in the CRP.

• **Bicycle and Pedestrian Improvements** - The Bicycle and Pedestrian line item for $4.6 million represents the full 5 percent of sales tax revenues designated for this category in the CRP. These funds will be used for projects selected through future calls for projects.

• **Regional Transit Connection** - The FY2020 proposed budget of $9.1 million is the full 10 percent for Congestion Relief Projects in the CRP. These funds will be used for projects to improve transit connectivity between the County and the region.

**Oversight (Attachment A, line 28)**
Oversight includes programming and monitoring of projects, calls for projects and administration of the policies and procedures from the 2004 Measure. These expenditures will be funded from interest earned on the investment of fund balances. The oversight category contains $2.3 million for TA costs associated with implementing the various TEP categories. This number is an increase to the FY2019 revised budget, as staff estimates an increased level of staff oversight work in FY2020, as compared to FY2019.

**Staff Support (Attachment A, line 31)**
Staff support includes wages and benefits to administer the TA. The FY2020 proposed budget of $1.3 million is an increase of $137,039 due to a 3 percent salary increase, an increase in the CalPERS unfunded and retiree medical liabilities. A majority of the $1.3 million budget will be funded by the 1.0 percent of the FY2020 Measure A sales tax category designated for staff support, and the remainder will be funded from previous years’ surpluses in this category.

**Other Admin Expenses (Attachment A, line 31)**
Other Admin Expenses represent administrative expenses other than Staff Support and Measure A Info-Other. Other Admin Expenses is $1.1 million and primarily consists of
$370,900 of legal expenses, $306,846 of insurance expense, and $166,425 of bank and audit fees. The $397,373 increase between FY2020 proposed expenses and FY2019 revised budget is primarily due to $250,000 of one-time legal expenses for the Managed Lanes project, and increased legislative advocacy costs.

**Total Administrative (Attachment A, line 32)**
Total administrative expenditures are projected to increase by $0.5 million or 28.1 percent from the FY2019 revised budget primarily due to increased wages and benefits and one-time legal expenses mentioned above.

Prepared By: Jeannie Chen, Manager, Budgets 650-508-7733
Tina Medeiros, Senior Budget Analyst 650-508-6302
## SAN MATEO COUNTY TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY

## FY2020 PROPOSED BUDGET

### REVENUE:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>Increase (Decrease)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>F = E/C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sales Tax - Measure A</td>
<td>87,817,926</td>
<td>86,353,200</td>
<td>86,353,200</td>
<td>91,000,000</td>
<td>4,646,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sales Tax - Measure W</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>45,500,000</td>
<td>45,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest Income</td>
<td>6,355,686</td>
<td>5,927,618</td>
<td>5,927,618</td>
<td>8,673,040</td>
<td>2,745,422</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous Income</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>50,000,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(50,000,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rental Income</td>
<td>1,073,673</td>
<td>836,684</td>
<td>836,684</td>
<td>911,951</td>
<td>75,267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grant Proceeds</td>
<td>3,038,578</td>
<td>1,550,000</td>
<td>1,550,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(1,550,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL REVENUE</strong></td>
<td><strong>98,287,363</strong></td>
<td><strong>94,667,502</strong></td>
<td><strong>144,667,502</strong></td>
<td><strong>146,084,991</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,417,489</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### EXPENDITURES:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>Increase (Decrease)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>F = E/C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measure A Annual Allocations</td>
<td>31,093,145</td>
<td>31,518,918</td>
<td>31,518,918</td>
<td>33,215,000</td>
<td>1,696,082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measure A Categories</td>
<td>145,860,863</td>
<td>41,017,770</td>
<td>94,541,474</td>
<td>41,405,000</td>
<td>53,136,474</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measure W Annual Allocations</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9,100,000</td>
<td>9,100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measure W Categories</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>36,400,000</td>
<td>36,400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dumbarton Maintenance of Way</td>
<td>209,237</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oversight</td>
<td>1,565,179</td>
<td>1,800,000</td>
<td>1,800,000</td>
<td>2,250,000</td>
<td>450,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL EXPENDITURES</strong></td>
<td><strong>180,417,786</strong></td>
<td><strong>76,199,681</strong></td>
<td><strong>129,754,964</strong></td>
<td><strong>124,796,588</strong></td>
<td><strong>(4,958,375)</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### EXCESS/(DEFICIT)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY2020 PROPOSED TO FY2019 REVISED</th>
<th>BUDGET PERCENT CHANGE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(82,130,423)</td>
<td>(12,952,980)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>(12,952,980)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>(15,470,000)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Adjusted EXCESS/(DEFICIT)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY2020 PROPOSED TO FY2019 REVISED</th>
<th>BUDGET PERCENT CHANGE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5,514,841</td>
<td>1,959,558</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5,818,403</td>
<td>3,858,845</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TOTAL EXCESS/(DEFICIT)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY2020 PROPOSED TO FY2019 REVISED</th>
<th>BUDGET PERCENT CHANGE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5,514,841</td>
<td>1,959,558</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5,818,403</td>
<td>3,858,845</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### BEGINNING FUND BALANCE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY2020 PROPOSED TO FY2019 REVISED</th>
<th>BUDGET PERCENT CHANGE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>489,814,617</td>
<td>489,705,061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>405,634,282</td>
<td>405,593,840</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### ESTIMATED ENDING FUND BALANCE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY2020 PROPOSED TO FY2019 REVISED</th>
<th>BUDGET PERCENT CHANGE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>407,684,194</td>
<td>495,219,902</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>407,593,840</td>
<td>415,462,155</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

1. See Attachment B for details.
2. The FY2019 proposed budget does not include Grade Separation that was previously included in FY2017 per resolution 2016-25. The budget authority was increased in FY2017 to include future years' budget authority for the 25th Ave Grade Separation Project.
3. The FY2020 proposed budget does not include Grade Separation and Ferry that were previously included in budgets in FY2017 and FY2010 per resolutions 2016-25 and 2009-09, respectively.
4. The budget authority for Grade Separation was increased in FY2017 to include future years' budget authority for the 25th Ave Grade Separation Project.
5. The budget authority for Ferry was increased in FY2010 to include future years' budget authority for the South San Francisco Ferry Terminal.
## FY2020 Allocations and Expenditures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Annual Allocations</th>
<th>Project</th>
<th>TEP % Share</th>
<th>FY 2020 Proposed Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Measure A</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Allocation to Local Entities</td>
<td></td>
<td>22.50%</td>
<td>20,475,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>SFO BART Extension</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.00%</td>
<td>1,820,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total Pass-Thru</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>22,295,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Paratransit</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.00%</td>
<td>3,640,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Transfer to SMCTD for Caltrain</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.00%</td>
<td>7,280,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total Annual Allocations</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>33,215,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Measure A Categories:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Alternative Congestion Relief</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Available for future ACR projects 000903</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>342,964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Commute.org TDM program 000807</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>567,036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Dumbarton 100263</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.00%</td>
<td>1,820,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Caltrain 000605</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.00%</td>
<td>7,280,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Pedestrian and Bicycle Program 000816</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.00%</td>
<td>2,730,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Local Shuttle 000902</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.00%</td>
<td>3,640,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Streets and Highways</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Key congested corridors program 000900</td>
<td></td>
<td>17.30%</td>
<td>15,743,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Supplemental roadway projects 000901</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.20%</td>
<td>9,282,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Grade Separation 100258</td>
<td></td>
<td>15.00%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>San Mateo County Ferry Service 100264</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.00%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total Measure A Categories</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>41,405,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Staff Support</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00%</td>
<td>910,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total Measure A Sales Tax</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>75,530,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure W</th>
<th>Annual Allocations:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Allocation to Local Entities</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.00%</td>
<td>9,100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total Measure W Categories</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>36,400,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Starting in FY2020, the TA will receive 50% of a Half Cent Sales tax (Measure W).*
WHEREAS, Section 131265(a) of the California Public Utilities Code requires the San Mateo County Transportation Authority ("Authority" or "TA") Board of Directors to adopt an annual budget; and

WHEREAS, in accordance with Section 131266 of the California Public Utilities Code, the Authority conducted a public hearing concerning the annual budget at its meeting on June 6th, 2019; and

WHEREAS, the Executive Director has prepared and presented to the Board of Directors the proposed budget for fiscal year 2020 which includes: Measure A Annual Allocations in the amount of $33,215,000, Measure A Categories in the amount of $41,405,000, Measure W Annual Allocations in the amount of $9,100,000, Measure W Categories in the amount of $36,400,000, Oversight in the amount of $2,250,000, and Total Administrative Expenses in the amount of $2,426,588.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Directors of the San Mateo County Transportation Authority adopts the budget for Fiscal Year 2020, a copy of which is attached and incorporated herein as Attachments A and B.
Regularly passed and adopted the 6th day of June, 2019 by the following vote:

AYES:

NOES:

ABSENT:

___________________________
Chair, San Mateo County Transportation Authority

ATTEST:

___________________________
Authority Secretary
Proposed
FY 2020 Budget

Board of Directors
June 6, 2019
Agenda Item #12
Changes from May Board Meeting

Expenditures

- **Staff Support decrease $97,747**
  (Attachment A, Line 31)

- **Insurance Premium increased $17,549**
  (Attachment A, Line 33)
SAN MATEO COUNTY TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY
STAFF REPORT

TO: Transportation Authority

THROUGH: Jim Hartnett
Executive Director

FROM: April Chan
Chief Officer, Planning, Grants and the Transportation Authority

SUBJECT: APPROVAL OF FIRST AMENDED AND RESTATED JOINT EXERCISE OF POWERS AGREEMENT FOR THE SAN MATEO COUNTY EXPRESS LANES JOINT POWERS AGENCY

ACTION
The Joint TA-C/CAG Ad Hoc Committee recommends, and staff conurs, that the Board approve the First Amended and Restated Joint Exercise of Powers Agreement for the San Mateo County Express Lanes Joint Powers Agency (Attachment 1) to add "San Mateo County" to the name of the subject agency to better reflect its location and facilities.

SIGNIFICANCE
The San Mateo County Transportation Authority (TA) and City/County Association of Governments of San Mateo County (C/CAG) Boards approved a Joint Exercise of Powers Agreement (J EPA) to create a joint powers agency (JPA) to own, manage, contract for operation of, and collect toll revenues of express lanes in San Mateo County at their April and May 2019 Board meetings, respectively.

In the J EPA, the name of the JPA is specified as the “Express Lanes Joint Powers Authority.” In order to better reflect both the location and identify of the JPA’s facilities, staff and the Joint Ad Hoc Committee recommend that the legal name of the JPA, which is established by the J EPA, be amended to be “San Mateo County Express Lanes Joint Powers Authority.” This change requires an amendment to the J EPA.

BUDGET IMPACT
There is no budget impact associated with this action.

BACKGROUND
The TA and C/CAG Boards decided at their February 2019 meetings to retain ownership of the San Mateo County Express Lanes. Since then, the Joint Ad Hoc Committee comprised of TA and C/CAG directors met several times to reach agreement on governance and staffing of the JPA, which culminated in the executed J EPA, which took effect on June 1, 2019.
ATTACHMENT 1

FIRST AMENDED AND RESTATED
JOINT EXERCISE OF POWERS AGREEMENT
FOR THE SAN MATEO COUNTY EXPRESS LANES

THIS FIRST AMENDED AND RESTATED JOINT EXERCISE OF POWERS
AGREEMENT ("Agreement"), is made and entered as of the ___ day of
______________, 2019 by and between the San Mateo County Transportation Authority
("TA") and City/County Association of Governments of San Mateo County ("C/CAG"),
each of which is a public entity duly organized and existing in the County of San Mateo
under the constitution and laws of the State of California and individually or collectively
called "Member" or "Members."

