Exhibit B. 2022 Pedestrian and Bicycle Program Cycle 6 Final Recommendations

											Recommended	Recommended
		/	Total	Request	Matching	Match	TA Tech		Recommended	Recommended	Measure W	C/CAG Measure
oonsor	Project Title	Total Score	Project Budget		Funds	%		Requested Phases	Phase	Measure A Funding	Funding	Funding
rge Capital Projects												
edwood City	Redwood Avenue Pedestrian Improvements	81.8	\$3,700,000	\$2,000,000	\$1,700,000	85%		CON	CON		\$2,000,000	
in Mateo (City)	Fashion Island Boulevard/19th Avenue Class IV Bikeway Complete Streets	80.0	\$2,200,000	\$2,000,000	\$200,000	10%	\$200,000	PAED, PS&E, CON	PAED, PS&E, CON	\$2,200,000		
outh San Francisco	Junipero Serra Blvd and Westborough Blvd Pedestrian and Bicycle Improvements $^{ m 1}$	78.3	\$1,990,275	\$1,530,981	\$459,294	30%		PID, PAED	Feasibility Study	\$450,000		
elmont	Belmont Village Pedestrian and Bicycle Improvements ²	74.6	\$1,100,000	\$750,000	\$350,000	47%		PS&E, CON	PS&E, CON	\$300,000		
urlingame	California Drive Bicycle and Pedestrian Improvement Project ³	73.5	\$1,800,000	\$1,620,000	\$180,000	11%	\$15,000	PS&E, ROW, CON	PS&E, ROW, CON	\$1,635,000		
therton	El Camino Real Complete Streets Gap Closure ⁴	70.4	\$1,600,000	\$1,425,000	\$175,000	12%	\$100,000	PID, PAED	Feasibility Study	\$550,000		
olma	Serramonte Boulevard Bicycle and Pedestrian Improvement Project ³	70.3	\$2,035,000	\$1,831,500	\$203,500	11%	\$15,000	PS&E, CON	PS&E, CON	\$1,846,500		
1enlo Park	Middle Avenue Complete Streets project ³	69.8	\$1,400,000	\$1,200,000	\$200,000	17%	\$15,000	PS&E, CON	PS&E, CON	1 //	\$1,215,000	
an Mateo County	Alameda de las Pulgas Complete Street Project	69.0	\$2,857,150	\$2,000,000	\$857,150	43%	<i></i>	CON	CON		\$2,000,000	+
an Mateo County	Santa Cruz Avenue Complete Street Project	68.3	\$2,985,900	\$2,000,000	\$985,900	49%		CON	CON		\$2,000,000	
an Carlos	Holly Street-Highway 101 Interchange Project ⁶	64.5	\$4,300,000	\$2,000,000	\$2,300,000	115%		CON	CON	\$1,000,000		-
lalf Moon Bay	Pacific Coast Bikeway North	63.5	\$1,365,000	\$980,000	\$385,000	39%		CON	CON	\$980,000		+
1enlo Park	Ringwood Crossing Connections Project	59.8	\$1,000,000	\$900,000	\$100,000	11%		PS&E, CON	-	+		-
C/CAG	Pedestrian Safety Improvements at North McDonnell Road Intersections	51.0	\$2,216,830	\$1,972,979	\$243,851	12%		PS&E, CON	-			-
/illbrae	Skyline Boulevard Bicycle and Pedestrian Improvements	40.3	\$1,844,986	\$1,623,588	\$221,398	14%		PS&E, ROW, CON	-			
		Totals	\$32,395,141	\$23,834,048	\$8,561,093		\$345,000			\$8,961,500	\$7,215,000	\$0
mall Capital Projects		-										
edwood City	Access to Downtown Bikeway Corridors	80.3	\$650,000	\$615,000	\$35,000	6%		PAED, PS&E	PAED, PS&E		\$615,000	
ast Palo Alto	East Bayshore Road Pedestrian Improvements Project	77.3	\$420,000	\$400,000	\$20,000	5%		PLAN, PAED, PS&E	PLAN, PAED, PS&E		\$400,000	
	Design of El Camino Real Complete Street Project from Mission Road to City of South San										1	
olma	Francisco (Segment B)	74.8	\$670,000	\$603,000	\$67,000	11%		PS&E	PS&E		\$603,000	
acifica	Esplanade & Palmetto Bicycle & Pedestrian Improvement Project ³	73.5	\$940,904	\$568,504	\$372,400	66%	\$15,000	PS&E, CON	PS&E, CON		\$583,504	
Daly City	Lake Merced Blvd Street Reallocation and Bicycle Lane Study	68.5	\$525,000	\$498,750	\$26,250	5%		PLAN, PS&E, CON	PLAN, PS&E, CON		\$498,750	
edwood City	Kentucky/Massachusetts Intersection Quick-build Safe Routes to School Project ⁵	65.5	\$41,000	\$36,900	\$4,100	11%		CON	-		,	_
an Mateo (City)	San Mateo Downtown Parking Garage Pedestrian Safety Project ⁷	65.3	\$863,854	\$820,662	\$43,192	5%		CON	-		<u> </u>	
Pacifica	Pacifica Schools Mid-Block Crosswalk Safety Improvements	64.0	\$292,600	\$234,080	\$58,520	25%		CON	CON			\$200,000
Burlingame	Occidental Avenue Bike and Ped Improvement and Traffic Calming Project ³	63.3	\$600,000	\$420,000	\$180,000	43%	\$15,000	PS&E, CON	PS&E, CON		\$435,000	
urlingame	South Rollins Road Traffic Calming Project	60.8	\$630,000	\$440,000	\$190,000	43%		PS&E, CON	PS&E, CON		\$440,000	
an Mateo County	Alpine Road Corridor Improvement Project	60.5	\$990,000	\$890,000	\$100,000	11%	\$100,000	PID	PID		\$990,000	
lillsborough	Eucalyptus Pathway Project	56.8	\$802,900	\$389,000	\$413,900	106%		CON	CON	\$389,000		
risbane	Santa Clara to Tulare Walkway	56.6	\$500,000	\$475,000	\$25,000	5%		PAED, PS&E, CON	PAED, PS&E, CON	\$475,000		
an Carlos	San Carlos Safe Routes to School Improvement Project	50.3	\$220,000	\$200,000	\$20,000	10%		CON	-			
/oodside	Glens Path Phase 3	44.3	\$688,000	\$538,200	\$149,800	28%		CON	-			4000.000
		Totals	\$8,834,258	\$7,129,096	\$1,705,162		\$130,000			\$864,000	\$4,565,254	\$200,000
anning/Promotions												
outh San Francisco	ECR Master Plan	89.5	\$105,000	\$100,000	\$5,000	5%		PLAN	PLAN		\$100,000	1
aly City	Daly City Vision Zero Design Standards	89.5	\$105,000 \$75,000	\$100,000	\$5,000 \$10,000	5% 15%		PLAN	PLAN		\$100,000 \$65,000	+
edwood City	Vision Zero Programs	70.5	\$110,000	\$65,000 \$100,000	\$10,000	15%		PLAN	PLAN		\$100,000	+
		Totals		\$265,000	\$10,000 \$25,000	1070	\$0			\$0	\$265,000	\$0

