San Mateo County Shuttle Program Criteria

| | San Mateo County Shuttle | Program Criteria |
|--|--|---|
| Eligibility Criteria | San Mateo County Shuttle Program Call for Projects FY 16/17 & FY 17/18 | |
| Minimum Local | - 25% | |
| Match Local Match | - Measure A Local Streets/Transportation Funds may be used. | |
| Program Purpose | C/CAG or Measure A funds from programs other than Local Streets and Transportation cannot be used as the local match for either funding agency. Provide local shuttle services for residents and employees to travel within or to connect with regional transportation/transit service within San Mateo County. | |
| Eligible Applicants | - Local jurisdictions and/or public agencies are eligible applicants for the funds; however, they must obtain a letter of concurrence/sponsorship from SamTrans. They may partner with other public, non-profit or private entities to co-sponsor shuttles. | |
| ligible Costs | Grant applicants may also contract with other public, non-profit or private entities to manage and/or operate the shuttle service. Costs directly tied to the shuttle service, such as operations, marketing and outreach, and staff time directly associated with shuttle administration are eligible. Leasing of vehicles is an eligible expense; vehicle purchase is not. | |
| 44:: | - Overhead, indirect or other staff costs are not eligible. | |
| Minimum Requirements | Project is located in San Mateo County Project is a shuttle service that meets local mobility needs and/or provides access to regional transit. Funding is for operations open to the general public Shuttles must be compliant with the Americans with Disabilities Act(ADA). | |
| Other Requirements | - Any change to the proposed service prior to implementation or during the funding period must be approved by the funding agency (TA or C/CAG) with the concurrence of SamTrans. | |
| creening Criteria | Existing Shuttles | New Shuttles |
| Non- Supplantation Certification | Funding request does not substitute for existing funds. | NA |
| Letter of Concurrence/ Sponsorship | Evidence of coordination with SamTrans, through a letter of concurrence from SamTrans, that shuttle routes do not duplicate SamTrans fixed-route or other public shuttle service, is required. If there are proposed route and/or schedule changes to existing shuttle service, applicant shall provide a letter of concurrence from SamTrans regarding the proposed changes. | Evidence of coordination with SamTrans, through a letter of concurrence from SamTrans, that proposed shuttle routes does not duplicate SamTrans fixed route or other public shuttle service, is required. |
| Governing Board Resolution | A governing board resolution in support of the project is required. | |
| Fechnical Assistance | · · | ssenger and passengers/service hour benchmarks, from FY 14/15, are required to consult on for guidance on how to best provide cost effective service to meet the identified need |
| Scoring Criteria | Existing Shuttles | New Shuttles |
| Need & | Need – 20 points | Need – 25 points |
| Readiness | - Provides service to an area underserved by other public transit - Provides congestion relief in San Mateo County | - Provides service to an area underserved by other public transit - Provides congestion relief in San Mateo County |
| | -Provides services to special populations (e.g. low income/transit dependent, seniors, | -Provides services to special populations (e.g. low income/transit dependent, seniors, |
| | disabled, other) - Provides transportation to the services used by special populations - Letters of support from stakeholders | disabled, other) - Provides transportation to the services used by special populations - Letters of support from stakeholders |
| | Readiness – 20 points | Readiness – 25 points |
| | Solid service plan in place describing how the shuttle service will be delivered for the | Solid service plan in place describing how the shuttle service will be delivered for the 2 |
| | 2-year funding period including: a. Service area (routes/maps, destinations served) | year funding period including: a. Service area (routes/maps, destinations served) |
| | b. Specific rail stations, ferry or major SamTrans transit centers served | b. Service plan development |