WITNESSETH:

WHEREAS, the Joint Exercise of Powers Act (California Government Code Section
6500 et seq., the "Act") authorizes the Members to enter into an agreement for the joint
exercise of any power common to them and, by that agreement, create an entity that is
separate from each of the Members; and

WHEREAS, the TA was formed by the voters of San Mateo County in 1988 with the
passage of the original Measure A and extended by the voters of the San Mateo County
in 2004 pursuant to California Public Utilities Code Section 131000, et seq.; and

WHEREAS, C/CAG is a joint powers agency formed in 1990 pursuant to the Act by the
County of San Mateo and the 20 cities and towns located in the County of San Mateo to
be the responsible agency in San Mateo County to prepare and adopt the congestion
management program per California Government Code Section 65089 et seq., and
which develops and implements countywide plans required under additional State laws,
particularly concerning transportation, air quality, storm water runoff, hazardous waste,
solid waste and recycling, and serves as the Congestion Management Agency for the
County of San Mateo; and

WHEREAS, the TA and C/CAG are the co-sponsors of the US-101 Express Lanes
Project ("Project"), which includes (i) the conversion of the existing High Occupancy
Vehicle ("HOV") lanes into express lanes from the northern terminus of the Santa Clara
County express lanes to the Whipple Road Interchange and (ii) the construction of new
express lanes from Whipple Road to north of I-380 in San Mateo County. When
completed, the Project will provide continuous express lanes in San Mateo County, in
both the northbound and southbound directions of US-101; and

WHEREAS, by this Agreement, the Members desire to create a joint powers agency to
apply to the California Transportation Commission to own, administer, and manage the
operations of the Project; to share in the ownership, administration, and management of
any potential future express lanes within San Mateo County; to set forth the terms and conditions governing the management, operation, financing, and expenditure of revenues generated by express lanes in San Mateo County; and to exercise the powers described herein and as provided by law (including but not limited to California Streets and Highways Code Section 149.7, as it now exists and may hereafter be amended).

WHEREAS, on April 11, 2019, the C/CAG Board approved the Joint Exercise of Powers Agreement for the San Mateo County Express Lanes, and, on May 2, 2019, the TA Board approved that Agreement, and the C/CAG and TA now wish to amend and restate that Agreement to revise the name of the joint powers agency to better reflect the location and identity of the agency.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual promises and covenants contained herein, the Members hereto agree as follows:

ARTICLE I

DEFINITIONS

Section 1.1. Definitions. For the purposes of this Agreement, the following words have the following meanings:

“Act” means the Joint Exercise of Powers Act of the State of California, California Government Code Section 6500 et seq., as they now exist or may hereafter be amended.

“Agreement” means this Joint Exercise of Powers Agreement.

"Bay Area Infrastructure Financing Authority" or "BAIFA" means the joint powers authority between the Metropolitan Transportation Commission and the Bay Area Toll Authority, which oversees the planning, financing, construction and operation of freeway express lanes and related transportation projects in the San Francisco Bay Area.

“Board of Directors” or “Board” means the governing body of the San Mateo County Express Lanes JPA.

“Indebtedness” means bonds, notes or other obligations of the San Mateo County Express Lanes JPA issued pursuant to any provision of law which may be used by the San Mateo County Express Lanes JPA for the authorization and issuance of debt, including bonds, notes, or other obligations.

“Bond Law” means Article 2 of the Act, as now or hereafter amended, or any other law hereafter legally available for use by the San Mateo County Express Lanes JPA in the authorization and issuance of bonds to finance needed public facilities or services.

"Caltrans" means the California State Department of Transportation, which owns the right-of-way and roadway on which the express lanes will operate.
"C/CAG" means the City/County Association of Governments of San Mateo County, a sponsor of the Project during Project initiation and co-sponsor of the Project during environmental, and design and build phases.

“Controller” means the Controller of the San Mateo County Express Lanes JPA designated pursuant to Section 4.7 of this Agreement.

“County” means the County of San Mateo, State of California.

"Expenditure Plan" means a policy document establishing the plan for use of express lane revenues to facilitate travel in the corridor where tolls are collected, as required by California Streets and Highways Code section 149.7, as it now exists and may hereafter be amended.

“Fiscal year” means the period from July 1st to and including the following June 30th, or such other period as the Board may specify by resolution.

"Gross Revenues" means all revenues received by the San Mateo County Express Lanes JPA for the operations of express lanes, including but not limited to tolls and interest on funds of the San Mateo County Express Lanes JPA.

“Joint Facilities” means all facilities, equipment, resources and property to be managed and operated by the San Mateo County Express Lanes JPA and, if and when acquired or constructed, any improvements and additions thereto and any additional facilities or property acquired or constructed by the San Mateo County Express Lanes JPA or either of the Members related to express lanes in the County.

“San Mateo County Express Lanes Joint Powers Authority" or "San Mateo County Express Lanes JPA” means the joint powers authority established by this Agreement as authorized by California Government Code Section 6503.5.

“Member” or "Members" means each party, individually or collectively, respectively, to this Agreement (e.g., the TA and C/CAG).

“Secretary” means the secretary of the San Mateo County Express Lanes JPA appointed pursuant to Section 4.6 of this Agreement.

“State” means the State of California.

"TA" means the San Mateo County Transportation Authority, a co-sponsor of the Project during Project environmental, and design and build phases.

“Treasurer” means the Treasurer of the San Mateo County Express Lanes JPA designated pursuant to Section 4.7 of this Agreement.
ARTICLE II
GENERAL PROVISIONS

Section 2.1. San Mateo County Express Lanes JPA.

(a) Pursuant to Section 6503.5 of the Act, the parties to this Agreement hereby recognize and confirm the continued existence of a public entity separate and independent from the Members, hereafter to be known as the “San Mateo County Express Lanes Joint Powers Authority” or “SMC Express Lanes JPA,” pursuant to the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreement.

(b) Within thirty (30) days after the effective date of this Agreement, and after any amendment, the SMC Express Lanes JPA must cause a notice of such Agreement or amendment to be prepared and filed with the office of the California Secretary of State containing the information required by California Government Code Section 6503.5.

(c) Within thirty (30) days after the effective date of this Agreement, and after any amendment, the SMC Express Lanes JPA must cause a copy of such Agreement or amendment to be filed with the State Controller pursuant to California Government Code Section 6503.6.

(d) Within ten (10) days after the effective date of this Agreement, the SMC Express Lanes JPA must cause a statement of the information concerning the SMC Express Lanes JPA, required by California Government Code Section 53051, to be filed with the office of the California Secretary of State and with the County Clerk, amending and clarifying the facts required to be stated pursuant to subdivision (a) of Government Code Section 53051.

Section 2.2. Purpose. The purpose of the SMC Express Lanes JPA is to exercise the common powers of the Members to:

(a) Own, manage, operate and maintain the Joint Facilities under authorities such as California Streets and Highways Code Section 149.7;

(b) Collect toll and any other revenues generated by the Joint Facilities;

(c) Implement the financing, acquisition, and construction of additions and improvements to the Joint Facilities;

(d) Enter into and manage contracts, which may include but are not limited to the following:

i. the Express Lanes Operation Contract with BAIFA;

ii. a maintenance contract with Caltrans; and
iii. an enforcement contract with the California Highway Patrol;

(c) Oversee BAIFA's operation of the facility;

(d) Make policy decisions related to the express lane operations in the County, including but not limited to setting tolls to cover costs (operating and maintaining facility; administering system; covering BAIFA contract) and setting revenue generation targets;

(e) Prepare and adopt the plan for expenditure of toll lane revenues within the corridor in which they are collected;

(f) Implement or contract for implementation of such expenditure plan;

(g) Create and implement an equity program associated with express lanes in the County, if desired; and

(h) Issue and repay Indebtedness of the SMC Express Lanes JPA

Each of the Members is authorized to exercise all such powers (except the power to issue and repay Indebtedness of the SMC Express Lanes JPA) pursuant to its organic law, and the SMC Express Lanes JPA is authorized to issue and provide for the repayment of Indebtedness pursuant to the provisions of the Bond Law or other applicable law.

Section 2.3. Term. The Effective Date of this Agreement is June 1, 2019. This Agreement will continue in effect until such time as all of the following have occurred: (i) all Indebtedness, if any, and the interest thereon issued by the SMC Express Lanes JPA under the Bond Law, the Act or other applicable law have been paid in full or provision for such payment have been made, (ii) the SMC Express Lanes JPA and the Members have paid all sums due and owing pursuant to this Agreement or pursuant to any contract executed pursuant to this Agreement, and (iii) dissolution has occurred pursuant to Section 3.3.

ARTICLE III

POWERS AND OBLIGATIONS OF SMC EXPRESS LANES JPA

Section 3.1. General Powers. The SMC Express Lanes JPA will have the power in its own name to exercise any and all common powers of its Members reasonably related to the purposes of the SMC Express Lanes JPA, including, but not limited to, the powers to:

(a) Seek, receive and administer funding from any available public or private source, including toll and any other revenues and grants or loans under any available federal, state, and local programs for assistance in achieving the purposes of the SMC Express Lanes JPA;
(b) Contract for the services of engineers, attorneys, planners, financial and other necessary consultants, and/or other public agencies;

(c) Make and enter into any other contracts;

(d) Employ agents or officers;

(e) Acquire, lease, construct, own, manage, maintain, dispose of or operate (subject to the limitations herein) any buildings, works, or improvements, including but not limited to the Joint Facilities;

(f) Acquire, hold, manage, maintain, or dispose of any other property by any lawful means, including without limitation gift, purchase, lease, lease-purchase, license, or sale;

(g) Incur all authorized Indebtedness;

(h) Receive gifts, contributions, and donations of property, funds, services, and other forms of financial or other assistance from any persons, firms, corporations, or governmental entities; and

(i) Sue and be sued in its own name; and

(j) Seek the adoption or defeat of any federal, state, or local legislation or regulation necessary or desirable to accomplish the stated purposes and objectives of the SMC Express Lanes JPA; and

(k) Adopt rules, regulations, policies, plans, programs, bylaws, and procedures governing the operation of the SMC Express Lanes JPA and the Joint Facilities;

(l) Invest any money in the treasury pursuant to California Government Code Section 6505.5 that is not required for the immediate necessities of the SMC Express Lanes JPA, as the SMC Express Lanes JPA determines is advisable, in the same manner and upon the same conditions as local agencies, pursuant to California Government Code Section 53601, as it now exists or may hereafter be amended;

(m) Carry out and enforce all the provisions of this Agreement; and

(n) Exercise all other powers not specifically mentioned herein, but common to the Members, and authorized by California Government Code Section 6508 as it now exists or may hereafter be amended.

Section 3.2. Specific Powers and Obligations.

(a) Audit. The records and accounts of the SMC Express Lanes JPA must be audited annually by an independent certified public accountant, and copies of such audit report must be filed with the State Controller and the County Auditor and will be
provided to each Member no later than fifteen (15) days after receipt of such audit reports by the SMC Express Lanes JPA. The Board of Directors may, by unanimous vote, replace the annual audit with an audit covering up to a two-year period.

(b) Securities. The SMC Express Lanes JPA may use any statutory power available to it under the Act and any other applicable laws of the State of California, whether heretofore or hereinafter enacted or amended, for issuance and sale of any Bonds or other evidences of indebtedness necessary or desirable to finance the exercise of any power of the SMC Express Lanes JPA, and may borrow from any source including, without limitation, the federal government, for these purposes.

(c) Liabilities. The debts, liabilities, and obligations, whether contractual or non-contractual, of the SMC Express Lanes JPA will be the debts, liabilities, and obligations of the SMC Express Lanes JPA alone, and not the debts, liabilities, or obligations of the Members or their member entities.

(d) Hold Harmless and Indemnification. To the fullest extent permitted by law, the SMC Express Lanes JPA agrees to save, indemnify, defend, and hold harmless each Member from any liability, claims, suits, actions, arbitration proceedings, administrative proceedings, regulatory proceedings, losses, expenses, or costs of any kind, whether actual, alleged, or threatened, including attorneys' fees and costs, court costs, interest, defense costs, and expert witness fees, where the same arise out of, or are in any way attributable in whole or in part, to negligent acts or omissions of the SMC Express Lanes JPA or its officers, or agents or the employees, officers, or agents of any Member while acting within the course and scope of an agency relationship with the SMC Express Lanes JPA.

(e) Manner of Exercise. For purposes of California Government Code Section 6509, the powers of the SMC Express Lanes JPA will be exercised subject to the restrictions upon the manner of exercising such powers as are imposed upon the TA.

(f) Review of Agreement. This Agreement will be reviewed every four (4) years by the Members, but its terms and conditions may be reviewed more frequently whenever the Members agree to do so. Upon the completion of every such review, the SMC Express Lanes JPA will prepare a report regarding any recommended changes to the Agreement and transmit such report to each of the Members.

Section 3.3. Dissolution of SMC Express Lanes JPA.

(a) Notice. Either Member can express its intent to dissolve the SMC Express Lanes JPA with at least 12 months' written notice.

