

Transportation Authority

Transportation Authority Strategic Plan 2020-2024

October 3, 2019 Board of Directors Agenda Item # 11d



Overview

- Strategic Plan Purpose & Timeline
- Inclusive Development Process
- Policy Framework Table Updates
- TA Role in Project Delivery/Technical Assistance
- Weighting of Core Principles
- Project Evaluation Criteria
- Next Steps

PLAN PURPOSE & TIMELINE



Strategic Plan Purpose & Requirements

- Provides policy framework for program implementation, including:
 - Evaluation criteria/prioritization for project selection
 - Processes to initiate projects
- One Strategic Plan for 2 Measures
 - Measure A requirement Plan adoption & update at least once every 5 years
 - Measure W requirement Plan adoption with broad based outreach



Measure A & W Program Categories



Local Safety, Pothole & Congestion Relief Program (12.5%) consists of :

- Local Investment Share (10%)
- Grade Separations (2.5%)



Strategic Plan Timeline



INCLUSIVE DEVELOPMENT PROCESS



Broad Based Outreach

- Ongoing Group Meetings
 - Stakeholder & Technical Advisory Groups
 - Board Ad-Hoc
- General Outreach (Phase I) June to August
 - > 14 Community Meetings/Pop-Ups/Presentations
 - > On line survey:
 - ✓ Survey E-blast to 4,000+ GUM survey takers; 160 school reps; 23 senior groups; 60+ CBOs; leveraged SAG & TAG networks
 - ✓ Text-blast to 40,000 county residents
 - = 2,500+ SURVEY RESPONSES
 - Press release and extensive social media
 - Dedicated portion of TA website



Broad Based Outreach

Phase 2: October - November

- Virtual Town Hall
- Posting of Draft Plan on TA website
- E-mail blasts to:
 - SAG/TAG
 - Schools and senior groups
 - community based organizations
- Presentations to County Board of Supervisors/ others upon request

Press release and extensive social media



Plan Development Process

A NATED BID. ansportation uthority	Countywide Highway Congestion In ide Highway Congestion Improvement projects will seek to read times; increase person throughput; improve highway a nd access; and deploy advanced technologies and communic	provide congestion rollef; nd interchange operations,
	a dot on up to SIX Core Principles that are most app	
	His Congestion Countywide	CP*
	rementality sustainable Public Transportation System that Increases Embraces Innovation, Creates More Transportation Choices, Improves prience, and Provides Quality, Altordable Transit Options for Youth, Seniors, n Disabilities, and Poisje with Lover Incomes	
	t Environmentally-friendly Transportation Solutions, Green Stormwoter ure/Plan for Climate Change	
	conomic Vitality, Economic Development & Creation of Quality Jobs	•
Pacifica Pacifica	Maximize Opportunities to Leverage investment from Public/Private Sources	
- CONSIDER WILDLIFE CROSSINGS	Enhance Sullety & Public Health	•••
il an Han Lin Transit Bingels	invest in Repair & Maintain Existing & Future Infrastructure	••
-item "incentive Transit, Bingle Does that main Public transit of only bruge at	Pacificate the Reduction of Vehicle Miles Travelled, Travel Times and Greenhouse Gas Emissions	•
	incorporate the inclusion and implementation of complete street policies and other strategies that encourage safe accommodation of all people using the roads, regardless of mode of travel	••
-Robust Public Transit from the hills and NSEW Sections of our city	Incentivios Transit, Bicycle, Pedestrian, Cargooling and Shared Nide Options over Driving Alone	•••••
to #1 + out to Public (ransi +-	Maximize Tottly: Reduction Potential Associated with the Creation of New Housing Opportunities in High-Quality Transit Corridors	••
BART, Tram, Plane, ship - Great project. Shell Many good ideas to improve access for walkers, and bioyclists. Continue to improve non-car options for unus.		