Notes and Conditions on Awards:

1. Evaluation committee recommends a reduced award to allow South San Francisco to conduct a Feasibility Study with engagement, concept designs, and equity analysis prior to awarding funds for development of the Caltrans Project Initiation Document. 2. Evaluation committee recommends a reduced award, with funds for the design of the proposed bikeways but not for the HAWK signal.

3. For various projects requesting multiple phases without concept designs, the recommendation includes that third-party consultant design review to required to ensure best practices are incorporated during the PS&E phase (65% design) and before a construction phase agreement can be executed.

4. Evaluation committee recommends a reduced award (\$450,000) to allow Atherton to conduct a Feasibility Study with engagement, concept designs, and equity analysis prior to awarding funds for the Caltrans Project Initiation Document plus \$100,00 in TA Technical Assistance. 5. This project is only eligible for the C/CAG Measure M funding and is not recommended for TA funding; this will facilitate funding a project that can use the full \$200,000 award.

6. TA staff recommends de-programming the 2015 Cycle 3 award for \$1,000,000 and re-programming an equivalent award in Cycle 6 to reset the timely use of funds guidelines and require full funding by December 2023.

7. Evaluation committee recommends not funding improvements related to the parking garage and focusing limited available funding toward other roadway safety projects that may have higher impacts.