		din	ess	Je	% /	/3/		/./					
		Project Reading		ding level	d the	ctive re	d IDM	Borus		Amount			
Sponsor	Project Title	/ Pro	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	/ He	/ E	/ ¿ď	/ R	/ Total Score	Total Project Cost	Requested	Matching Funds	% Match	TA Funding
Competitive - Mid	/Large												
SamTrans	Bus Stop Improvement Plan Implementation - Near Term Phase 1 Project	4.0	2.3	36.0	22.3	28.0	0.0	92.50	\$ 510,000	\$ 475,000	\$ 35,000	7%	\$ 475,000
SamTrans	Bus Stop Improvement Plan Implementation – Near-Term Phase 2 Project	3.5	1.0	37.0	22.3	20.5	0.0	84.25	\$ 525,000	\$ 500,000	\$ 25,000	5%	\$ 500,000
Caltrain	Expanding Access to Caltrain Through Updated Institutional Pass Program (SM County)	3.8	2.8	37.9	23.0	16.0	0.0	83.36	\$ 575,000	\$ 500,000	\$ 75,000	15%	\$ 500,000
Millbrae	Millbrae BRAVE (Bus Rider Access, Visibility, & Experience)	3.3	1.0	33.0	20.0	23.0	3.0	83.25	\$ 61,877	\$ 58,783	\$ 3,094	5%	\$ 58,783
Commute.org	San Mateo County Vanpool Subsidy	3.3	1.5	35.0	23.0	16.3	3.0	82.00	\$ 200,000	\$ 180,000	\$ 20,000	11%	\$ 180,000
South San Francisco	South San Francisco Eastern Neighborhoods Signal Priority	3.0	5.0	33.6	21.8	8.0	8.0	79.32	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 454,000	\$ 546,000	120%	\$ 454,000
SamTrans	Bus Stop Improvement Plan Implementation – Near-Term Phase 3 Project <sup>1</sup>	3.5	1.0	31.5	21.5	21.0	0.0	78.50	\$ 403,920	\$ 367,200	\$ 36,720	10%	\$ -
Burlingame	e-Micromobility Project	3.8	1.0	33.6	22.0	14.8	3.0	78.07	\$ 440,000	\$ 400,000	\$ 40,000	10%	\$ 400,000
San Carlos	ITS Signal Resiliency and Smart Corridor Expansion Project	3.8	1.8	29.3	21.0	14.0	8.0	77.79	\$ 443,300	\$ 403,000	\$ 40,300	10%	\$ 403,000
South San Francisco	South San Francisco Western Neighborhoods Signal Priority	3.0	5.0	31.8	21.8	8.0	8.0	77.54	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 454,000	\$ 546,000	120%	\$ 454,000
Millbrae	e-Micromobility Project	3.8	1.0	30.7	21.8	17.3	3.0	77.46	\$ 550,000	\$ 500,000	\$ 50,000	10%	\$ 500,000
Redwood City	Community Vanpool Program <sup>2</sup>	2.8	1.0	26.4	18.0	15.8	8.0	71.93	\$ 550,000	\$ 500,000	\$ 50,000	10%	\$ 500,000
San Carlos	Crossing Improvements at Heather Elementary School Entrance & Melendy Drive	4.0	1.8				8.0	68.50		\$ 388,800		11%	\$ -
Foster City	Safe Routes to School: RRFB Installation for High-Priority Uncontrolled Crosswalks <sup>1</sup>	4.0	1.5		16.5		3.0	60.75	\$ 400,000	\$ 360,000	\$ 40,000	11%	\$ 360,000
·								Totals		\$ 5,540,783		25%	<u> </u>
											•		
Brisbane	Bayshore Blvd Mobility & Bus Stop Improvements	3.3	3.0	32.0	19.3	27.3	8.0	92.75	\$ 588,990	\$ 500,000	\$ 88,990	18%	\$ 500,000
Half Moon Bay	Cunha Intermediate School Safety and Connectivity Project	3.8	1.8				5.0	76.00				8%	
Pacifica	Pedestrian Access and Crossing Enhancements for Students (PACES)	3.8	1.5				3.0	64.75				11%	
								Totals				12%	
									, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	, , , , ,		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
South San Francisco	South San Francisco Eastern Neighborhoods Signal Priority	3.0	5.0	33.6	21.8	8.0	8.0	79.32	\$ 100,000	\$ 46,000	\$ 54,000	117%	\$ 46,000
South San Francisco	South San Francisco Vestern Neighborhoods Signal Priority	3.0	5.0				8.0					117%	
San Carlos	ITS Signal Resiliency and Smart Corridor Expansion Project	4.0	1.8		22.3		8.0					10%	
ball Carlos	113 Signal nesiliency and Smart Corndon Expansion Project	4.0	1.0	10.3	22.3	14.0	8.0	Totals				82%	
								Totals	333,100	213,000	7 120,100	62%	213,000
South San Francisco Belmont	South San Francisco TDM Implementation Program  Belmont Transportation Demand Management Reform Project3	3.8 4.0	1.0 3.8		23.0 16.3		8.0					10% 30%	
-cimont	Standards and Guidelines to Establish a Transportation Management Association in the City of	4.0	3.0	24.3	10.3	13.0	0.0	03.23	200,000	200,000	7 00,000	30/0	<del>-</del>

## **Evaluation Committee Notes:**

San Carlos<sup>4</sup>

San Carlos East Palo Alto

1. Evaluation Committee recommends awarding funding to the Foster City project to promote a balanced geographic distribution. To accommodate this, the SamTrans Bus Stop Improvement Program Near-Term Phase 3 project is not recommended for funding in this cycle.

62.36 \$

38.18 \$

Totals \$

222,000 \$

157,895 \$

859,895 \$

199,800

150,000 \$

749,800 \$

22,200

7,895

Total

110,095

199,800

399,800 6,799,083

5% \$

14% \$

\$

2. Evaluation Committee recommends redistributing the balance of funds from the undersubscribed Small/Coastal category to the Mid/Large category.

East Palo Alto TDM Program Refinement and Implementation Project

3. Evaluation Committee recommends not funding this project request; instead, the TA will provide technical assistance to help refine the scope and update existing policy to enable the City to apply in the next TDM CFP.