LE ADLESS TO LOW MOUTHE COMMUNITIES A A CESS TO LOW MEDNE COMMENTES ASTSIDE SERVICE CASTSIDE SERVICE TO JOBS/HOUSING CTB. IGH LEVEL SERVICE TO JOBS/HOUSING CTB. ERVICE TO SPECIAL RORUMTION'S SYDUTH SDUCE VAT TRACT RIDERS FROM S.O.V. MODE ST. / LAST. MILE CONNECTIONS I LEVEL SERVICE TO JUBS/HOUSING CTRS. IEVE TRAFFIC CONGESTION LEVEL SERVICE TO JUSS/HSG CTRS. RIDDESHIP DIVERTED FROM SOV TRIPS EFFECTIVE CAPACITY TO GROW ST LASS MILE (ON DEMAND CONNECTION ST LASS MILE (ON DEMAND CONNECTION)

NAL TRANSIT

CESS TO LON INCOME COMMUNITIES



POLICY FRAMEWORK TABLE UPDATES



Comparing the Two Measures



Comparability

Direct comparability, except: 1) Measure A is more restrictive with distinct highway subcategories (Key Congested Areas and Supplemental Roadways) and 2) Measure W allows greater flexibility with pedestrian/bicycle components that are intregated with highway projects

Direct comparability, If a city's pavement is not in good condition, funding must be used on pavement repair until it reaches a Pavement Condition Index (PCI) of 70, which is considered good road condition

Direct comparability

Direct comparability, except that non-capital expenditures are allowed under Measure W

Not directly comparable, as focus in Measure W is limited to regional transit connections. Some relationship to Caltrain, Ferry, BART and SamTrans (Dumbarton Rail) sub-categories in Measure A



Staff Recommendations for Project

Selection Processes

Measure A Program Categories	Measure W Program Categories	Staff Recommendations for Project Selection
Highways: 27.5%	Countywide Highway Congestion: 22.5%	Measure A: continue Call for Projects w/ focus on Pipeline projects, small set-aside for Planning & PE/ENV work for new projects Measure W: Update existing Short Range Highway Plan, prepare new Highway CIP to inform selection process, new Countywide TDM subcategory (~4% of highway program)
Local Streets & Transportation: 22.5%	Local Safety Pothole & Congestion Relief (Local share): 10%	Agreement based, funds are passed through directly to sponsors
Grade Separations: 15%	Local Safety Pothole & Congestion Relief (Grade Sep): 2.5%	Measure A: continue funding Pipeline projects, small set- aside for Planning to start new projects Measure W: For Pipeline projects on an as needed basis or to start new projects on a Call for Projects basis
Ped & Bike - 3%	Bike & Ped: 5%	Continue Call for Projects, new subcategories: i) capital - large & small (~95%), ii) planning/promotion (~2.5%) & iii) Safe Routes to Schools (~2.5%)
NA	Regional Transit Connections: 10%	Prepare Regional Transit Plan with a Transit CIP to inform selection process



Sponsorship for Measure A and Measure W Program Categories

Meas	sure A	Measure W			
Program Categories	Eligible Sponsors ¹	Program Categories	Eligible Sponsors ²		
Highways: 27.5%	Caltrans, cities, County, C/CAG,	Countywide Highways Congestion: 22.5%	Caltrans, cities, County, C/CAG, TA for regional serving		
	TA for regional projects		projects, Express Lane JPA, Commute.org		
Local Streets & Transportation: 22.5%	Cities & County	Local Safety Pothole & Congestion Relief (Local share): 10%	Cities & County		
Grade Separations: 15%	SamTrans, JPB, cities & County	Local Safety Pothole & Congestion Relief (Grade Seps): 2.5%	SamTrans, JPB, cities & County		
Pedestrian/Bicycle: 3%	Cities & County	Bicycle/Pedestrian: 5%	Cities, County, C/CAG, transit agencies, public schools (for SR2S), Commute.org		
		Regional Transit Connections: 10%	Transit agencies (e.g. JPB, SamTrans, BART, WETA or host city for Ferry)		

