



Presentation Overview

- Overview of Grade Separation Program
- 2009 Grade Separation Planning "Footprint" Studies
- 2013 Guiding Principles
- 2013 Solicitation evaluation criteria
- 2013 Solicitation outcome
- Future funding outlook
- Project selection process alternatives



Program Overview

- Purpose of program is to improve safety at railroad crossings and to relieve traffic congestion
- 15% of New Measure A Program
- \$225 million in 2004\$ over the life of the program, assumes \$60 million in annual New Measure A receipts
- Allocated \$54.9 million to date

3



Program Overview: Candidate Projects

Cities with candidate railroad crossings listed in the Expenditure Plan:

- South San Francisco
- San Bruno
- Millbrae
- Burlingame
- San Mateo

- Redwood City
- Menlo Park
- Atherton
- East Palo Alto



Program Overview:New Measure A Funded Projects

New Measure A

Sponsor	Grade Separation Project	Allocation	Phase	Funding Cycle
San Bruno	San Bruno, San Mateo & Angus Avenues	\$49.15 mil.	construction	2010 Board Action
San Mateo	25th Avenue	\$5.0 mil.	PS&E/ROW	Special Circumstance
Menlo Park	Ravenswood	\$0.75 mil.	planning	2013 Solicitation
Total New Measure A Allocations:		\$54.9 mil.		

Other Recent Allocations from the 2013 Solicitation using Original Measure A Funds

Sponsor	Grade Separation Project	Allocation	Phase
San Mateo	25th Avenue	\$3.7 mil.	PE/ENV
Burlingame	Broadway	\$1.0 mil.	planning
South San Francisco/			
San Bruno	South Linden Avenue/Scott Street	\$0.65 mil.	planning

5



Planning Studies: Purpose

Identified technically feasible alternatives for grade separation of the Caltrain Corridor in San Mateo County

- · High-level development of alternatives
- Primarily looked at grade separation options at each crossing
- High/medium/low assessment of impacts of each scenario
- Coordinated study efforts with local public works departments
- Studies completed September 2009



Planning Studies: Purpose

Identified physical impacts and costs

- Included property, driveway access, street, utility, and parking impacts
- High-level assessment (conceptual planning work)
- · Assumed "all or nothing" property takes
- · Order of magnitude costs
- · Costs varied on different alternatives

7



Planning Studies: Purpose

Order of magnitude cost ranges in 2009\$ for a few proposed grade separations:

Broadway, Burlingame:

\$115m to \$559m

Ravenswood Avenue, Menlo Park:

\$113m to \$463m

• South Linden Avenue, South San Francisco: \$132m to \$333m



Guiding Principles: Funding

Board approved guiding principles in April 2013

- Allocate <u>at least</u> 80% of remaining available funds for construction
- Allocate <u>up to</u> 20% for pre-construction, with at least 10% for design

9



Guiding Principles: Programming & Allocation

- Program and allocate funds to separate project phases:
 - Planning/Project Study Report
 - Preliminary Engineering/Environmental Assessment
 - Design
 - Construction



Guiding Principles: Programming & Allocation

- Planning/Project Study Report
 - Study project alternatives
 - Develop cost estimates
 - Include at least one scenario consistent with the Caltrain/HSR blended system
 - Include Caltrain in the planning process
- Preliminary Engineering/Environmental
 - Complete necessary Federal and/or State environmental assessment
 - Have City Council approval and Caltrain concurrence with selected alternative

1



Guiding Principles: Programming & Allocation

- Design
 - Complete design with Caltrain to ensure railroad design standards are met
 - Have City Council approval and Caltrain concurrence with the selected alternative
- Construction
 - Constructed by Caltrain
 - Secure full funding plan
 - Have City Council approval and Caltrain concurrence with the selected alternative



2013 Solicitation Evaluation Criteria

- Project Readiness 20%
- Safety and Traffic Improvement 35%
- Project Need and Justification 35%
- Funding Leverage 10%

13



2013 Solicitation Outcome

- In 2013, TA solicited interest from cities in completing grade separation projects over the next 10 years
- Five cities responded:
 San Bruno, South San Francisco,
 Burlingame, San Mateo and Menlo Park
- · Funded several projects through planning
- Projects are being completed in varying schedules; cities are seeking funding through special circumstances requests
- Insufficient revenue to fully fund these projects through construction



Future Funding Outlook

- Remaining amount of Measure A funding anticipated to be available
 - Approximately \$235 million projected to be available for remaining life of the program, based on updated 2016\$
- Other federal and state funding that may be available for grade separations
 - State Section 190 \$15 million annually
 - Federal Railroad Administration Railroad Safety Infrastructure Improvement Grants - 2016 solicitation provides \$25 million
 - California High Speed Rail Authority

15



Project Selection Process:Alternatives for Consideration

- · Continue funding calls with no change
 - Provides maximum flexibility
 - Insufficient funding to fully fund projects in progress through construction
 - Scheduling when to issue calls-for-projects process is a challenge due to varying project schedules
 - May need to consider special circumstance requests
 - Primarily focus on projects that are ready to go into the next phase



Project Selection Process:Alternatives for Consideration

- Focus only on projects in process
 - Conserves resources, but precludes others
 - Prioritize funding based on Caltrain's Grade Crossing Hazard Analysis, blended system consideration, and PUC scores
- Focus on projects in process, but consider funding for new projects to complete planning only
 - Primarily limit remaining funding for existing projects
 - Opportunity to further study others

17



Next Steps

- August review existing policy; solicit board input on potential program changes
- September Board approves potential changes to program