Measure A
2015 Highway Program
Call for Projects

May 7, 2015
Board of Directors
Agenda Item #13b

Presentation Overview

- **Program Overview**
- **Project Eligibility**
- **Proposed Process**
- **Evaluation Criteria**
- **Summary of Proposed Revisions**
- **Next Steps - Schedule**

Program Overview

- **Focus is to reduce congestion, improve throughput and safety on most critical commute corridors**
- **Per voter approved Expenditure Plan 27.5% of Measure A revenue dedicated for the Highway Program**
  - **17.3% for Key Congested Areas (KCA)**
  - **10.2% for Supplemental Roadways (SR)**

3

Project Eligibility

Criteria Category	Detailed Criteria
Description of Eligible Projects	Capital funding for the project development, right of way acquisition and construction of improvements to: • 11 identified KCA projects on state Highways 101, 280, 92 and 1 • 15 identified SR projects on highways and other roadways from partial list in Expenditure Plan; new projects may be submitted to account for changing needs Ineligible projects/activities: • Maintenance/rehabilitation • Separate pedestrian/bicycle overcrossings

4

Process: Funding & Evaluation

- **Call-for-Projects to be issued May 2015**
  - **Covers Fiscal Years 2016 & 2017**
  - **Up to $125 million available**
  - **$16 million from Original Measure and $109 million from New Measure**
- **Proposals will be evaluated by a panel consisting of TA and external agency staff**

5

Evaluation Criteria

	Pre-env.	Post-env.
Need:	**35%**	**15%**
Effectiveness:	**20%**	**40%**
Readiness:	**20%**	**20%**
Funding Leverage:	**10%**	**10%**
Policy Consistency & Sustainability:	**15%**	**15%**

6

Evaluation Criteria: Need & Effectiveness

Evaluation Criteria Category	Detailed Criteria
Need	• Current congestion • Projected congestion • Located in a Countywide Transportation Plan Priority Corridor • Identified safety issue
Effectiveness	• Ability to relieve congestion/performance improvement • Ability to address safety issue • Regional significance • Demonstrates coordination with adjacent projects/integration of inter-related projects • Cost effectiveness

7

Evaluation Criteria: Readiness & Funding Leverage

Evaluation Criteria Category	Detailed Criteria
Readiness	• Clear and complete proposal • Project status and schedule • Ease and speed of implementation • Results from a public planning process • Demonstrates stakeholder support • Has a solid funding plan
Funding Leverage	• Percent of matching fund contribution • Private sector contribution

8

Evaluation Criteria: Sustainability & Policy Consistency

Prioritization Criteria Category		Detailed Criteria
Sustainability and Policy Consistency	Sustainability	• Project is primarily an operational improvement vs. infrastructure expansion • Project accommodates multiple modes, where contextually appropriate and to the extent feasible (Complete Streets) • Supports transit-oriented development • Spurs economic activity/new development in the vicinity • Includes green construction practices and elements
	Policy Consistency	• Project recognized in regional, county or local planning documents

9

Proposed Revisions

- **Sponsors encouraged to coordinate with TA to better ensure sufficient resources and expertise are in place to improve project delivery**

- **Vary weighting for Need & Effectiveness Criteria based on status of environmental clearance**

- **No set aside for KCA planning projects**

- **Resolutions required for all projects**

10

Schedule

Timeline	Activity
May 2015	Informational item to TA CAC and TA Board
May 8, 2015	Call for Projects released for Fiscal Years 2016 & 2017, workshop to be held
May 11, 2015	Call for Projects Workshop
June 15, 2015	Applications due
September 2015	Informational item to TA CAC and TA Board on Draft Program of Projects
October 2015	TA Board approves proposed Program of Projects

11