Notes:

1) Eligible Sponsors as defined by the voter approved Transportation Expenditure Plan or subsequently amended per Board action

2) The TA currently is an eligible co-sponsor for the San Mateo US 101 Express Lanes Project



Proposed Minimum Match Requirements for Measure W Categories and Comparable Measure A Categories

Measure A Category	Minimum Funding Match	Measure W Category	Minimum Funding Match
Highways	10%	Countywide Highway Congestion	Capital: 10% Countywide TDM: 10%
Local Streets & Transportation Share	none	Local Safety, Pothole & Congestion Relief (Local Share)	none
Grade Separation	Pre-construction: 10% Construction: 50%	Local Safety, Pothole & Congestion Relief (Grade Separations)	Pre-construction: 10% Construction: 50%
Pedestrian & Bicycle	10%	Bicycle & Pedestrian	Capital: 10% Planning/promotion & start-up operations: 50%, SR2S: none
No comparable category	NA	Regional Transit Connections	Capital: 10% Operations & promotion: 50%



TA'S ROLE IN PROJECT DELIVERY/TECH ASSISTANCE



TA's Role in Project Delivery

- **TA should** be proactive identifying & sponsoring highway projects of countywide significance
 - Local agency limitations:
 - Resource availability/technical expertise
 - Congestion often generated beyond city boundaries, regional approach needed
 - Greater benefits may be realized targeting projects that reduce regional congestion and also improve local mobility
 - Example projects of countywide significance:
 - US 101 (I-380 to SF County Line) Managed Lanes
 SR 92 Managed Lanes
 - US 101/SR 92 Interchange Direct Connector Project
- Consider setting aside funding for countywide significant projects, striking a balance with local needs, to be addressed as part of the Short Range Highway Plan Update & Capital Improvement Program (CIP)



TA's Role in Technical Assistance

TA should consider expanding its role as resources permit, with funding caps, to advance project delivery

- Proactively offer technical assistance to all highway sponsors, beyond a request only basis
- Temporarily offer consultant services to fill sponsor gaps due to staff vacancies on request to keep projects moving
- Contract with consultants to obtain grant funds to help sponsors better leverage Measure A & W as well as their own local funds

WEIGHTING OF CORE PRINCIPLES

Considerations for Recommended Weighting of Core Principles

Multiple points of input:

- SAG and TAG survey
- General public survey
- Subsequent SAG and TAG input
- Measure W category emphasis
- Board Ad Hoc members
- Executive and project staff
- Project consultants



SAG/TAG Exercise Sample:

Relative Weighting of Measure W Core Principles

	Countywide				
	Highway			Bicycle and	Regional
	Congestion	Local	Grade	Pedestrian	Transit
	Projects	Investment	Separations	Improvement	Connections
Measure W Core Principles	(22.5%)	Share (10%)	(2.5%)	s (5%)	(10%)
Relieve Traffic Congestion Countywide	30%	0%	15%	5%	10%
Financially-Sustainable Public Transportation System*	5%	0%	15%	5%	30%
Implement Environmentally-friendly Transportation Solutions, Green					
Stormwater Infr./Plan for Climate Change	5%	0%	0%	5%	5%
Promote Economic Vitality, Economic Development & Creation of					
Quality Jobs	15%	0%	5%	0%	5%
Maximize Opportunities to Leverage Investment from Public/Private					
Sources	5%	0%	0%	0%	10%
Enhance Safety and Public Health	15%	10%	55%	35%	5%
Invest in Repair & Maintenance of Existing & Future Infrastructure	0%	80%	0%	0%	5%
Reduce VMT, Travel Times & GHG Emissions	15%	0%	5%	10%	10%
Incorporate Complete Streets Policies/Strategies Accommodation of					
all People using Roads, Regardless of Mode	5%	10%	5%	25%	5%
Incentivize Transit, Bicycle, Pedestrian, Carpooling and Shared Ride					
Options over Driving Alone	5%	0%	0%	10%	5%
Maximize Traffic Reduction Associated with Creation of Housing in					
High Quality Transit Corridors	0%	0%	0%	5%	10%
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%



Take Our Survey!