(b) Intent. Such intent may be expressed by the TA only upon a two-thirds (2/3) vote of its Board of Directors. Such intent may be expressed by C/CAG only upon a vote by a majority of its Board of Directors and only when the members voting in favor of such action represent a majority of the population of the County.
(c) **Agreement with Successor Entity.** The SMC Express Lanes JPA cannot be dissolved until a successor entity, qualified by State law then in-effect, has agreed to (i) assume ownership of the SMC Express Lanes JPA's Joint Facilities and other assets, (ii) provide for the assumption or discharge of the SMC Express Lanes JPA's Indebtedness and other liabilities, and (iii) carry out all duties associated with operation and maintenance of the express lanes and management of the expenditure of the Gross Revenues. Such agreement must be expressed in a contract between the successor entity, the SMC Express Lanes JPA, the TA and C/CAG, which may be executed only upon approval of (i) a two-thirds (2/3) vote of the TA Board of Directors, (ii) a majority vote of the C/CAG Board of Directors and only when the members voting in favor of such action represent a majority of the population of the County, and (iii) a super majority (five (5) votes) of the SMC Express Lanes JPA Board of Directors.

**ARTICLE IV**

**ORGANIZATION, GOVERNANCE AND FUNCTIONS OF SMC EXPRESS LANES JPA**

Section 4.1. **Governing Board.**

(a) The Board will govern the SMC Express Lanes JPA in accordance with this Agreement and will have three (3) Board members per Member.

(b) Each Board member will serve for a term of two years, although a Board member may be removed during his or her term or re-appointed for multiple terms at the pleasure of the appointing authority.

(c) All voting power of the SMC Express Lanes JPA will reside in the Board.

(d) Each Board member will cease to be a member of the Board when such member ceases to hold office on the legislative body of the Member that appointed him or her. Vacancies will be filled by the respective appointing Member in the same manner as initial appointments.

(e) The composition of the Board and the Members' appointments to fill vacancies should reflect a balanced representation from the different regions of the County.

Section 4.2. **Compensation and Expense Reimbursement.**

(a) All Board members are entitled to a stipend for attending each Board meeting upon the enactment of a resolution of the Board to authorize such stipends.

(b) A Board member may waive the compensation to which he or she would otherwise be entitled under the preceding paragraph by notifying the Secretary in writing that he or she expressly and irrevocably waives any such compensation that he or she would otherwise be entitled to be paid in the future for services as a Board member.
This written waiver must: (i) be voluntary; (ii) be irrevocable; (iii) expressly waive any and all future compensation to which the Board member may be entitled under this Section 4.2; (iv) acknowledge that, by waiving compensation, the Board member understands he or she is not entitled to any compensation he or she would otherwise be eligible to receive pursuant to this Section 4.2; (v) acknowledge that the amount of the waived compensation will be retained in the SMC Express Lane JPA's general assets; and (vi) be dated and signed by the Board Member and filed with the Secretary before the compensation is paid. The Secretary must retain the original copy of a Board member compensation waiver in accordance with a record retention policy established by the Board in full accordance with all applicable statutory requirements.

(c) Each Board member will be reimbursed for reasonable and necessary expenses actually incurred in the conduct of the SMC Express Lanes JPA's business, pursuant to an expense reimbursement policy established by the Board in full accordance with all applicable statutory requirements.

Section 4.3. Conflicts of Interest.

(a) Political Reform Act. Board members will be considered “public officials” within the meaning of the Political Reform Act of 1974, as amended, and its regulations, for purposes of financial disclosure, conflict of interest, and other requirements of such Act and regulations, subject to a contrary opinion or written advice of the California Fair Political Practices Commission. The SMC Express Lanes JPA must adopt a conflict-of-interest code in compliance with the Political Reform Act.

(b) Levine Act. Board members are “officials” within the meaning of California Government Code Section 84308 et seq., commonly known as the “Levine Act,” and therefore subject to the restrictions of such act on the acceptance, solicitation, or direction of contributions.

Section 4.4. Board Meetings

(a) Time and Place. The Board will meet quarterly, or more often as needed, at a place designated by the Board with the location included in the notice of each meeting under the Ralph M. Brown Act, California Government Code Section 54950 et seq. The date, time and place of regular meetings of the Board will be designated on a meeting calendar adopted by the Board each year.

(b) Call and Conduct. All meetings of the Board will be called and conducted in accordance with the provisions of the Ralph M. Brown Act, other applicable law, and Rosenberg’s Rules of Order.

(c) Quorum. Four (4) Board members will constitute the quorum of the Board required to conduct a meeting of the Board.
(d) **Rules.** The Board may adopt from time to time such bylaws, rules, and regulations for the conduct of meetings of the Board and of the affairs of the SMC Express Lanes JPA consistent with this Agreement and other applicable law.

(e) **Minutes.** The Secretary will cause minutes of all meetings of the Board to be drafted and mailed to each Member promptly after each meeting. Upon approval by the Board, such minutes will become a part of the official records of the SMC Express Lanes JPA.

Section 4.5. **Voting.**

(a) All actions of the Board will require five (5) Board members to be present for voting.

(b) Except as set forth in paragraph (c), below, actions of the Board require the affirmative vote of at least four (4) Board members. Board members may not cast proxy or absentee votes. Each member will have an equal vote.

(c) Adoption or amendment of an Expenditure Plan, or approval of an agreement with a successor agency as a prerequisite for dissolution of the SMC Express Lanes JPA under paragraph 3.3 (c), requires the affirmative vote of at least five (5) Board members.

Section 4.6. **Officers.**

(a) The Board will elect a Chair and Vice-Chair from among its members, and will appoint a Secretary who may, but need not, be a member of the Board. The Chair and Vice Chair will serve one-year terms and must be appointees of different Members. The Chair and Vice Chair positions must be held by appointees of alternating Members in alternating years (e.g., in Year 1, one of Member A's appointees will be the Chair and one of Member B's appointees will be the Vice Chair; the opposite will be true in Year 2). This rotation and the term of office may be altered as designated in rules or bylaws established by the Board. The officers will perform the duties normal to said offices as described below. If the Chair or Vice Chair ceases to be a member of the Board, the resulting vacancy will be filled, for the remainder of the vacant term, at the next meeting of the Board held after each vacancy occurs.

(b) **Chair.** The Chair will preside over all meetings of the board and will sign all contracts on behalf of the SMC Express Lanes JPA, except contracts that the Board may authorize an officer or agent, or employee of the SMC Express Lanes JPA to sign. The Chair will perform such other duties as may be imposed by the Board in accordance with law and this Agreement.

(c) **Vice-Chair.** The Vice-Chair will act, sign contracts, and perform all of the Chair’s duties in the absence of the Chair.
(d) Secretary. The Secretary must countersign contracts signed on behalf of the SMC Express Lanes JPA, and will be the official custodian of all records of the SMC Express Lanes JPA. The Secretary will attend to such filings as required by applicable law. The Secretary will perform such other duties as may be imposed by the Board.

Section 4.7. Fiscal Agent/Auditor/Controller and Treasurer. The TA is designated as the Fiscal Agent of the SMC Express Lanes JPA. The San Mateo County Transit District (as managing agency of the TA) will serve as the Auditor/Controller and Treasurer of the SMC Express Lanes JPA. The Treasurer will be the depositary and will have custody of all of the accounts, funds, and money of the SMC Express Lanes JPA from whatever source. The Auditor/Controller and the Treasurer will perform the duties and functions, assume the obligations and authority set forth in Sections 6505, 6505.5 and 6505.6 of the Act, and assure strict accountability of all funds and reporting of all receipts and disbursements of the SMC Express Lanes JPA. The Auditor Controller and Treasurer are designated as having charge of, handling or having access to funds or property of the SMC Express Lanes JPA for purposes of the Official's Bond required under Section 6505.5 of the Act and Section 4.10 of this Agreement.

The SMC Express Lanes JPA may change the Fiscal Agent, Auditor/Controller and/or Treasurer, and/or appoint other persons possessing the qualifications set forth in Section 6505.5 of the Act to these offices, by resolution of the Board of Directors. The designations set forth in this Section 4.7 will be considered concurrently with consideration of amendments to Attachment A as described in Section 4.8 of the Agreement.

Section 4.8. Staffing. C/CAG and the TA recognize the benefits that their respective organizations and staff support bring to the SMC Express Lanes JPA. Both agencies will make their best efforts to provide staff resources to the SMC Express Lanes JPA as may be required or requested by the SMC Express Lanes JPA. Upon execution of this Agreement, staffing for the SMC Express Lanes JPA will be provided in accordance with the model set forth in Attachment A to this Agreement. The SMC Express Lanes JPA may amend Attachment A from time to time by resolution of the Board of Directors.

Section 4.9. Additional Officers and Consultants. The Board may appoint any additional officers deemed necessary or desirable. Such additional officers also may be officers or employees of a Member or of the SMC Express Lanes JPA. The Board may also retain such other consultants or independent contractors as may be deemed necessary or appropriate to carry out the purposes of this Agreement.

Section 4.10. Official's Bond. The officers or persons designated to have charge of, handle, or have access to any funds or property of the SMC Express Lanes JPA will be so designated and empowered by the Board. Each such officer or person will be required to file an official bond with the SMC Express Lanes JPA in an amount established by the Board. Should the existing bond or bonds of any such officer or persons be extended to cover the obligations provided herein, said bond will be the
official bond required herein. The premiums on any such bonds attributable to the coverage required herein will be appropriate expenses of the SMC Express Lanes JPA. If it is prudent to do so, the SMC Express Lanes JPA may procure a blanket bond on behalf of all such officers and persons.

Section 4.11. Status of Officers. All of the privileges and immunities from liability, exemption from laws, ordinances, and rules, all pension, relief, disability, worker’s compensation, and all other benefits that apply to the activity of officers or agents of the SMC Express Lanes JPA when performing their respective functions within the territorial limits of a Member will apply to them to the same degree and extent while engaged in the performance of any of their functions and duties under the provisions of this Agreement and Chapter 5 of Division 7 of Title 1 of the California Government Code, commencing with Section 6500. However, none of the officers or agents appointed by the Board will be deemed to be employed by any of the Members or to be subject to any of the requirements of such Members by reason of their appointment or employment by the SMC Express Lanes JPA.

Section 4.12. Committees. The Board may create permanent or ad hoc committees to give advice to the Board of Directors on such matters as may be referred to such committees by the Board. Qualified persons will be appointed to such committees by the Board and each such appointee will serve at the pleasure of the Board. All regular, adjourned, and special meetings of such committees will be called and conducted in accordance with the applicable requirements of the Ralph M. Brown Act, Government Code Section 54950 et seq., as it now exists or may hereafter be amended, and all other applicable law.

ARTICLE V

OPERATIONS AND FACILITIES

Section 5.1. Assumption of Responsibilities by the SMC Express Lanes JPA. As soon as practicable after the date of this Agreement, the Members must appoint their representatives to the Board. At its first meeting, the Board will elect a Chair and Vice-Chair, and appoint a Secretary as prescribed in Article IV.

Section 5.2. Delegation of Powers; Transfer of Records, Accounts, Funds and Property. Each of the Members hereby delegates to the SMC Express Lanes JPA the power and duty to maintain, operate, manage, and control the Joint Facilities, as they may be expanded from time to time, and revenues generated by express lanes in the County.

Section 5.3. Joint Facilities Costs, Reserves and Capital. Upon the organization of the Board, the SMC Express Lanes JPA will assume financial responsibility for the improvement, alteration, maintenance, and operation of the Joint Facilities and will pay all contractual and administrative expenses of the SMC Express Lanes JPA. Once revenues are generated by express lanes in the County, the SMC Express Lanes JPA will establish reasonable reserves and undertake appropriate capital projects to
maintain the Joint Facilities. The Members acknowledge that the SMC Express Lanes JPA likely will be required to incur Indebtedness for contractual and administrative expenses before and after express lanes are operational.

ARTICLE VI

BUDGET AND OTHER FINANCIAL PROVISIONS

Section 6.1. Fiscal Year. The SMC Express Lanes JPA Fiscal Year will begin each July 1 and end on the following June 30.

Section 6.2 Annual Budget. The SMC Express Lanes JPA will adopt an annual budget for each fiscal year. Once the SMC Express Lanes JPA's first annual budget is adopted, no expenditures may be made by or on behalf of the SMC Express Lanes JPA unless authorized by a budget or budget amendment.

Section 6.4. Expenditures Within Approved Annual Budget. All expenditures within the limitations of the approved annual budget will be made in accordance with the rules, policies and procedures adopted by the Board.

Section 6.5. Disbursements. Warrants will be drawn upon the approval and written order of the Board, and the Board will requisition the payment of funds only upon approval of claims, disbursements, and other requisitions for payment in accordance with this Agreement and other rules, regulations, policies and procedures adopted by the Board.

Section 6.6. Accounts. All funds will be received, transferred, or disbursed by the Controller. The Treasurer will account for such funds separately, in accordance with the generally accepted accounting principles applicable to governmental entities, with strict accountability of all funds. All revenues, expenditures, and status of bank accounts and investments will be reported to the Board quarterly or as the Board may direct and, in any event, not less than annually, pursuant to procedures established by the Board.