| | c. Schedule (days, times, frequency) - show coordination with scheduled transit service d. Marketing plan/activities (advertising, outreach, signage, etc.) | c. Specific rail stations, ferry or major SamTrans transit centers served d. Schedule (days, times, frequency) - show coordination with scheduled transit service e. Marketing plan/activities (advertising, outreach, signage, etc.) |
| | e. Service Provider | f. Service Provider |
| | f. Administration and oversight (whom?) g. Monitoring/evaluation plan/activities (performance data, complaints/ | g. Administration and oversight (whom?)h. Monitoring/evaluation plan/activities (performance data, complaints/ |
| | compliments, surveys) | compliments, surveys) |
| | h. Co-sponsors/stakeholders (roles?) i. Ridership characteristics: e.g. commuter/ employees, seniors, students, etc | i. Co-sponsors/stakeholders (roles?)j. Ridership characteristics: e.g. commuter/ employees, seniors, students, etc |
| | j. Any significant changes to existing service k. Incorporation of any changes to the service plan as a result of the required technical assistance consultation with SamTrans operations planning staff for | k. Planning process for shuttles, including actions taken as a result of the required technical assistance consultation with SamTrans operations planning staff for new shuttles |
| | existing underperforming shuttles | Solid funding plan with budgeted line items for: |
| | Solid funding plan with budgeted line items for: a. Contractor (operator/vendor) cost | a. Contractor (operator/vendor) cost b. Administrative (Staff oversight) |
| | b. Fuel c. Insurance | c. Other direct costs (e.g. marketing) d. Total operating cost |
| | d. Administrative (Staff oversight) | e. Notes/exceptions (e.g. if there are projected differences between the 1st and 2nd |
| | e. Other direct costs (e.g. marketing) f. Total operating cost | year costs) |
| | g. Notes/exceptions (e.g. if there are projected differences between the 1st and 2nd year costs) | |
| Effectiveness | <u>Effectiveness – 25 points</u> - Annual average operating cost per passenger for the prior 12 months | <u>Effectiveness - 15 points</u>Projected ridership, operating costs, and revenue vehicle hours of shuttle service to |
| | - Annual average passengers per revenue vehicle hour of service for the prior 12 months | be provided in the first and second years of shuttle service. - State assumptions and document justification where possible |
| | - Service links with other fixed route transit (more points for higher ridership routes) | State assumptions and document justification where possible Proposed service links with other fixed route transit (more points for higher ridersh |
| | Improves access from transit oriented development to major activity nodes Reduces single occupant vehicle (SOV) trips and vehicle miles traveled (VMT) | routes) - Proposed service improves access from transit oriented development to major |
| | | activity nodes - Proposed service improves access from transit offended development to major activity nodes - Proposed service reduces single occupant vehicle (SOV) trips and vehicle miles |
| unding Loverage | Parcentage of matching funds contribution: | traveled (VMT) |
| Funding Leverage – 20 points | Percentage of matching funds contribution: 25 to < 50% - up to 10 points | Percentage of matching funds contribution: 25 to < 50% - up to 10 points |
| | 50 to < 75% - up to 15 points 75 to < 99% - up to 18 points | 50 to < 75% - up to 15 points 75 to < 99% - up to 18 points |
| | Private sector funding proposed (supports less public subsidy) – 2 points | Private sector funding proposed (supports less public subsidy) – 2 points |
| Policy Consistency & | - Proposed shuttle is included in an adopted local, special area, county or regional plan (e.g. community-based transportation plan, general plan, Grand Blvd. | - Proposed shuttle is included in an adopted local, special area, county or regional pla (e.g. community-based transportation plan, general plan, Grand Blvd. Initiative, MT |
| Sustainability – | Initiative, MTC Priority Development Area, etc.) | Priority Development Area, etc.) |
| 15 points | - Supports jobs and housing growth/economic development - Use of clean fuel vehicle(s) for service | Supports jobs and housing growth/economic development Use of clean fuel vehicle(s) for service |
| | - Shuttle accommodates bicycles | - Shuttle accommodates bicycles |
| | - Cost savings demonstrated through sharing of resources | - Cost savings demonstrated through sharing of resources |
| | | |
| | T | |

Maximum Point Total - 100

Maximum Point Total - 100