Countywide Highway Congestion Improvements

1. Please select up to six (6) Core Principles that you think are most applicable. *Minimum one (1)* required *

Relieve Traffic Congestion Countywide

- Invest in a Financially-sustainable Public Transportation System ... [Full text]
- Implement Environmentally-friendly Transportation Solutions... [Full text]
- Promote Economic Vitality, Economic Development & Creation of Quality Jobs
- Maximize Opportunities to Leverage Investment from Public/Private Sources
- Enhance Safety & Public Health
- Invest in Repair & Maintain Existing & Future Infrastructure
- Facilitate the Reduction of Vehicle Miles Travelled, Travel Times and Greenhouse Gas Emissions
- Incorporate the Inclusion and Implementation of Complete Street Policies ... [Full text]
- Incentivize Transit, Bicycle, Pedestrian, Carpooling and Shared Ride Options over Driving Alone
- Maximize Traffic Reduction Potential Associated with the Creation of New Housing Opportunities in High-Quality Transit Corridors





Core Principles Key

P1	Relieve Traffic Congestion Countywide
P2	Invest in a Financially-sustainable Public Transportation System that Increases Ridership, Embraces Innovation, Creates More Transportation Choices, Improves Travel Experience, and Provides Quality, Affordable Transit Options for Youth, Seniors, People with Disabilities, and People with Lower Incomes
P3	Implement Environmentally-friendly Transportation Solutions, Green Stormwater Infrastructure/Plan for Climate Change
P4	Promote Economic Vitality, Economic Development & Creation of Quality Jobs
P5	Maximize Opportunities to Leverage Investment from Public/Private Sources
P6	Enhance Safety & Public Health
P7	Invest in Repair & Maintain Existing & Future Infrastructure
P8	Facilitate the Reduction of Vehicle Miles Travelled, Travel Times and Greenhouse Gas Emissions
P9	Incorporate the Inclusion and Implementation of Complete Street Policies and Other Strategies that Encourage Safe Accommodation of All People Using the Roads, Regardless of Mode of Travel
P10	Incentivize Transit, Bicycle, Pedestrian, Carpooling and Shared Ride Options over Driving Alone
P11	Maximize Traffic Reduction Potential Associated with the Creation of New Housing Opportunities in High-Quality Transit Corridors

23



Core Principles Weighting: Countywide Highway Congestion Improvements

SAG Survey	TAG Survey	Public Survey Input	Final Recommended Weighting	
P1	P1	P1	P1	High (3 pts)
P8	P8	P8	P8	Medium (2 pts)
P2	P2	P2	P2	Low (1 pt)
P3	P3	P3	P3	
P4	P4	P4	P4	
P5	P5	P5	P5	
P6	P6	P6	P6	
P7	P7	P7	P7	
P9	P9	P9	P9	
P10	P10	P10	P10	
P11	P11	P11	P11	24



Core Principles Weighting: Grade Separations

SAG Survey	TAG Survey	Public Survey Input	
P1	P1	P1	
P6	P6	P6	
P2	P2	P2	
P3	P3	P3	
P8	P8	P8	
P9	P9	P9	
P4	P4	P4	
P5	P5	P5	
P7	P7	P7	
P10	P10	P10	
P11	P11	P11	

Recommended Weighting
P1
P6
P2
P3
P8
P9
P4
P5
P7
P10
P11

Final

High (3 pts) Medium (2 pts) Low (1 pt)