ARTICLE VII

MISCELLANEOUS

Section 7.1. Amendments. This Agreement may be amended by a writing or writings executed by the Members approved by resolution of each Member's governing body.

Section 7.2. Notice. Any notice required to be given or delivered by any provision of this Agreement will be personally delivered or deposited in the U.S. Mail, registered or certified, postage prepaid, addressed to the Members at their addresses as reflected in the records of the SMC Express Lanes JPA, and will be deemed to have
been received by the Member to which the same is addressed upon the earlier of receipt or seventy-two (72) hours after mailing.

Section 7.3. Good Faith Negotiations. The Members acknowledge that differences between them and among the Board members may arise from time to time and agree to make good faith efforts to resolve any such differences via good faith negotiations among the Members or Board members, as the case may be. If such negotiations do not resolve the dispute, and no Member gives a notice to dissolve the SMC Express Lanes JPA as provided in this Agreement, then the Members may resolve disputes in any manner permitted by law or in equity.

Section 7.4. Attorney's Fees. In the event litigation or other proceeding is required to enforce or interpret any provision of this Agreement, the prevailing party in such litigation or other proceeding will be entitled to an award of its actual and reasonable attorney's fees, costs, and expenses incurred in the proceeding.

Section 7.5. Successors. This Agreement will be binding upon and inure to the benefit of any successor of a Member.

Section 7.6. Assignment and Delegation. No Member may assign any rights or delegate any duties under this Agreement without the written consent of the other Member, and any attempt to make such an assignment will be null and void for all purposes.

Section 7.7. Counterparts. This Agreement may be executed in one (1) or more counterparts, all of which together will constitute a single agreement, and each of which will be an original for all purposes.

Section 7.8. Severability. Should any part, term or provision of this Agreement be decided by any court of competent jurisdiction to be illegal or in conflict with any applicable law, or otherwise be rendered unenforceable or ineffectual, the validity of the remaining parts, terms, or provisions of this Agreement will not be affected thereby and to that end the parts, terms, and provisions of this Agreement are severable.

Section 7.9. Integration. This Agreement represents the full and entire Agreement among the Members with respect to the matters covered herein.

Section 7.10. Execution. The legislative bodies of the Members each have authorized execution of this Agreement, as evidenced by the respective signatures attested below.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties have hereunder subscribed their names the day and year indicated below.
CITY/COUNTY ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS OF SAN MATEO COUNTY (C/CAG)

By: ____________________________
Name: __________________________
Its: _____________________________

Attest:

_______________________________
C/CAG Clerk of the Board

Approved as to Form:

_______________________________
Legal Counsel for C/CAG

SAN MATEO COUNTY TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY (TA)

By: ____________________________
Name: __________________________
Its: _____________________________

Attest:

_______________________________
TA Secretary

Approved as to Form:

_______________________________
Legal Counsel for the TA
ATTACHMENT A
SAN MATEO COUNTY EXPRESS LANES JPA INITIAL STAFFING MODEL

A. The San Mateo County Express Lanes Joint Powers Authority (JPA) will retain an independent Policy/Program Manager via contract. That Manager will report directly to the JPA Board. He/she will also coordinate with the Executive Council as necessary. On a day-to-day basis, the Policy/Program Manager is expected to coordinate with any of the staff listed below under Sections (C) and (D) as necessary. The main duties of the Policy/Program Manager will be to:

- Seek input from the Executive Council and others, develop, and make recommendations to the JPA Board on policies including but not limited to tolling principles, toll ordinance, toll discounts, equity, and net revenue expenditure priorities.
- Collaborate with Bay Area Infrastructure Financing Authority (BAIFA) and make recommendations on toll system, and toll equipment maintenance and upgrades.
- Represent San Mateo County Express Lanes interests at regional and other express lanes forums, in collaboration with Executive Council.
• Collaborate with the Executive Council and all TA and C/CAG staff who provide service to the JPA on recommendations to the JPA Board, including but not limited to Toll Expenditure Plan and the JPA Budget.
• Implement and support the management of contracts and agreements for the JPA in collaboration with the Executive Council.
• Provide other support to the JPA Board as necessary.

B. The JPA will have an Executive Council that consists of the Executive Director of the TA and the Executive Director of C/CAG. Each Executive Director may have one designee who will have full authority to act on behalf of the appointing Executive Director. The Executive Council may make recommendations to the JPA Board directly, or coordinate with the Policy/Program Manager on joint recommendations to the JPA Board. The Executive Council will also be responsible for negotiation of agreements with agencies to support the operations of the Express Lanes, including but not limited to the following: BAIFA to provide overall operation of the express lanes; Bay Area Toll Authority (BATA) to provide Fastrak® services; Caltrans for road maintenance; and California Highway Patrol (CHP) for enforcement. As soon as the Policy/Program Manager is on board, he/she will participate in such negotiations and contract preparation in collaboration with the Executive Council.

C. The TA will be the Fiscal Agent for the JPA. The San Mateo County Transit District (District), which is the administering agency of the TA, will provide the following staffing for the JPA:

• Fiscal Agent and Finance
  o Budgeting and reporting
  o Accounting, including accounts payable and accounts receivables
  o Audits
  o Treasury

• Marketing and Communications
  o Marketing use of the lanes
  o Promoting the broader benefits of the lanes
  o Media relations
  o Website creation and maintenance
  o Community relations (addressing community concerns about policies, operation of the lanes, etc.)

These staff will report to the TA Executive Director on day-to-day operations. Work performed by these staff on matters relating to the JPA will be approved by the Executive Council. These staff are expected to coordinate with the Policy/Program Manager.
D. C/CAG will provide the following staffing for the JPA:

- Contracts & Procurement
  - Procure, manage, and maintain all JPA contracts and agreements
  - Manage consultant work scope, cost and schedule
  - Review and approve invoices, and submit to TA for payments
  - Maintain contracts between JPA and other entities

- Board Clerk and Support
  - Board support to the JPA, including agenda, notices, and records of meetings
  - Serve as the Board Clerk
  - Manage public records requests
  - Ensure Form 700s are completed and filed

These staff will report to the C/CAG Executive Director on day-to-day operations. Work performed by these staff on matters relating to the JPA will be approved by the Executive Council. These staff are expected to coordinate with the Policy/Program Manager.

E. The JPA will contract with the San Mateo County Counsel’s Office for legal support.

F. The JPA Board will revisit this staffing Plan, including all of the above provisions, the need of employees, and the effectiveness of the Executive Council, from time to time, but no later than two years from now or April 2021.
RESOLUTION NO. 2019 -

BOARD OF DIRECTORS, SAN MATEO COUNTY TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

***

APPROVING THE FIRST AMENDED AND RESTATED JOINT EXERCISE OF POWERS AGREEMENT FOR THE SAN MATEO COUNTY EXPRESS LANES JOINT POWERS AGENCY

WHEREAS, on November 2, 2004, the voters of San Mateo County (County) approved the continuation of the collection and distribution by the San Mateo County Transportation Authority (TA) of the New Measure A half-cent transactions and use tax for an additional 25 years to implement the 2004 Transportation Expenditure Plan beginning January 1, 2009; and

WHEREAS, by Resolution 2016-13, the TA became a co-sponsor of the 101 Corridor Managed Lanes Project (Project) with the City/County Association of Governments of San Mateo County (C/CAG); and

WHEREAS, at its February 7, 2019 meeting, the Board of Directors of the San Mateo County Transportation Authority approved the following: (1) the TA and C/CAG will retain ownership of the 101 Corridor Managed Lanes Project and contract with the Bay Area Infrastructure Financing Authority (BAIFA) to operate the express lanes on their behalf, subject to the California Transportation Commission (CTC)’s approval; and (2) the TA and C/CAG will form a joint powers agency (JPA) to exercise their shared rights and responsibilities as joint owners of the Project, which decisions were also made by the C/CAG Board of Directors on February 14, 2019; and
WHEREAS, the Joint TA-C/CAG Managed Lanes Ad Hoc Advisory Committee has since been discussing the details of the management and staffing structure of the JPA which culminated in the Joint Exercise of Powers Agreement (JEPA) between the TA and the C/CAG governing the ownership, management and operations of express lanes in San Mateo County; and

WHEREAS, by Resolution 2019-07, the Board of Directors of the San Mateo County Transportation Authority approved the JEPA and authorized the Chair of the TA to execute the JEPA on behalf of the San Mateo County Transportation Authority with C/CAG; and

WHEREAS, the name of the JPA in the JEPA, which took effect on June 1, 2019, is designated as “Express Lanes Joint Powers Authority”; and

WHEREAS, in order to better reflect both the location and identity of the JPA’s facilities, the name of the JPA should be changed to “San Mateo County Express Lanes Joint Powers Agency,” which requires amendment of the JEPA.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board authorizes the Chair of the TA to execute the attached First Amended and Restated Joint Exercise of Powers Agreement on behalf of the San Mateo County Transportation Authority to amend the name of the Express Lanes Joint Powers Authority to be “San Mateo County Express Lanes Joint Powers Authority”; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Board authorizes the Executive Director, or his designees, to take such other actions as may be necessary to give effect to this resolution.
Regularly passed and adopted this 6th day of June, 2019 by the following vote:

AYES:

NOES:

ABSENT:

__________________________________________________
Chair, San Mateo County Transportation Authority

ATTEST:

______________________________________
Authority Secretary
SAN MATEO COUNTY TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY
STAFF REPORT

TO: Transportation Authority
THROUGH: Jim Hartnett
General Manager/CEO
FROM: April Chan, Chief Officer, Planning, Grants/Transportation Authority
       Michelle Bouchard, Chief Operating Officer, Rail
SUBJECT: CALTRAIN BUSINESS PLAN

ACTION
No action is required. This is a presentation to provide the TA Board a quarterly update on the Caltrain Business Plan activities and progress.

SIGNIFICANCE
Caltrain staff has prepared a quarterly update on the Caltrain Business Plan describing project activities and progress from January 2019 through April 2019. An expanded version of this presentation was given to a special session of the Peninsula Corridor Joint Powers Board at its May 2019 meeting. The full version of the quarterly update presentation is available here:


This update on the Business Plan is an opportunity for interested stakeholders to ask questions about project activities and to provide feedback on the Business Plan Process.

BACKGROUND
In 2017, the JPB secured full funding for the Peninsula Corridor Electrification Project and issued notices to proceed to its contractors for corridor electrification and purchase of new high-performance electric trains. Now that construction on this long-awaited project is underway, the agency has the opportunity to articulate a long-term business strategy for the future of the system.

The initial concept for a Caltrain “Business Plan” was brought to the Caltrain Board in April of 2017. The Board reviewed a draft scope of work for the Business Plan in December of 2017 and adopted a final Business Strategy and Scope of Work in February of 2018. The Business Plan has been scoped to include long-range demand
modeling, and service and infrastructure planning, as well as organizational analysis and an assessment of Caltrain’s interface with the communities it traverses. It is an extensive planning effort that includes outreach in multiple venues. The plan will be completed in 2019.

Prepared By: Sebastian Petty       Senior Policy Advisor       650.622.7831
What is the Caltrain Business Plan?

**What**
Addresses the future potential of the railroad over the next 20-30 years. It will assess the benefits, impacts, and costs of different service visions, building the case for investment and a plan for implementation.

**Why**
Allows the community and stakeholders to engage in developing a more certain, achievable, financially feasible future for the railroad based on local, regional, and statewide needs.
What Will the Business Plan Cover?

Technical Tracks

**Service**
- Number of trains
- Frequency of service
- Number of people riding the trains
- Infrastructure needs to support different service levels

**Business Case**
- Value from investments (past, present, and future)
- Infrastructure and operating costs
- Potential sources of revenue

**Community Interface**
- Benefits and impacts to surrounding communities
- Corridor management strategies and consensus building
- Equity considerations

**Organization**
- Organizational structure of Caltrain including governance and delivery approaches
- Funding mechanisms to support future service
Where Are We in the Process?

