

# Measure A Program Overview

Transportation Authority Board April 5, 2011



#### **Presentation Outline**

- Program Overview
- Upcoming Call for Projects
- Oversight Responsibilities



# Measure A Program Overview



#### **Adopted Policy Framework**

- 1988 Expenditure Plan
- 2004 Expenditure Plan
- 5-year Strategic Plan (2008)
  - Policy framework
  - Living document
- Implementation Plan (2009)



#### **About Measure A**

- Goals
  - Reduce commute corridor congestion
  - Make regional connections
  - Enhance safety
  - Meet local mobility needs
- 25-year Transportation Expenditure Plan(2009 2033)
- 6 programs / 11 categories



#### **Transportation Expenditure Plan**

Programs	% Share	Estimated Sales Tax (in 2004 dollars)	Estimated Match (in 2004 dollars)
Transit	30.0%	\$450.0 million	\$1,165 million
Highways	27.5%	\$414.0 million	\$325 million
Local Streets / Transportation	22.5%	\$337.5 million	\$527 million
Grade Separations	15.0%	\$225.0 million	\$125 million
Pedestrian and Bicycle	3.0%	\$45.0 million	\$25 million
Alternative Congestion Relief Programs	1.0%	\$15.0 million	\$15 million
TOTAL	100.0% *	\$1,500 million*	\$2,200 million*

<sup>\*</sup>Note: Includes up to 1% for Program Administration



### **Implementation**

Approach	Categories	
Call for Projects	Local Shuttles Pedestrian and Bike Alternative Congestion Relief	
Plan-based	Caltrain Highways*	
Agreement-based	Ferry BART Local Streets	
To Be Determined	Accessible Services Dumbarton Corridor Grade Separations	

<sup>\*</sup> Implemented through a call for projects



# **Upcoming Call for Projects**



#### **Shuttles**

#### **Significance**

- Merit based developing criteria and project selection
- Shuttle Best Practices
- TA proactively supporting more robust shuttle program for the county
- Performance monitoring to ensure best use of funds
- Leverages TA funds



#### **Highways**

#### **Significance**

- Based on Board approved Short Range Highway Plan
- SRHP part prescriptive, part merit based
- Long-term develop CIP (planning and prioritization)
- Significantly leverages TA funds recognizes funding challenges
- Project sponsor (commitment by local or regional agency)
- Timely use of funds review inactive projects

#### **Special Circumstances**



# **Oversight Responsibilities**



# Role of Board and Management as Fiduciaries/Trustees

- Duty to administer consistent with the broadly stated goals of the voter-approved Plan
- Requires countywide focus



#### Trustee Role Established by:

#### **State Law**

- <u>Public Utilities Code Section 131101</u>: All allocations of revenues derived from the adoption of a retail transactions and use tax ordinance in a county shall be consistent with the priorities established by its county transportation expenditure plan.
- <u>Public Utilities Code Section 131300</u>: The county transportation authority shall administer the county transportation expenditure plan adopted pursuant to Section 131055.
- <u>Public Utilities Code Section 13130</u>1: The county transportation authority shall determine the use of the net revenues derived from the imposition of the retail transactions and use tax in conformance with the priorities established in the adopted county transportation expenditure plan.

#### **Voter-approved Expenditure Plan**

 "The Transportation Authority is charged with a fiduciary duty in administering the tax proceeds in accordance with the applicable laws and this Transportation Expenditure Plan."

13



### **Discussion**