Core Principles Weighting: Pedestrian & Bicycle Improvements

SAG Survey	TAG Survey	Public Survey Input	Final Recommended Weighting	
P6	P6	P6	P6	High (3 pts)
P9	P9	P9	P9	Medium (2 pts)
P10	P10	P10	P10	Low (1 pt)
P1	P1	P1	P1	
P3	P3	P3	P3	
P7	P7	P7	P7	
P8	P8	P8	P8	
P2	P2	P2	P2	
P4	P4	P4	P4	
P5	P5	P5	P5	
P11	P11	P11	P11	26



Core Principles Weighting: Regional Transit Connections

1	SAG Survey	TAG Survey	Public Survey Input	Final Recommended Weighting		
	P1	P1	P1	P1		High (3 pts)
	P2	P2	P2	P2		Medium (2 pts)
	P5	P5	P5	P5		Low (1 pt)
	P3	P3	P3	P3		
	P4	P4	P4	P4		
	P6	P6	P6	P6		
	P7	P7	P7	P7		
	P8	P8	P8	P8		
	P10	P10	P10	P10		
	P11	P11	P11	P11		
	P9	P9	P9	P9	3 3	27



Core Principles Weighting All Categories

Countywide Highway Congestion Improvements	Grade Separations	Bicycle & Pedestrian Improvements	Regional Transit Connections	Local Investment Share	
P1	P1	P6	P1	P7	High (3 pts)
P8	P6	P9	P2	P6	Medium (2 pts)
P2	P2	P10	P5	P9	Low (1 pt)
P3	P3	P1	P3	P1	
P4	P8	P3	P4	P2	
P5	P9	P7	P6	P3	
P6	P4	P8	P7	P4	
P7	P5	P2	P8	P5	
P19	P7	P4	P10	P8	
P10	P10	P5	P11	P10	
P11	P11	P11	P9	P11	28

PROJECT EVALUATION CRITERIA

-



Evaluation Criteria Development





Relationship of Core Principles to Evaluation Criteria

Measure W Principles

	(HIGH - 3 pts)					(MEDIUM - 2 pts)				(LOW- 1 pt)				
Highway Program Evaluation Criteria	Relia	Reduce VMT Congestion	Enhan " I'avel Times Countywide	Investin Ren. and Public	Promoje & Future Maintenance alth Development concomic 15 Nuclea alth Development of the structure	Investing Creation of Quantum	Incentivize Transportation Pedesirian Cubic Transportation Ricks Stran, Cansit BL	Maximize Opino and Shares	Implement from Publics of One Transport Environmentalities of Leverage Green Storn, Solution and Sources Citien Storn, Solution and Sources	Incorporate Charge Introduce the formed of the component of the complete Stream for the complete Strea	Nextmine Using Roads, Regentless of high Contraction of Associated Vith Reduction of high Contractions of high Contractions of	Mathing Transit Connection	Normalized	100ps = 100%, 00pt sale
Need	2											68 16	21 5	
Severity of current and projected congestion	3	3	2		2	2	2	2		-		12		-
Identified safety issue	3	3	2				2			2		IZ	4	4
Need to improve access to jobs, housing, transit & other high activity centers	3	3			2	2	2			2	1	15	5	
Project recognized in adopted plans and programming documents	3		2		2	2		2	2	2		15	5	
Regional/countywide significance	3	3	2			2						10	3	
Effectiveness												109	34	
Ability to relieve congestion/performance improvement	3	3	2		2	2	2	2				16	5	
Value: Benefit relative to the amount of funding requeste "bang for the buck"	3	3	2	2	2			2	2			16	5	
Potential increase in person through-put	3	3			2	2	2	2	2			16	5	1
Degree to which project reduces GHG emissions and improves air quality	3	3	2				2	2	2	2		16	5	1
Ability to address safety issue	3	3	2				2			2		12	4	1
Potential travel time savings	3	3			2		2	2				12	4]

NEXT STEPS



Next Steps

- Wrap up discussion on Principles & Criteria Development: September
- Release Draft Plan: October Board
- Phase 2 Outreach: October November
- Final Plan for Board Action: December