- **2018**
  - Initial Scoping and Stakeholder Outreach
  - Technical Approach Refinement, Partnering, and Contracting

- **2019**
  - Stanford Partnership and Technical Team Contracting
  - Part 1: Service Vision Development

- **2020**
  - Board Adoption of 2040 Service Vision
  - Part 2: Business Plan Completion
  - Implementation

"We Are Here"
Electrification is the Foundation for Growth with Plans for More
2040 Demand

The Caltrain corridor is growing
• By 2040 the corridor expected to add 1.2 million people and jobs within 2 miles of Caltrain (+40%)
• 80% growth expected in San Francisco and Santa Clara Counties

Major transit investments are opening new travel markets to Caltrain
• Downtown Extension and Central Subway
• Dumbarton Rail, BART to San Jose, and improvements to Capitol Corridor and ACE
• HSR and Salinas rail
2040 Service Scenarios: Different Ways to Grow

- **2018**: Current Operations
- **2022**: Start of Electrified Operations
- **2029**: HSR Valley to Valley & Downtown Extension
- **2033**: High Speed Rail Phase 1
- **2040**: Service Vision

### Key Dates
- **2018**: Current Operations
- **2018**: Baseline Growth
- **2022**: High Speed Rail Phase 1
- **2029**: Moderate Growth
- **2033**: High Growth

### Amount of Investment / Number of Trains
- **High Growth**
- **Moderate Growth**
- **Baseline Growth**
2040 Baseline Growth Scenario (6 Caltrain + 4 HSR)

Features
- Blended service with up to 10 TPH north of Tamien (6 Caltrain + 4 HSR) and up to 10 TPH south of Tamien (2 Caltrain + 8 HSR)
- Three skip stop patterns with 2 TPH – most stations are served by 2 or 4 TPH, with a few receiving 6 TPH
- Some origin-destination pairs are not served at all

Passing Track Needs
- Less than 1 mile of new passing tracks at Millbrae associated with HSR station plus use of existing passing tracks at Bayshore and Lawrence

Options & Considerations
- Service approach is consistent with PCEP and HSR EIRs
- Opportunity to consider alternative service approaches later in Business Plan process
Features
• A majority of stations served by 4 TPH local stop line, but Mid-Peninsula stations are serviced with 2 TPH skip stop pattern
• Express line serving major markets – some stations receive 8 TPH
• Timed local/express transfer at Redwood City

Passing Track Needs
• Up to 4 miles of new 4-track segments and stations: Hayward Park to Hillsdale, at Redwood City, and a 4-track station in northern Santa Clara county (Palo Alto, California Ave, San Antonio or Mountain View. California Ave Shown)

Options & Considerations
• To minimize passing track requirements, each local pattern can only stop twice between San Bruno and Hillsdale - in particular, San Mateo is underserved and lacks direct connection to Millbrae
• Each local pattern can only stop once between Hillsdale and Redwood City
• Atherton, College Park, and San Martin served on an hourly or exception basis
High Growth Scenarios (12 Caltrain + 4 HSR)

Features
- Nearly complete local stop service – almost all stations receiving at least 4 TPH
- Two express lines serving major markets – many stations receive 8 or 12 TPH

Passing Track Needs
- Requires up to 15 miles of new 4 track segments: South San Francisco to Millbrae, Hayward Park to Redwood City, and northern Santa Clara County between Palo Alto and Mountain View stations (shown: California Avenue to north of Mountain View)

Options & Considerations
- SSF-Millbrae passing track enables second express line; this line cannot stop north of Burlingame
- Tradeoff between infrastructure and service along Mid-Peninsula - some flexibility in length of passing tracks versus number and location of stops
- Flexible 5 mile passing track segment somewhere between Palo Alto and Mountain View
- Atherton, College Park, and San Martin served on an hourly or exception basis
Next Steps: Explorations and Integration

Examples:

• Stopping pattern options and tradeoffs
• ACE and Capitol Corridor connections
• Monterey County connections
• Dumbarton service connection in Redwood City
• East Bay run-through service via second Transbay Tube
Ridership Growth Over Time

Change in Ridership (Thousands)
1998 – 2017

Source: 1998-2017 Passenger Counts

Top 8 Stations
4th & King, Millbrae, Hillsdale, Redwood City, Palo Alto, Mountain View, Sunnyvale, San Jose Diridon

Middle 8 Stations
22nd Street, Burlingame, San Mateo, San Carlos, Menlo Park, California Ave, Santa Clara, Tamien

Bottom 8 Stations
Bayshore, South San Francisco, San Bruno, Hayward Park, Belmont, San Antonio, Lawrence, College Park

Gilroy Service
Capitol, Blossom Hill, Morgan Hill, San Martin, Gilroy

+30,000 Riders
+5,000 Riders
-400 Riders
-500 Riders
On its current, baseline path, Caltrain would experience demand of up to 161,000 daily riders by 2040. The Moderate and High Growth scenarios would increase demand to 185,000 and 207,000 riders, respectively.

Crowding may impact Caltrain’s ability to fully capture future demand. When projected ridership is constrained to 135% of seated capacity, all-day ridership in the baseline scenarios could be 6% lower and 4% lower in the moderate growth scenario. There is sufficient capacity in the high growth scenario to serve all projected demand.
Today, Caltrain serves about 6,500 riders during its busiest hour, which is equivalent to 4 lanes of freeway traffic in moderate flow conditions. The **Baseline Growth Scenario** increases ridership in the busiest hour to about 12,800 riders, an addition of about 6,300 riders over today – equivalent to widening US-101 by 4 lanes. The **Moderate Growth Scenario** increases ridership in its busiest hour to about 15,300 riders, an increase of 8,800 riders over today – equivalent to widening US-101 by 5.5 lanes. The **High Growth Scenario** increases ridership in its busiest hour to over 20,500, an increase of about 14,100 riders – equivalent to widening US-101 by 8.5 lanes.

*Assumes vehicle occupancy of 1.1 persons/vehicle and lane capacity of 1,500 vehicles/hour.*
The Interface Between the Railroad and its Surrounding Communities Creates both Opportunities and Challenges

- Local/Regional Mobility
- Place-Making
- Noise/Vibration
- Physical Structures
- Land Use Opportunities
- Economic Development
- Visual Impact
- Traffic/Safety
Grade Separations are a Critical Investment

• 42 at-grade crossings on the corridor Caltrain owns between San Francisco and San Jose
• 28 additional at-grade crossings on the UP-owned corridor south of Tamien

At-Grade Crossing by County in Caltrain Territory

• San Francisco: 2 at-grade crossings
• San Mateo: 30 at-grade crossings
• Santa Clara: 10 at grade crossings (with 28 additional crossings on the UP-owned corridor)

Today, during a typical weekday, Caltrain’s at-grade crossings are traversed by approximately 400,000 cars. This is equivalent to the combined traffic volumes on the Bay Bridge and San Mateo Bridge.
Calculating the Need
- Across the corridor, cities are undertaking studies and projects to look at grade separation
- Caltrain has accounted for all of these projects in our analysis of the potential need for grade separation in the corridor as well as additional investments
- In total, the Business Plan team estimates that the total need for investment in grade separations could be between $8.5 and $11 Billion dollars

Taking the Next Step
- Incorporate grade separation investments into Business Plan financial and funding analysis
- Develop corridor wide grade separation strategy addressing topics like:
  - Risk assessment and prioritization factors
  - Construction standards and methods
  - Project coordination and sequencing
  - Community resourcing and organizing
  - Funding analysis and strategy
How do we Choose a Service Vision?

Choosing a long range “Service Vision” is not just about picking which service pattern looks the best— it requires evaluating which package of service and investments will deliver the best value to the corridor and the region.

Service

This update describes different illustrative 2040 service concepts that underlie each Growth Scenario. The different concepts shown are not proposals or recommendations. They represent an indicative range of options for how Caltrain service could grow given different levels of investment in the corridor.

Business Case

During the spring of 2019 the Business Plan team will develop a detailed “Business Case” analysis for each of the different growth scenarios. The Business Case will quantify the financial implications and wider costs and benefits of each growth scenario.
Next Steps & Outreach
Next Steps

Over the next two months the Business Plan team is working to complete a full set of draft materials to support Board consideration and adoption of a 2040 Service Vision.

Ongoing Analysis
- Service simulation and integration analysis
- Capital costing and Operations and Maintenance Analysis
- Economic analysis and benefits calculations
- Organizational assessment
- Community Interface documentation and peer case studies

Upcoming Milestones
- Major Board Workshop targeted for July 11 to review expanded set of materials and discuss recommended “Service Vision”
- Subsequent adoption of Service Vision in August timeframe pending Board discussion and stakeholder feedback
Next Steps Continued

Following Board designation of a long range “Service Vision” staff will work to complete a full Business Plan document by the end of 2019.

Work to be Undertaken following Board Adoption of a “Service Vision”

- Near- and mid-term service planning
- First- and last mile analysis
- Additional organizational analysis
- Funding analysis including:
  - Commercial revenue strategies
  - Potential new sources of funding
Engagement with Local Jurisdictions
Monthly Updates, Individual Meetings and Individualized Materials for 21 Local Jurisdictions
Website: www.caltrain2040.org
Outreach Activities to Date
July 2018 – April 2019 by the Numbers

Stakeholders Engaged

21
Jurisdictions

26
Public Agencies

113
Stakeholder Meetings

93
Organizations in Stakeholder Advisory Group

Public Outreach

30
Public Meetings and Presentations

1,000+
Survey Responses

8,500+
Website Hits

27,000
Social Media Engagements
TO: Transportation Authority

THROUGH: Jim Hartnett
Executive Director

FROM: April Chan
Chief Officer, Planning, Grants and the Transportation Authority

SUBJECT: BROADWAY BURLINGAME GRADE SEPARATION

ACTION
No action is required. This item is being presented to the Board for information only.

SIGNIFICANCE
This presentation is part of a series of program reports presented to the Board. Each of the San Mateo County Transportation Authority’s (TA) six Measure A program areas – Transit, Highways, Local Streets/Transportation, Grade Separations, Pedestrian and Bicycle, and Alternative Congestion Relief Programs – are featured individually throughout the year. This item features a PowerPoint presentation that provides an update on the Broadway Burlingame Grade Separation Project, which is currently in the preliminary engineering/environmental (PE/ENV) phase of work.

BUDGET IMPACT
There is no impact on the budget.

BACKGROUND
The Measure A grade separation program provides funding for the development and construction of overpasses and underpasses along the Caltrain and Dumbarton rail lines. Fifteen percent of the New Measure A sales tax revenue is available to support the Grade Separation Program.

This project previously received Measure A grade separation funding for the Project Study Report (PSR) in November 2013, in the amount of $1 million, which was completed in January 2017 and $3.85 million for the PE/ENV phase of work in March 2017, which is now targeted to be completed in October 2019. An additional $200,000 was received for this Project in January 2019 for re-design work associated with the relocation of an Electrification tractor power paralleling station, necessitated by the grade separation project. The City of Burlingame has recently transmitted the attached request (see Exhibit A) to fund the final design phase of the project once the PE/ENV phase is complete. Staff is currently reviewing the request and will be working with the City to return to the Board in July with a staff recommendation.

Prepared by: Joel Slavit, Manager Programming and Monitoring 650-508-6476
May 8, 2019

Mr. Jim Hartnett  
Chief Executive Officer  
San Mateo County Transit District  
1250 San Carlos Avenue  
San Carlos, CA 94070-1306

Re: City of Burlingame Broadway Grade Separation Project

Dear Mr. Hartnett:

On March 29, 2019, the City of Burlingame submitted a funding request to the San Mateo County Transportation Authority (SMCTA) to move the Broadway Grade Separation Project forward into the next phase of detailed engineering design (PS&E). The funding request was based on a cost estimate that has since been updated by the Peninsula Corridor Joint Powers Board (PCJPB). The cost estimate has been updated to reflect current market conditions, additional information acquired from current grade separation projects under construction, and updated PCJPB administrative costs. The urgency of the project and the project benefits were described in the previous request (attached).

In March of 2017, the SMCTA programmed and allocated $3.85M in Measure A funds for the preliminary engineering/environmental phase of the project. This phase is anticipated to be completed in the summer of 2019. In order to move the project forward to the next phase of detailed engineering design (PS&E), the City had previously committed $1.2M as a local match and requested the SMCTA to fund $13.5M from the Measure A Grade Separation Program. Based on the updated cost estimate, the City is now committing $1.5M as a local match for the next phase and requesting that the SMCTA fund $18.3M from the Measure A program. This will allow the project to continue its momentum through the development phase, save money and be shovel ready as soon as possible, and be in a competitive position to leverage regional, state, and federal grants. In an effort to continue moving the project forward, the City of Burlingame needs your assistance to fund the next phase through the Measure A Grade Separation Program.

