

From: [Giuliano](#)
To: [cacsecretary \[@smcta.com\]](mailto:cacsecretary [@smcta.com]); [Board \(@smcta.com\)](mailto:Board (@smcta.com))
Subject: SF Chron article on timeline of Cruise AV crash of Oct 2nd crash dragging victim
Date: Friday, February 16, 2024 6:48:33 PM

Some people who received this message don't often get email from giuliano@carlini.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

ATTENTION: This email came from an external source. Do not open attachments or click on links from external senders.

<https://www.sfchronicle.com/projects/2024/cruise-sf-collision-timeline/>

As the TA looks at AVs, it is critical it keep in mind that failure modes are the most complex and difficult for software to handle correctly. Anyone saying that handling unexpected conditions is not a problem - or easily handled - is either unaware of this, or deliberately minimizing the possible consequences when complex systems are confronted by unexpected events.

To be clear, I'm am not opposed to AVs in the long term. In fact, I believe they will some day be incredibly beneficial and will significantly reduce crash, injury, and death rates. But, it appears that "live" experimentation is being incredibly rushed.

giuliano

--

Drive a bike a bit more often and cars a bit less. You'll be healthier and happier, and so will our world.

Reach out if you'd like to learn to cycle more safely ([LCI #7105](#)).

<https://bikesiliconvalley.org>

<https://www.peopleforbikes.org/news/your-bike-advocacy-playbook>