The total estimated cost to complete the project is approximately $327M. This includes escalation to an assumed mid-point of construction in approximately 2025. The City has prepared a revised initial funding plan that outlines funding sources based on anticipated project costs over the next eight years (see attachment). The proposed funding plan shows that the City plans to contribute $15M of its own funds. The City is confident that the proposed draft funding sources are feasible and can keep the project on the proposed funding, design, and construction schedule with the support of the SMCTA.
We look forward to continuing our efforts with the SMCTA and PCJPB to advance this important project. If you have any questions or need additional information, please feel free to contact our Director of Public Works Syed Murtuza at (650) 558-7230.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Donna Colson
Mayor

Enc: Revised Funding Plan Summary
March 29, 2019 Funding Request

C: City Council
Lisa K. Goldman, City Manager
Syed Murtuza, Director of Public Works
April Chan, Executive Officer Planning and Development, San Mateo Transit District
Joel Slavit, Manager of Programming and Monitoring, San Mateo County Transportation Authority
### Table 1 - Funding by Phase (Values in Thousands)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Phase</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>2021</th>
<th>2022</th>
<th>2023</th>
<th>2024</th>
<th>2025</th>
<th>2026</th>
<th>2027</th>
<th>2028</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PSR/Prelim. Eng/Environmental/PS-3</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>5,050</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5,550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS&amp;E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19,838</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19,838</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>24,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>60,000</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>40,000</td>
<td>27,302</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>277,302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>5,050</td>
<td>19,838</td>
<td>24,000</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>60,000</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>40,000</td>
<td>27,302</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>326,690</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2 - Funding by Source (Values in Thousands)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Phase</th>
<th>City of Burlingame</th>
<th>SMCTA Measure A</th>
<th>Measure W</th>
<th>Section 130</th>
<th>Section 190</th>
<th>SB1</th>
<th>OBAG2 (Plan 2040)</th>
<th>TIGER/FASTLANE</th>
<th>INFRA</th>
<th>Cap and Trade</th>
<th>CA High Speed Rail</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PSR/Prelim. Eng/Environmental/PS-3</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>5,050</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5,550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS&amp;E</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>18,338</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19,838</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
<td></td>
<td>24,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>24,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction</td>
<td>13,000</td>
<td>74,302</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>35,000</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>277,302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>121,690</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>35,000</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
March 29, 2019

Mr. Jim Hartnett  
Chief Executive Officer  
San Mateo County Transit District  
1250 San Carlos Avenue  
San Carlos, CA 94070-1306

Re: City of Burlingame Broadway Grade Separation Project

Dear Mr. Hartnett:

With a daily average traffic count of over 70,000 vehicles, the Broadway corridor between U.S. Highway 101 and California Avenue is heavily travelled and is the most congested roadway in Burlingame. In fact, the traffic congestion and safety issues are some of the worst in San Mateo County due to the at-grade railroad crossing. The traffic safety problems at the Broadway at-grade railroad crossing are further exacerbated by the operation of the railroad safety gates to facilitate the safe crossing of trains. The majority of vehicle-to-vehicle and train-to-vehicle accidents along the corridor have been attributed to the at-grade railroad crossing.

Presently, the traffic delay is highest during the weekday PM peak, with a recorded delay in excess of 324 seconds (five minutes). The Project Study Report completed last year indicated that with no grade separation, the PM peak delay along the corridor is expected to increase dramatically, to 1,450 seconds (24 minutes), while the AM peak delay along the corridor would increase to 1,570 seconds (26 minutes) by 2040. These delays take into consideration the restoration of train service at the Broadway Caltrain Station and the projected increase of trains from the current 92 to 220 by 2030. Additionally, the Caltrain Business Plan, which is currently being prepared, projects the number of trains will increase almost 400% to meet the demand. The traffic congestion and delays today already pose an emergency response challenge. First responders, emergency services, and the general public will face impossible conditions in the future if the Broadway at-grade crossing is not addressed prior to Caltrain dramatically increasing its train service.

The need for a grade separation at the existing at-grade crossing at Broadway has been planned and discussed for quite some time, dating back to the 1980s, and is a top priority among grade separation candidates in all of California. In fact, the Broadway at-grade crossing is the highest priority grade separation project on the California Public Utilities Commission’s list of 36 high priority projects throughout California for fiscal year 2019-20.

The City of Burlingame values and appreciates the support that the San Mateo County Transportation Authority (SMCTA) and the Peninsula Corridor Joint Powers Board (PCJPB) have shown for the Broadway Grade Separation Project. The SMCTA Board approved a $1M Measure A grant to the City in 2014 to undertake public outreach and a preliminary planning study for the Project. With that contribution, the City conducted an extensive public outreach program that included the development and


evaluation of six project alternatives. Through a number of public outreach and City Council meetings, the City reduced the six alternatives to one preferred alternative. The preferred alternative, which would partially elevate the railroad and partially lower Broadway and the adjoining streets, including California Drive and Carolan Avenue, has the least impacts to surrounding properties and railroad operations and is the most pragmatic approach. On May 16, 2016, the Burlingame City Council unanimously voted to support the preferred alternative and advance the Project. The evaluation of alternatives, recommendations, and cost estimates is documented in the Project Study Report (PSR), and a 3D animation of the preferred alternative (Alternative A) is on the Project’s website at www.burlingame.org/broadwaygradesep.

Moreover, in March of 2017, the SMCTA programmed and allocated $3.85M in Measure A funds for the preliminary engineering/environmental phase of the project. We anticipate this phase to be completed in the summer of 2019. The City of Burlingame now needs your assistance to fund the next phase of the Project through the Measure A Grade Separation Program. In order to move the project forward to the next phase of detailed engineering design (PS&E), the City is committing $1.2M as a local match and requesting that the SMCTA fund $13.5M from the Measure A Grade Separation Program. This will allow the Project to not lose momentum in the development phase, save money, be shovel ready as soon as possible, and put it in a competitive position to leverage regional, state, and federal grants.

The total estimated cost to complete the Project is approximately $274M. This includes escalation to an assumed mid-point of construction in approximately 2025. The City has prepared a draft initial funding plan that outlines funding sources based on anticipated Project costs over the next eight years (see attachment). The proposed funding plan shows that the City plans to contribute $15M of its own funds. The City is confident that the proposed draft funding sources are feasible and can keep the Project on the proposed funding, design, and construction schedule with the support of SMCTA.

Project Benefits:

Grade separation at Broadway will provide crucial safety improvements. Since 1985, eight accidents have been recorded at the Broadway crossing itself, and these are included in the Federal Railroad Administration database. All eight incidents involved a vehicle being struck by a train while stopped on the tracks. One of the eight accidents was fatal, and one involved an injury. In December of 2016, there was a pedestrian fatality. Grade separating the tracks will eliminate conflicts between trains and motor vehicles, bicyclists, and pedestrians. Since this segment of rail will be elevated, the Project will also reduce trespassing, therefore increasing safety.

Broadway is the only local surface crossing in the vicinity. The next closest crossings are the at-grade crossing at Oak Grove Avenue, which is 0.8 miles to the south, and the overcrossing at Millbrae Avenue, which is 1.5 miles to the north. Broadway is also a primary east-west crossing for all modes of travel, including police, fire, and emergency medical personnel. With the near-term Caltrain Electrification Project and the future implementation of the Caltrain Business Plan, the corridor will experience a significant increase in train volumes. In addition, Caltrain plans to re-open the Broadway Station on weekdays, further increasing delays with trains stopped at Broadway. A grade separation at Broadway will allow traffic to move more freely, reduce overall travel times, increase safety, and benefit Caltrain operations by reducing potential incidents.

For these reasons, it is critical that the Broadway Grade Separation project move forward. Your funding commitment will enable the partnership between the City and the PCJPB to complete the final design documents. Completion of the PS&E phase will enable the Project to be "shovel ready", which will better position the Project for funding opportunities and keep it on schedule. Delaying the funding will result in increased construction costs, thereby adding further difficulties in implementing the Project.

We look forward to continuing our efforts with the SMCTA and PCJPB to advance this important Project. If you have any questions or need additional information, please feel free to contact our Director of Public Works Syed Murtuza at (650) 558-7230.

Register online with the City of Burlingame to receive regular City updates at www.burlingame.org.
Mr. Jim Hartnett  
March 29, 2019  
Page 3

Sincerely,

Donna Colson  
Mayor

Enc:  Funding Plan Summary

c:  City Council  
Lisa K. Goldman, City Manager  
Syed Murtuza, Director of Public Works  
April Chan, Executive Officer Planning and Development, San Mateo Transit District  
Joel Slavit, Manager of Programming and Monitoring, San Mateo County Transportation Authority  
Gary Fleming, Director of Capital Program Delivery
Presentation Outline

• Project Location/Existing Conditions
• Project Goals and Scope
• Project Schedule
• Project Cost
• Proposed Funding Plan
• Next Steps
Project Location

Ped/Bike Access
- Broadway & Morrell Ave. to be grade separated
- New grade separated access at Carmelita Ave.

Existing At-Grade Railroad Crossing at Broadway
Existing Conditions

- 92 Caltrain weekday trains use this crossing, in addition to freight
- 28,049 average daily vehicle counts for Broadway in year 2014
- Lack of grade separation increases vehicular and train delays
- Highest ranked crossing on CPUC Grade Separation Priority List
Project Goals

• Enhance east-west connectivity
• Enhance safety for motorists, bicyclists & pedestrians
• Improve customer experience with new station
• Improve traffic flow and reduce delays
• Reduce automobile congestion and emissions
• Improve efficiency of rail operations
Project Scope

• Railroad to be partially elevated and adjacent roadways (Broadway, Carolan and California) to be partially lowered
• New station with center board platform, ramp and stair access
• Station parking on east side of tracks with access to/from Carolan Ave.
• Two shoofly tracks east of the existing mainline
• Ped/Bike crossings at Broadway, Carmelita and Morrell Ave.
Project Overview

- Broadway Bridge
- Access Ramp
- Center Board Platform
- Ped Station Entrance
- Morrell Ave Ped Xing
- Carolan Ave
- Carmelita Ave
- California Dr
New Broadway Station (conceptual)

- Access Ramp
- Access Stairs
- Broadway
- Carolan Ave
- Carmelita Ave
- Ped. Station Entrance
- California Dr
Proposed Grade Separation at Broadway (conceptual)
Parking Lot Options – Layout 1

- 80+/- Stall Parking
- Ped Station Entrance
- Morrell Ave Ped Xing
- Carolan Ave
- California Drive
- Broadway
- Ped Station
- Entrance
- Morrell Ave
- Ped Xing
Parking Lot Layout 1 (conceptual)
Parking Lot Layout 2 (conceptual)
## Project Schedule

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Start</th>
<th>Finish</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project Study Report</td>
<td>Jan 2014</td>
<td>Jan 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preliminary Engineering/Environmental Review</td>
<td>Mar 2017</td>
<td>Oct 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Design*</td>
<td>Nov 2019</td>
<td>Nov 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right of Way/Utilities*</td>
<td>Nov 2020</td>
<td>Nov 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFB/Award*</td>
<td>Dec 2022</td>
<td>Jun 2023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction*</td>
<td>July 2023</td>
<td>July 2026</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Dependent on future funding allocations and coordination with other corridor projects and resources
### Project Cost (in thousands)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Current $</th>
<th>YOE $</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project Study Report:</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prelim. Engineering:</td>
<td>$4,550</td>
<td>$4,550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Design/Env Permits:</td>
<td>$19,305</td>
<td>$19,838</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right of Way/Utilities:</td>
<td>$23,522</td>
<td>$24,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction:</td>
<td>$230,427 to $277,302</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total:</strong></td>
<td>$278,804 to $326,690</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Year of Expenditure (COE) costs for Final Design and Construction are based on the midpoint of scheduled work. Costs are Order of Magnitude based on 15% design.
Cost Variances from San Bruno and 25th Ave. Grade Separations

- Construction after electrification
- Major right of way needs
- Relocation of utilities
- Shoofly track construction
- Wetlands, creeks and culverts
- Price escalation/bidding climate
- 2025 construction mid-point
# Proposed Funding Plan (in thousands)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>City of Burlingame</th>
<th>Measure A</th>
<th>Measure W</th>
<th>Regional (OBAG 2)</th>
<th>State(^1)</th>
<th>Federal(^2)</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project Study Report</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prelim. Engineering/Environmental</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>$4,050</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$4,550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Design/Permits</td>
<td>$1,500</td>
<td>$18,338</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$19,838</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right of Way/Utilities</td>
<td></td>
<td>$24,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$24,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction</td>
<td>$13,000</td>
<td>$74,302</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>$95,000</td>
<td>$65,000</td>
<td>$277,302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>$121,690</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>$95,000</td>
<td>$65,000</td>
<td>$326,690</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Footnotes*

1) Proposed State administered funding sources may include a combination of Section 130, 190, SB 1, TICRP & Cap and Trade Funds.  
2) Proposed Federal administered funding sources may include a combination of TIGER/FASTLANE and INFRA funds.  
3) Listed funding sources for the final design/permits, right of way/utilities and construction phases are proposed and do not represent actual funding commitments.
Next Steps

• Refine station platform location, parking lot and roadway configurations
• Finalize the preliminary engineering and environmental clearance phase
• Obtain funding for final design
• Advertise and award final design contract
• Value engineering
Questions ?
SAN MATEO COUNTY TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY
STAFF REPORT

TO: Transportation Authority

THROUGH: Jim Hartnett
Executive Director

FROM: Seamus Murphy
Chief Communications Officer

SUBJECT: STATE AND FEDERAL LEGISLATIVE UPDATE

ACTION
This report is for information only. No Board action is required.

SIGNIFICANCE
The 2019 Legislative Program establishes the principles that will guide the legislative and regulatory advocacy efforts. Based on those principles, staff coordinates closely with our Federal and State advocates on a wide variety of issues that are considered in Congress and the State legislature. The attached reports highlight the recent issues and actions that are relevant to the Board, and specifies those bills on which staff proposes that the District take a formal position.

Prepared By: Casey Fromson, Government and Community Affairs Director

650-508-6493
Despite Congress being in recess for most of the month, work has started in earnest on the FY20 appropriations bills. Prior to adjourning for the break, the House approved the FY20 spending levels and “deemed” them to be in effect which was enough to allow the appropriations process to commence.

Proposed discretionary budget authority figures include (not including OCO, where applicable):

- Agriculture: $24.3b ($700m increase)
- Commerce-Justice-Science: $66.4 billion ($5.1b cut)
- Defense: $622.1 billion ($15.6b增加)
- Energy and Water: $46.4 billion ($1.8b increase)
- Financial Services: $24.6 billion ($1.2b increase)
- Homeland Security: $49.7 billion ($300m increase)
- Labor-HHS-Education: $189.9b ($11.7b increase)
- Legislative Branch: $5 billion ($200m increase)
- Military Construction-VA: $105.2 billion ($7.1b increase)
- State and Foreign Operations: $48.4 billion ($2.2b increase)
- Transportation-HUD: $75.8b ($4.7b increase)

These numbers reflect the topline allocation adopted in H.Res.293, which calls for $1.295 trillion in total discretionary spending.

While almost all the House subcommittee markups have been scheduled, none have been planned on the Senate. We will continue to monitor the House process as it moves ahead. Speaker Pelosi and Majority Leader McConnell have both pledged to develop agreed upon spending caps, but little progress is expected until later this year. In the meantime, work on the FY20 bills, the debt ceiling, and a potential infrastructure bill will move ahead. We will keep staff apprised of new developments relating to the budget process.

Infrastructure is moving forward on a few tracks:

1) Last week, the Speaker and the President announced a deal on a $2.2 trillion infrastructure proposal. Aside from the total amount and that the proposal is supposed to include transportation, water, and broadband access, all we know about this effort is that they will meet again in two weeks to discuss next steps. The biggest challenges - among many - for this effort, will be paying for it and selling it to Congressional Republicans.

2) At the same time, the House Transportation and Infrastructure Committee (T&I) has been moving forward with its own infrastructure plans. Chairman Peter DeFazio (D-OR) wants to use the momentum for infrastructure to raise revenue to pay for increased infrastructure spending. Specifically, he has proposed increasing the airline ticket tax...
that airports use to fund improvements, increasing outlays out of the Harbor Maintenance Trust fund (HMT) for ports, and raising revenues into the highway trust fund. For this last part, it is widely assumed he is talking about increasing the federal gas tax, although he has not proposed that yet. The Chairman is referring to these revenue raisers as the infrastructure bill and wants to move them, this year, as one bill.

The second part of his approach would be to use the already existing infrastructure bills to spend the money. The FAA bill would govern the ticket tax, the Water Resources bill would allocate HMT money, and the FAST Act reauthorization would control transportation spending. Each of these bills fall within the jurisdiction of House T&I, so it’s easy to see why the Chairman is pushing this approach.

3) The last part of the infrastructure effort is appropriations. The transportation appropriations bill is scheduled for markup on May 23, although that could slip. We will continue working with staff as this bill moves forward.
May 9, 2019

TO:       Board Members, San Mateo County Transportation Authority
FROM:        Gus Khouri, Principal, Khouri Consulting
RE:  STATE LEGISLATIVE UPDATE – GOVERNOR’S FY 2019-20 STATE BUDGET

On May 9, Governor Newsom released the May Revision to his proposed 2019-20 state budget. The May Revise includes an additional $3.2 billion in revenue from January which is constitutionally obligated to reserves, debt payment, and schools. The increase is attributable to gains from personal income tax revenues ($1.9 billion) and corporation tax receipts ($1.7 billion). Total May Revision revenues, including transfers, is projected to be $138 billion in 2018-19 (up by $1.1 billion) and $143.8 billion (up by $1.2 billion) in 2019-20.

Given uncertainty at the federal level, the risks to the current economic forecast, and the need for a solid fiscal foundation, the budget allocates $13.6 billion of the windfall (including debt payments and reserve deposits required by Proposition 2) to building budgetary resiliency and paying down the state’s unfunded pension liabilities. This includes $4 billion to eliminate debts and reverse deferrals, $4.8 billion to build reserves, and an additional $4.8 billion to pay down unfunded retirement liabilities, which will save over $14 billion in obligations for the future.

The budget assumes an additional $1.8 billion transfer in the budget year and an additional $4.1 billion over the remainder of the forecast period, leaving a reserve of $15.3 billion and bringing the Rainy Day Fund to $19.4 billion by 2022-23. The state may continue to face uncertain times, given that we are overdue for a recession, the volatility of our reliance on personal income tax and capital gains, and the ramifications of the recently enacted federal tax bill, which have not yet been factored into the Budget’s economic or revenue forecasts. A one-year recession in 2019-20 that is larger than the 2001 recession, but milder than the 2007 recession, could result in a nearly $70 billion revenue loss and a $40 billion budget deficit over three years.
Tightening the Nexus Between Housing and Transportation
Governor Newsom states that going forward the state will strongly encourage jurisdictions to contribute to their fair share of the state’s housing supply by linking housing production to certain transportation funds and other applicable sources, if any. The Administration will convene discussions with stakeholders, including local governments, to assess the most equitable path forward in linking transportation funding and other potential local government economic development tools to make progress toward required production goals.

The May Revision repurposes $500 million from the $750 million previously dedicated to general purpose incentive payments for the Infill Infrastructure Grant Program administered by the Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD).

The Infill Infrastructure Grant Program provides gap funding for infrastructure that supports higher-density affordable and mixed-income housing in locations designated as infill. Under the augmented Infill Infrastructure Grant Program, developers and local governments can partner to apply for infrastructure funding. At the same time, certain areas designated as infill may also qualify as federal Opportunity Zones and provide additional tax benefits to investors to spur development of economically distressed communities by guiding investment toward mixed-income housing.

The May Revise included updated revenue estimates as a result of the passage of AB 147 (Chapter 5, Statutes of 2019), legislation the Orange County Transportation Authority supported, which brought California’s definition of retailers in line with the U.S. Supreme Court’s ruling in South Dakota v. Wayfair, Inc. This legislation clarified the economic nexus threshold California will use to determine if out-of-state retailers, including online sellers, are required to remit sales and use taxes to California. The May Revise estimates that sales and use tax revenues are expected to increase by $174 million in FY 2018-19 and $616 million in FY 2019-20, representing a decrease of $45 million from the Governor’s Budget in FY 2018-19 and an increase of $62 million in FY 2019-20. The decrease in FY 2018-19 is due to the fact that marketplace sellers are not required to comply with AB 147 until October 1, 2019.

Cap-and-Trade Program
In recognition of the continued strength of the cap-and-trade program, the May Revise includes $537 million for the Low Carbon Transportation program in the proposed cap-and-trade expenditure plan, an increase of $130 million compared to the January Budget proposal. This program provides incentives for the purchase of zero-emission vehicle technology and replacement of older diesel buses with renewable-fuel alternatives. Of this amount, the budget proposes to allocate $182 million for the Clean Truck, Bus, and Off-Road Freight Equipment Program, which is $50 million above the January Budget proposal.
Bills of Interest

1. **SB 526 (Allen)** would require the CARB to adopt a regulation requiring Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs) to determine if they will be on track to meet 2035 GHG targets. If an MPO is deemed to not be on course to meet its 2035 targets, the CTC would have to assign a lower priority to a project that increases vehicle miles traveled and GHG emissions. While SMCTA is committed to reducing greenhouse gas emissions through its pursuit of funding for passenger rail and bicycle and pedestrian programs, this bill could impact local control. **The author took amendments on April 11 to remove a requirement that the CTC give highest priority to GHG-reducing projects. We will continue to monitor the bill regarding its language requiring ARB to determine if agencies meet their 2035 targets.**

2. **SB 152 (Beall)** would modify the Active Transportation Program to have 75% of all funding to go to MPOs, 15% to small urban and rural areas, and 10% to be allocated by the CTC on a competitive basis. **We recommend SMCTA stay neutral on this measure until more clarity is provided.**

3. **SB 277 (Beall)** is currently a spot bill but will be amended to convert allocation method for the Local Partnership Program (LPP). The LPP provides $200 million annually for jurisdictions that have secured a voter-approved tax or fee dedicated for transportation purposes. It is split 50/50 between a formulaic share and a competitive program administered by the CTC. SMCTA and SamTrans collectively receive roughly $3.4 million annually from the formulaic share ($840K and $2.6M, respectively), but also succeeded in receiving $20 million from the competitive program in May 2018 to fund Phase 1 of the 101 Managed Lanes Project. **We recommend SMCTA monitor this measure.**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Bill Summary</th>
<th>Recommended Position</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| AB 11 Chiu (D)                | 4/25/19    | This bill would authorize a city or county, or two or more cities acting jointly, to propose the formation of an affordable housing and infrastructure agency by adoption of a resolution of intention that meets specified requirements. The bill would require:  
• A public hearing process, and the adoption of a resolution that city or county to submit the resolution of intention to the Strategic Growth Council (SGC) for a determination as to whether the agency would promote statewide greenhouse gas reduction goals.  
• The SGC to approve formation of the agency if it determines that formation of the agency both (1) would not result in a state fiscal impact, determined as specified by the State Controller, that exceeds a specified amount and (2) would promote statewide greenhouse gas reduction goals.  
• Not less than 30% of all taxes allocated to the agency from an affected taxing entity be deposited into a separate fund, established by the agency, and used for the purposes of increasing, improving, and preserving the community’s supply of low- and moderate-income housing available at an affordable housing cost, as provided. The bill would allow an agency to conduct bond financing.  
• The Director of the Department of Finance to adjust percentages of General Fund for school districts and community colleges to ensure no fiscal impact.  
**Amended on 4/11**                                                                 | Watch                |
| AB 40 Ting (D)                | 4/8/19     | This bill, no later than January 1, 2021, would require the California Air Resources Board (CARB) to develop a comprehensive strategy to ensure that the sales of new motor vehicles and new light-duty trucks in the state have transitioned fully to zero-emission vehicles by 2040.  
Transportation funding is dependent on motor fuel. The state would need to find an alternative method of funding transportation infrastructure if this bill were to be enacted.                                                                 | Watch                |
<p>| AB 147 Burke (D)              | 5/1/19     | Modernizes California law consistent with the United States Supreme Court holding in Wayfair, which allows this state to impose a use tax collection duty on remote retailers with specified levels of economic activity in California, even though they do not have a physical presence here.                                                                 | Watch                |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Bill Summary</th>
<th>Recommended Position</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>AB 148 Quirk-Silva (D)</strong>&lt;br&gt;Regional Transportation Plans: Sustainable Communities Strategy</td>
<td>1/24/19&lt;br&gt;Assembly Transportation Committee&lt;br&gt;Two-Year Bill</td>
<td>Existing law requires certain transportation planning agencies to prepare and adopt a regional transportation plan directed at achieving a coordinated and balanced regional transportation system. The existing law also requires:&lt;br&gt;• The regional transportation plan to include, if the transportation planning agency is also a metropolitan planning organization, a sustainable communities strategy which would, among other things, identify areas within the region sufficient to house an 8-year projection of the regional housing need for the region, as specified.&lt;br&gt;• The State Air Resources Board, on or before September 1, 2018, and every 4 years thereafter, to prepare a report that assesses progress made by each metropolitan planning organization in meeting the regional greenhouse gas emission reduction targets set by the state board.&lt;br&gt;• Each transportation planning agency to adopt and submit to the California Transportation Commission and the Department of Transportation an updated regional transportation plan every 4 or 5 years, as specified.&lt;br&gt;This bill would require each sustainable communities strategy to also identify areas within the region sufficient to house an 8-year projection of the emergency shelter needs for the region, as specified.</td>
<td>Watch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AB 185 Grayson (D)</strong>&lt;br&gt;California Transportation Commission: Joint Meetings</td>
<td>5/2/19&lt;br&gt;Assembly Floor</td>
<td>Existing law requires the CTC and the State Air Resources Board to hold at least 2 joint meetings per calendar year to coordinate their implementation of transportation policies.&lt;br&gt;This bill would require the Department of Housing and Community Development to participate in those joint meetings with the CTC and CARB.</td>
<td>Watch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AB 252 Daly (D)</strong>&lt;br&gt;Caltrans: NEPA</td>
<td>3/20/19&lt;br&gt;Assembly Appropriations Committee&lt;br&gt;Suspense File</td>
<td>This bill would remove the sunset date (January 1, 2020) for Caltrans being able to use the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) delegation to streamline environmental review for projects with federal funding, allowing for environmental review of projects to be expedited.&lt;br&gt;The bill is sponsored by the Self-Help Counties Coalition (SHCC). SMCTA supported the previous version, AB 28 (Frazier) of 2017, which was also sponsored by SHCC, and had extended the sunset date from January 1, 2017 to January 1, 2020.</td>
<td>Supported 4/4/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AB 285 Friedman (D)</strong>&lt;br&gt;California Transportation Plan</td>
<td>4/24/19&lt;br&gt;Assembly Appropriations Committee&lt;br&gt;Suspense File</td>
<td>Under existing law, Caltrans is required to prepare the California Transportation Plan, which looks at the movement of goods and people, and how the state will achieve greenhouse gas emission goals.&lt;br&gt;This bill would require Caltrans to address in the California Transportation Plan how statewide greenhouse gas emission goals will be reduced by 2030 and attain the air quality goals described in California’s state implementation plans required by the federal Clean Air Act. <strong>Amended on 3/6</strong></td>
<td>Watch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measure</td>
<td>Status</td>
<td>Bill Summary</td>
<td>Recommended Position</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AB 352</strong>&lt;br&gt;Garcia (D)&lt;br&gt;Greenhouse Gas Reduction Fund: Investment Plan &amp; Transformative Climate Communities Program&lt;br&gt;<strong>Bill Summary</strong>&lt;br&gt;This bill, beginning July 1, 2020, would require state agencies administering competitive grant programs that allocate moneys from the Greenhouse Gas Reduction Fund, such as the California Air Resources Board and Strategic Growth Council to give specified communities preferential points during grant application scoring for programs intended to improve air quality, to include a specified application timeline, to allow applicants from the Counties of Imperial and San Diego to include daytime population numbers in grant applications, and to require grant eligibility and scoring criteria to define a disadvantaged community consistent with specified allocation requirements of the Greenhouse Gas Reduction Fund so as not to preclude low-income communities, as defined, from applying for or being awarded a grant. <strong>Amended on 3/14</strong></td>
<td>4/10/19&lt;br&gt;Assembly Appropriations Committee&lt;br&gt;Suspense File</td>
<td>Watch</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SB 5</strong>&lt;br&gt;Beall (D)&lt;br&gt;Local-State Sustainable Investment Incentive Program&lt;br&gt;<strong>Bill Summary</strong>&lt;br&gt;This bill would establish the Local-State Sustainable Investment Incentive Program, to authorize a city, county, city and county, joint powers agency, enhanced infrastructure financing district, affordable housing authority, community revitalization and investment authority or transit village development district to apply for funding for eligible projects include, among other things, construction, predevelopment, development, acquisition, rehabilitation, and preservation of workforce and affordable housing, certain transit-oriented development, and “projects promoting strong neighborhoods.” Funding would be available in the amounts of $200,000,000 per year from July 1, 2020, to June 30, 2025, and $250,000,000 per year from July 1, 2025, to June 30, 2029. The source of money would come from reductions in annual ERAF contributions for applicants for projects approved pursuant to this program. <strong>Last amended on 4/23</strong></td>
<td>4/29/19&lt;br&gt;Senate Appropriations Committee&lt;br&gt;Suspense File</td>
<td>Watch</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SB 25</strong>&lt;br&gt;Cabrallero (D)&lt;br&gt;CEQA: Qualified Opportunity Zones&lt;br&gt;<strong>Bill Summary</strong>&lt;br&gt;This bill would, until January 1, 2025, establish specified procedures under CEQA for the administrative and judicial review of the environmental review and approvals granted for projects located in qualified opportunity zones that are funded, in whole or in part, by specified funds. The bill would require the Judicial Council by September 1, 2020, to adopt rules of court applicable to an action or proceeding brought to attack, review, set aside, void, or annul the certification or adoption of an environmental review document or the granting of project approvals, including any appeals to be resolved, to the extent feasible, within 270 days of the filing of the certified record of proceedings with the court to an action or proceeding seeking judicial review of the lead agency’s action related to those projects located in a qualified opportunity zone. The bill would require a party seeking to file an action or proceeding pursuant to CEQA to provide the lead agency and the real party in interest a notice of intent to sue within 10 days of the posting of a certain notice and would prohibit a court from accepting the filing of an action or proceeding from a party that fails to provide the notice of intent to sue. <strong>Last Amended on 4/30</strong></td>
<td>4/30/19&lt;br&gt;Senate Appropriations Committee</td>
<td>Watch</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measure</td>
<td>Status</td>
<td>Bill Summary</td>
<td>Recommended Position</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SB 43 Allen (D) Carbon Taxes</strong></td>
<td>4/29/19 Senate appropriations Committee</td>
<td>This bill would require the California Air Resources Board (CARB), in consultation with the California Department of Tax and Fee Administration, to submit a report to the Legislature on the results of a study to propose, and to determine the feasibility and practicality of, a system to replace the tax imposed pursuant to the Sales and Use Tax Law with an assessment on retail products sold or used in the state based on the carbon intensity of the product to encourage the use of less carbon-intensive products. The bill would require CARB to revise, as necessary, the 2017 scoping plan to reflect the carbon emission reduction benefits that may be realized through the imposition of the assessment based on carbon intensities of products and to consider the results of the study in future updates to the scoping plan. <em>Last amended on 4/29</em></td>
<td>Watch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SB 50 Wiener (D) Planning and Zoning: Housing Development &amp; Equitable Communities Incentive</strong></td>
<td>5/1/19 Senate appropriations Committee</td>
<td>This bill would require a city, county, or city and county to grant upon request an equitable communities incentive when a development proponent seeks and agrees to construct a residential development that is either a job-rich housing project or a transit-rich housing project. The bill would provide counties with populations greater than 600,000 that are eligible for an equitable communities incentive to receive waivers from maximum controls on density and automobile parking requirements greater than 0.5 parking spots per unit, and specified additional waivers if the residential development is located within a 1/2-mile or 1/4-mile radius of a major transit stop, as defined. The bill would authorize a local government to modify or expand the terms of an equitable communities incentive, provided that the equitable communities incentive is consistent with these provisions. The bill would also delay implementation of this bill in sensitive communities, as defined, until July 1, 2020, as provided. <em>Last Amended on 5/1</em></td>
<td>Watch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measure</td>
<td>Status</td>
<td>Bill Summary</td>
<td>Recommended Position</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| SB 127  Weiner (D)  
Transportation Funding: Complete Streets | 4/30/19 Senate Appropriations Committee | Existing law establishes the Active Transportation Program (ATP) in Caltrans for the purpose of encouraging increased use of active modes of transportation, such as biking and walking, and declares the intent of the Legislature that the program achieve specific goals, including, among other things, increasing the proportion of trips accomplished by biking and walking and the safety and mobility for nonmotorized users.

This bill would establish a Division of Active Transportation within Caltrans and require that an undersecretary of the Transportation Agency be assigned to give attention to ATP matters to guide progress toward meeting Caltrans' ATP goals and objectives. The bill would require the CTC to give high priority to increasing safety for pedestrians and bicyclists and to the implementation of bicycle and pedestrian facilities.

The bill would require the CTC, in connection with the asset management plan, to adopt performance measures that include conditions of bicycle and pedestrian facilities, accessibility and safety for pedestrians, bicyclists, and transit users, and vehicle miles traveled on the state highway system. The bill would require that SHOPP projects include capital improvements relative to accessibility for pedestrians, bicyclists, and transit users. The bill would also require that each project include in its budget the cost of pedestrian and bicycle facilities. The bill would require that the plain language performance report developed by Caltrans, in consultation with the CTC, include a description of pedestrian and bicycle facilities on each project, including the number, extent, and cost of the elements relative to the overall project.

The bill provides an opportunity to address multi-modal solutions. While the SHOPP is oversubscribed in its ability to address maintenance needs on the state highway system, local jurisdictions are held to the same standards, but state highway projects do not always include active transportation features. **Amended on 4/30**  

| SB 128  Beall (D)  
Enhanced Infrastructure Financing Districts | 5/2/19 Assembly Local Government Committee | Existing law authorizes the legislative body of a city or a county to establish an enhanced infrastructure financing district, with a governing body referred to as a public financing authority, to finance public capital facilities or other specified projects of communitywide significance. Existing law authorizes the public financing authority to issue bonds for these purposes upon approval by 55% of the voters voting on a proposal to issue the bonds. Existing law requires the proposal submitted to the voters by the public financing authority and the resolution for the issuance of bonds following approval by the voters to include specified information regarding the bond issuance.

This bill would instead authorize the public financing authority to issue bonds for these purposes without submitting a proposal to the voters. The bill would require that three public hearings be held on an enhanced infrastructure financing plan. The bill would require the resolution to issue bonds to contain specified information related to the issuance of the bonds. **Amended on 3/21** |

**Watch**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Bill Summary</th>
<th>Recommended Position</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SB 137 Dodd (D) Federal Transportation Funds</td>
<td>4/5/19 Senate Appropriations Committee</td>
<td>Existing federal law apportions transportation funds to the states under various programs, including the Surface Transportation Program and the Highway Safety Improvement Program, subject to certain conditions on the use of those funds. Existing law provides for the allocation of certain of those funds to local entities, and for the exchange of federal and state transportation funds between local entities and the state under certain circumstances. This bill would authorize Caltrans to allow these federal transportation funds that are allocated as local assistance to be exchanged for Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Program funds appropriated to the department.</td>
<td>Watch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB 152 Beall (D) Active Transportation Program</td>
<td>4/25/19 Senate Appropriations Committee</td>
<td>Under existing law, the Active Transportation Program (ATP) is a competitive program that requires the CTC to award 50% of available funds to projects competitively awarded by the commission on a statewide basis, 10% of available funds to projects in small urban and rural regions, and the remaining 40% of available funds to projects selected by metropolitan planning organizations (MPO) in urban areas with populations greater than 200,000, with the available funds distributed to each MPO based on its relative share of the population. This would modify the ATP to have 60% of all funding to go directly to MPOs, 15% to small urban and rural areas, and retain 25% to be allocated by the CTC on a competitive basis. <strong>Last Amended on 4/25</strong></td>
<td>Watch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB 277 Beall (D) Transit Development: Transit Funds</td>
<td>4/26/19 Senate Appropriations Committee</td>
<td>This is currently a spot bill that may be used to reformulate the current 50/50 formula to competitive program distribution of Local Partnership Program Funds.</td>
<td>Watch</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Bill Summary</th>
<th>Recommended Position</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SB 526</td>
<td>4/30/19</td>
<td>This bill would require the California Air Resources Board (CARB) to adopt a regulation that requires a metropolitan planning organization to provide any data that CARB requests to determine if the metropolitan planning organization is on track to meet its 2035 greenhouse gas emission reduction target. CARB would be required to determine if each metropolitan planning organization is on track to meet its 2035 greenhouse gas emissions reduction target. The bill would require the action element prepared by a metropolitan planning organization to identify near and long-term steps to be taken to implement a sustainable communities strategy and achieve the greenhouse gas emission reduction targets established by the state board. This bill would establish an interagency working group to be administered by the Strategic Growth Council to develop and implement a State Mobility Action Plan for Healthy Communities to ensure that regional growth and development is designed and implemented in a manner to achieve the state’s environmental, equity, climate, health, and housing goals. The bill would require the plan to include specific actions, measures, and timelines, and an investment strategy. The bill would require the interagency working group to submit the plan to the Legislature by December 31, 2020, and every 4 years thereafter. This bill would make MTC responsible for new planning activities outside of the current purview of a regional transportation planning and could also jeopardize funding for safety projects by giving highest priority to projects that reduce greenhouse gas emissions. <strong>Amended on 4/30</strong></td>
<td>Watch</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>